arXiv:1502.05553v2 [math.AG] 7 Apr 2016

LOCALLY COHEN-MACAULAY SPACE CURVES DEFINED BY CUBIC EQUATIONS AND GLOBALLY GENERATED VECTOR BUNDLES

CRISTIAN ANGHEL, IUSTIN COANDĂ AND NICOLAE MANOLACHE

Abstract. Let E be a globally generated vector bundle on the projective 3-space, with c_1 at least 4, which is not the quotient of a larger vector bundle by a trivial subbundle. We show that if $E(-c_1+3)$ has a non-zero global section then, except for some cases that can be listed, E admits as a direct summand a line bundle of degree at least c_1-3. This reduces the problem of the classification of globally generated vector bundles with c_1 at most 7 on the projective 3-space to the case where the associated rank 2 reflexive sheaf is stable, a case which requires quite different methods. The proof uses the description of the monads of the ideal sheaves of all locally Cohen-Macaulay space curves defined by cubic equations. We then decide which of the bundles with the above mentioned properties extend to higher dimensional projective spaces. This part uses, among other things, a result of Barth and Ellencwajg [Lecture Notes Math. 683 (1978), Springer Verlag, 1–24] asserting the non-existence of stable rank 2 vector bundles on the projective 4-space with $c_1=0$, $c_2=3$. We provide a different proof of this fact, based on a theorem of Mohan Kumar, Peterson and Rao [Manuscripta Math. 112 (2003), 183–189]. Finally, we recover quickly, using the previously mentioned results, the classification of globally generated vector bundles with $c_1=4$ on the projective n-space for n at least 4, which is part of the main result of our previous paper [arXiv:1305.3464]. We provide, in the appendices to the paper, graded free resolutions for the homogeneous ideals and for the graded structural algebras of all nonreduced locally Cohen-Macaulay space curves of degree at most 4. We use only a small part of the content of the appendices but we decided to give the complete list because it might be useful in some other contexts, too (compare with the papers of Nollet [Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 30 (1997), 367–384] and of Nollet and Schlesinger [Compos. Math. 139 (2003), 169–196]).

Contents

Introduction 2
1. Curves of degree at least 5 6
2. Curves of degree at most 4 11
3. Globally generated vector bundles 20
Appendix A. Multiple structures on a line 34
A.1. Quasiprimitive structures of degree 4 34
A.2. Primitive structures of degree 4 48
A.3. Thick structures of degree 4 54

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary:14J60; Secondary: 14H50, 14M06.

Key words and phrases. projective space, vector bundle, globally generated sheaf, space curve.

A.4. Primitive structures of degree 2 56
A.5. Quasiprimitive structures of degree 3 58
Appendix B. Curves with a multiple line as a component 61
B.1. A double line union a line 63
B.2. A triple line union a line 66
B.3. A double line union two lines 76
B.4. A double line union a conic 94
B.5. Union of two double lines 109
Appendix C. Double structures on a conic 124
References 129

INTRODUCTION

Motivated, in part, by some geometric applications (cf., for example, the papers of Manivel and Mezzetti [22], Sierra and Ugaglia [32], Fania and Mezzetti [14], Huh [20]) the systematic study of globally generated vector bundles on projective spaces was initiated by Sierra and Ugaglia [33] who classified the bundles of this kind with the first Chern class $c_1 \leq 2$. Then Ellia [13] determined the Chern classes of globally generated vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^2$, Chiodera and Ellia [10] determined the Chern classes of the globally generated vector bundles of rank 2 on $\mathbb{P}^3$ with $c_1 \leq 5$, while Anghel and Manolache [2] and, independently, Sierra and Ugaglia [34], classified the globally generated vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^n$ with $c_1 = 3$.

The present paper is a sequel to our work [3], where we classified the globally generated vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^n$ with $c_1 = 4$. We are here (mainly) concerned with the classification of globally generated vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^3$. (From the point of view of the methods used in [3], this is a critical case: on one hand, the study of globally generated vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^2$ presents some special features and may be treated separatedly. On the other hand, once one has a classification of globally generated vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^3$ one can try to decide which of these bundles extend, as a globally generated vector bundle, to higher dimensional projective spaces. This approach has limited efficiency, but we are unaware of a direct method for studying globally generated bundles on $\mathbb{P}^n$, $n \geq 4$.) One natural way to investigate this kind of bundles is to relate them to rank 2 reflexive sheaves, which were intensively studied. If E is a globally generated vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^3$, of rank r and Chern classes $c_i = c_i(E)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, then $r - 2$ general global sections of E define an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow (r-2)\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}' \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\mathscr{E}'$ is a globally generated rank 2 reflexive sheaf with $c_i(\mathscr{E}') = c_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. The classification problem splits, now, into two cases : (1) $\mathscr{E}'$ stable; (2) $\mathscr{E}'$ non-stable. We are concerned, in this paper, with the latter case. Let $\mathscr{E}'_{\text{norm}}$ denote the reflexive sheaf $\mathscr{E}'\left(-\left[\frac{c_1+1}{2}\right]\right)$, which has the first Chern class either 0 or -1. Then $\mathscr{E}'$ is non-stable if and only if $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{E}'_{\text{norm}}) \neq 0$ and this happens, of course, if and only if $\mathrm{H}^0\left(E\left(-\left[\frac{c_1+1}{2}\right]\right)\right) \neq 0$.

Now, it is easy to show that if $\mathrm{H}^0(E(-c_1)) \neq 0$ then $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(c_1) \oplus (r-1)\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ (this is a particular case of a result of Sierra [31]). Sierra and Ugaglia [34, Prop. 2.2] (for $c_1 = 3$) and Anghel et al. [3, Prop. 2.4] (for $c_1 \geq 2$) described the globally generated vector bundles E with $\mathrm{H}^0(E(-c_1)) = 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^0(E(-c_1+1)) \neq 0$. Moreover, we described in [3, Prop. 2.9] the globally generated vector bundles with $c_1 \geq 3$, $\mathrm{H}^0(E(-c_1+1)) = 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^0(E(-c_1+2)) \neq 0$. These results suffice, already, to classify globally generated vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^3$ with $c_1 \leq 3$ (see [3, Remark 2.12]).

The main objective of the present paper is to classify globally generated vector bundles E on $\mathbb{P}^3$ with $c_1 \geq 4$, $\mathrm{H}^0(E(-c_1+2)) = 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^0(E(-c_1+3)) \neq 0$ (the similar problem on $\mathbb{P}^2$ has been settled in [3, Prop. 3.2]). The associated rank 2 reflexive sheaf $\mathscr{E}'$ introduced above satisfies, also, the conditions $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{E}'(-c_1+2)) = 0$, $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{E}'(-c_1+3)) \neq 0$, hence any non-zero global section of $\mathscr{E}'(-c_1+3)$ defines an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(c_1-3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}' \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Z(3) \longrightarrow 0$$

with Z a locally Cohen-Macaulay (CM, for short) closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^3$, of pure codimension 2, locally complete intersection (l.c.i., for short) except at finitely many points, with $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ globally generated. One deduces an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(c_1-3) \oplus (r-2)\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Z(3) \longrightarrow 0\,. \tag{0.1}$$

Now, assume we know a *Horrocks monad* $B^\bullet$ of $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$, i.e., a three terms complex of sheaves:

$$0 \longrightarrow B^{-1} \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} B^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} B^1 \longrightarrow 0 \tag{0.2}$$

with the B^is direct sums of invertible sheaves $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(j)$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $\mathscr{H}^0(B^\bullet) \simeq \mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ and $\mathscr{H}^i(B^\bullet) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. Then there exists a morphism $(\phi,\, \psi) : B^{-1} \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(c_1-3) \oplus (r-2)\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ such that:

$$0 \longrightarrow B^{-1} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ d^{-1} \\ \psi \end{pmatrix}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(c_1-3) \oplus B^0 \oplus (r-2)\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \xrightarrow{(0\,,\, d^0,\, 0)} B^1 \longrightarrow 0 \tag{0.3}$$

is a monad for E.

Thus, we are left with the problem of determining the monads of the ideal sheaves of locally CM space curves Z with $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ globally generated. There are two ways to get such a monad. The first one is based on the observation that if:

$$0 \longrightarrow L_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} L_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} L_0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_Z) \longrightarrow 0$$

is a free resolution of the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_Z) := \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_Z(i))$ (here S is the projective coordinate ring $k[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]$ of $\mathbb{P}^3$) then, removing the direct summand S of L_0 corresponding to the generator $1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_Z)$ of $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_Z)$ and sheafifying, one gets a monad for $\mathscr{I}_Z$:

$$0 \longrightarrow \widetilde{L}_2 \xrightarrow{\widetilde{d}_2} \widetilde{L}_1 \xrightarrow{\widetilde{d}_1'} \widetilde{L}_0' \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

The second way is based on a result, attributed by Peskine and Szpiro [29, Prop. 2.5] to D. Ferrand, asserting that if Z is linked to a curve Z' by a complete intersection of type (a, b) , if

$$0 \longrightarrow A_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} A_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} A_0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z'} \longrightarrow 0$$

is a resolution of $\mathscr{I}_{Z'}$ with direct sums of invertible sheaves and if $\psi : \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-a) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-b) \to A_0$ is a morphism lifting $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-a) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-b) \to \mathscr{I}_{Z'}$ then:

$$0 \longrightarrow A_0^\vee \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \psi^\vee \\ d_1^\vee \end{pmatrix}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(a) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(b) \oplus A_1^\vee \xrightarrow{(0\, ,\, d_2^\vee)} A_2^\vee \longrightarrow 0$$

is a monad for $\mathscr{I}_Z(a + b)$. One can also see that, conversely, if

$$0 \longrightarrow B^{-1} \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} B^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} B^1 \longrightarrow 0$$

is a monad for $\mathscr{I}_Z$ and if $\rho : \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-a) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-b) \to B^0$ is a morphism lifting $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-a) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-b) \to \mathscr{I}_Z$ such that $d^0 \circ \rho = 0$ then there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow B^{1\vee} \xrightarrow{d^{0\vee}} B^{0\vee} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \rho^\vee \\ d^{-1\vee} \end{pmatrix}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(a) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(b) \oplus B^{-1\vee} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z'}(a + b) \longrightarrow 0\, .$$

Notice that if one applies the functor $\mathrm{H}^0_*(-)$ to this exact sequence (twisted by $-a - b$) one gets a graded free resolution of the homogeneous ideal $I(Z') \subset S$ of Z'.

Now, let d be the degree of such a curve Z. Of course, $d \leq 9$. The description of the possible monads for $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ when $d \geq 5$, which we accomplish in Section 1 using the concrete descrition of the stable rank 2 reflexive sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^3$ with $c_1 = -1$ and $c_2 \leq 2$ (due to Hartshorne [18], Hartshorne and Sols [19], Manolache [24], and Chang [9]), turns out to be easy. We determine, in Section 2, the monads of $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ for $d \leq 4$, using a case by case analysis. We rely heavily, in this analysis, on the results from Appendix A where we describe, using the theory of Bănică and Forster [6], the generators of the homogeneous ideals and the monads of the ideal sheaves of the multiple lines in $\mathbb{P}^3$ of degree ≤ 4, and on the results from Appendix B where we do the same thing for the reducible curves of degree ≤ 4 having a multiple line as a component.

Finally, we use, in Section 3, the classification of the monads of the locally CM curves Z with $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ globally generated to prove the main result of this paper which is the following:

Theorem 0.1. *Let E be a globally vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^3$ with $c_1 \geq 4$ and such that $\mathrm{H}^i(E^\vee) = 0$, $i = 0, 1$. If $\mathrm{H}^0(E(-c_1 + 2)) = 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^0(E(-c_1 + 3)) \neq 0$ then one of the following holds:*

- (i) *$E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(c_1 - 3) \oplus F$, where F is a globally generated vector bundle with $c_1(F) = 3$;*
- (ii) *$c_1 = 4$ and $E \simeq F(2)$, where F is a nullcorrelation bundle or a 2-instanton;*
- (iii) *$c_1 = 4$ and, up to a linear change of coordinates, E is the kernel of the epimorphism*

$$(x_0\, , \, x_1\, , \, x_2\, , \, x_3^2) : 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(3)\, ;$$

(iv) $c_1 = 4$ *and, up to a linear change of coordinates, E is the kernel of the epimorphism*

$$(x_0\,,\, x_1\,,\, x_2^2\,,\, x_2x_3\,,\, x_3^2) : 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(3)\,;$$

(v) $c_1 = 4$ *and, up to a linear change of coordinates, E is the cohomology of the monad*

$$\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} s \\ u \end{pmatrix}} 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \oplus 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \xrightarrow{(p\,,\,0)} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(3)$$

where $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \xrightarrow{s} 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \xrightarrow{p} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(3)$ is a subcomplex of the Koszul complex defined by x_0, x_1, x_2^2, x_3^2 and $u : \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \to 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is defined by x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3;

(vi) $c_1 = 5$ *and $E \simeq F(3)$, where F is a stable rank 2 vector bundle with $c_1(F) = -1$ and $c_2(F) = 2$;*

(vii) $c_1 = 6$ *and $E \simeq F(3)$, where F is a strictly semistable rank 2 vector bundle with $c_1(F) = 0$ and $c_2(F) = 3$.*

Some comments are in order. As originally noticed by Sierra and Ugaglia, the condition $\mathrm{H}^i(E^\vee) = 0$, $i = 0, 1$, is not too restrictive : any other globally generated vector bundle can be obtained from a bundle satisfying this additional condition by quotienting a trivial subbundle and, then, by adding a trivial direct summand. As we said at the beginning of the introduction, the bundles F appearing in item (i) were classified in [2] and [34], independently.

Finally, we extend, in Prop. 3.5, the classification from Theorem 0.1 to higher dimensional projective spaces. In order to show that the bundle appearing in item (vii) of Thm. 0.1 cannot be extended to $\mathbb{P}^4$ we need a result of Barth and Ellencwajg [8, Thm. 4.2] asserting that there is no stable rank 2 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^4$ with Chern classes $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = 3$. We provide, in Thm. 3.3, a different proof of this fact, based on the results of Mohan Kumar, Peterson and Rao [21].

We use Prop. 3.5 to recover, in a different manner, in Thm. 3.8, the classification of globally generated vector bundles with $c_1 = 4$ on $\mathbb{P}^n$, $n \geq 4$, which is part of the main result of our previous paper [3]. It is very likely that Prop. 3.5 can be used to get a classification of globally generated vector bundles with $c_1 = 5$ on $\mathbb{P}^n$, $n \geq 5$. We intend to come back to this subject in another paper.

Note. We provide, in the appendices to the paper, graded free resolutions for the homogeneous ideals and for the graded structural algebras of all nonreduced locally Cohen-Macaulay curves in $\mathbb{P}^3$ of degree at most 4. We need only a small part of these results for the proof of Thm. 0.1 but we decided to give (at least in e-print form) the complete (and very long) list because it might be useful in some other contexts, too. Our approach is almost algorithmic and, at least, some aspects of the description of quadruple structures on a line should be new. There are overlaps with the papers of Nollet [27] and Nollet and Schlessinger [28] but our emphasis is on the description of individual curves and not on their deformations.

Acknowledgements. N. Manolache expresses his thanks to the Institute of Mathematics, Oldenburg University, especially to Udo Vetter, for warm hospitality during the preparation of this paper.

Notation. (i) We denote by $S = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ the projective coordinate ring of the projective n-space $\mathbb{P}^n$ over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0. If $\mathscr{F}$ is a coherent sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^n$, we denote by $\mathrm{H}^i_*(\mathscr{F})$ the graded S-module $\bigoplus_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{H}^i(\mathscr{F}(l))$.

(ii) If X is a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^n$, we denote by $\mathscr{I}_X \subset \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ its ideal sheaf. If Y is a closed subscheme of X, we denote by $\mathscr{I}_{Y,X} \subset \mathscr{O}_X$ the ideal sheaf defining Y as a closed subscheme of X. In other words, $\mathscr{I}_{Y,X} = \mathscr{I}_Y/\mathscr{I}_X$. If $\mathscr{F}$ is a coherent sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^n$, we put $\mathscr{F}_X := \mathscr{F} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}} \mathscr{O}_X$ and $\mathscr{F} \mid X := i^*\mathscr{F}$, where $i : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ is the inclusion.

(iii) By a point of a quasi-projective scheme X we always mean a *closed point*. If $\mathscr{F}$ is a coherent sheaf on X and $x \in X$, we denote by $\mathscr{F}(x)$ the *reduced stalk* $\mathscr{F}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x\mathscr{F}_x$ of $\mathscr{F}$ at x, where $\mathfrak{m}_x$ is the maximal ideal of $\mathscr{O}_{X,x}$.

(iv) We frequently write "CM" for "Cohen-Macaulay" and "l.c.i." for "locally complete intersection".

1. Curves of degree at least 5

Proposition 1.1. *Let Z be a locally CM space curve of degree $d \geq 4$. If $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ is globally generated and $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(2)) \neq 0$ then one of the following holds:*

(i) *Z is a complete intersection of type $(2,3)$;*

(ii) *$d = 5$ and $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ admits a resolution of the form:*

$$0 \longrightarrow 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Z(3) \longrightarrow 0\,;$$

(iii) *$d = 4$ and $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ admits a resolution of the form:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \longrightarrow 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Z(3) \longrightarrow 0\,;$$

(iv) *$d = 4$ and $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ admits a resolution of the form:*

$$0 \longrightarrow 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Z(3) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Proof. Z is contained in a complete intersection of type $(2,3)$ hence $d \leq 6$.

Case 1. $d = 6$.

In this case Z is a complete intersection of type $(2,3)$.

Case 2. $d = 5$.

In this case, Z is linked to a line by a complete intersection of type $(2,3)$ hence, by Ferrand's result about liaison, $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ admits a resolution as in item (ii) of the statement.

Case 3. $d = 4$.

In this case, Z is linked to a curve X of degree 2. One has to divide this case into subcases.

Subcase 3.1. *X is a complete intersection of type $(1,2)$.*

In this subscase, by Ferrand's result about liaison, $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ admits a resolution as in item (iii) of the statement.

Subcase 3.2. *X is the disjoint union of two lines.*

In this subcase, $\mathscr{I}_X$ admits a resolution of the form (see Lemma B.1) :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-4) \longrightarrow 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-3) \longrightarrow 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_X \longrightarrow 0$$

hence, by Ferrand's result, $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ is the cohomology of a monad of the form :

$$0 \longrightarrow 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow 5\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \longrightarrow 0$$

Since the kernel of any epimorphism $5\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$, it follows that $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ admits a resolution as in item (iv) of the statement.

Subcase 3.3 *X is a double structure on a line L.*

X is defined by an epimorphism $\mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_L^2 \to \mathscr{O}_L(l)$, for some $l \geq -1$ (see Subsection A.4). From the *fundamental exact sequence of liaison* (recalled in [3, Remark 2.6]) :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-5) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Z \longrightarrow \omega_X(-1) \longrightarrow 0$$

it follows that $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ is globally generated iff $\omega_X(2)$ is globally generated. But, as we recalled in Subsection A.4 of Appendix A, $\omega_X \simeq \mathscr{O}_X(-l-2)$. One deduces that $l \leq 0$. If $l = -1$ then X is the divisor $2L$ on a plane $H \supset L$ and this situation has been treated in Subcase 3.1, and if $l = 0$ then, as we recalled in Subsection A.4, $\mathscr{I}_X$ admits a resolution having the same (numerical) shape as that of the disjoint union of two lines hence, by the proof of Subcase 3.2, $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ admits a resolution as in item (iv) of the statement. □

We assume, from now and until the end of this section, that Z is a locally CM space curve of degree $d \geq 5$, such that $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ is globally generated and $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(2)) = 0$. Z is linked by a complete intersection of type $(3, 3)$ to a locally CM curve Z' of degree $d' = 9 - d$. The fundamental exact sequence of liaison :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-6) \longrightarrow 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Z \longrightarrow \omega_{Z'}(-2) \longrightarrow 0$$

shows that the condition $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ globally generated is equivalent to $\omega_{Z'}(1)$ being globally generated, and the condition $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(2)) = 0$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{H}^0(\omega_{Z'}) = 0$, which by Serre duality is equivalent to $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{O}_{Z'}) = 0$ hence to $\mathrm{H}^2(\mathscr{I}_{Z'}) = 0$.

Proposition 1.2. *Using the above hypotheses and notation, assume that Z' is l.c.i. except at finitely many points. Then one of the following holds:*

(i) *$d = 7$ and $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ admits a resolution of the form:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2) \longrightarrow 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Z(3) \longrightarrow 0\,;$$

(ii) *$d = 6$ and $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ admits a resolution of the form:*

$$0 \longrightarrow 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \longrightarrow 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Z(3) \longrightarrow 0\,;$$

(iii) *$d = 5$ and $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ admits a resolution of the form:*

$$0 \longrightarrow 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Z(3) \longrightarrow 0\,;$$

(iv) $d = 5$ *and* $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ *admits a resolution of the form*:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \longrightarrow K \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Z(3) \longrightarrow 0$$

where K *is the kernel of an epimorphism* $2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(3)$.

Proof. Assume, firstly, that $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{Z'}(1)) \neq 0$. Then Z' is a complete intersection of type $(1, d')$. In this case $\omega_{Z'} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{Z'}(d' - 3)$ hence the two conditions $\omega_{Z'}(1)$ globally generated and $\mathrm{H}^0(\omega_{Z'}) = 0$ imply that $d' = 2$. In this case, by Ferrand's result about liaison, $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ admits a resolution as in item (i) of the statement.

Assume, from now on, that $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{Z'}(1)) = 0$. Since Z' is l.c.i. except at finitely many points and since $\omega_{Z'}(1)$ is globally generated, a general global section of this sheaf generates it except at finitely many points, hence it defines an extension:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}'(2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z'}(3) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\mathscr{F}'$ is a rank 2 *reflexive sheaf* with Chern classes $c_1(\mathscr{F}') = -1$ and $c_2(\mathscr{F}') = d' - 2$. Our assumption $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{Z'}(1)) = 0$ implies that $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{F}') = 0$, hence $\mathscr{F}'$ is stable. Now, Hartshorne's results [18, Cor. 3.3] and [18, Thm. 8.2(d)] imply that $c_2(\mathscr{F}') \geq 1$ hence $c_2(\mathscr{F}') \in \{1, 2\}$ because $d' \leq 4$ and that, moreover, if $c_2(\mathscr{F}') = 1$ then $c_3(\mathscr{F}') = 1$ and if $c_2(\mathscr{F}') = 2$ then $c_3(\mathscr{F}') \in \{0, 2, 4\}$.

Case 1. $c_2(\mathscr{F}') = 1$.

In this case, by Hartshorne [18, Lemma 9.4], $\mathscr{F}'$ can be realised as an extension:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}' \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_L \longrightarrow 0$$

where L is a line. One deduces that $\mathscr{F}'$ and, then, $\mathscr{I}_{Z'}$ have resolutions of the form :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2) \longrightarrow 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}' \longrightarrow 0$$
$$0 \longrightarrow 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-3) \longrightarrow 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z'} \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Using Ferrand's result about liaison, one gets that $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ admits a resolution as in item (ii) of the statement.

Case 2. $c_2(\mathscr{F}') = 2$, $c_3(\mathscr{F}') = 4$.

In this case, by Hartshorne [18, Lemma 9.6], $\mathscr{F}'$ can be realised as an extension:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}' \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Y \longrightarrow 0$$

where Y is a plane curve of degree 2. But in this case $\mathrm{H}^2(\mathscr{I}_Y(-1)) \neq 0$, which implies $\mathrm{H}^2(\mathscr{F}'(-1)) \neq 0$, hence $\mathrm{H}^2(\mathscr{I}_{Z'}) \neq 0$ and this contradicts our hypothesis that $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(2)) = 0$ (see the discussion preceeding the statement of the proposition).

Case 3. $c_2(\mathscr{F}') = 2$, $c_3(\mathscr{F}') = 2$.

In this case, a result of Chang [9, Lemma 2.4] implies that $\mathscr{F}'$ can be realized as an extension:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}' \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Y \longrightarrow 0$$

where either Y is the union of two disjoint lines or it is a double structure on a line L defined by an epimorphism $\mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_L^2 \to \mathscr{O}_L$. In both cases, Y admits a resolution of the form (see Subsection A.4 and Lemma B.1) :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-4) \xrightarrow{d_2} 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-3) \xrightarrow{d_1} 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Y \longrightarrow 0$$

One gets resolutions for $\mathscr{F}'$ and $\mathscr{I}_{Z'}$ of the form :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-4) \xrightarrow{d_2} 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-3) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} * \\ d_1 \end{pmatrix}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \oplus 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}' \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-5) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ d_2(-1) \end{pmatrix}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-3) \oplus 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-4) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2) \oplus 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z'} \longrightarrow 0$$

Using Ferrand's result about liaison, one deduces that $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ has a monad of the form :

$$0 \longrightarrow 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \longrightarrow 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \xrightarrow{(d_2^\vee(-2)\, ,\, 0)} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \longrightarrow 0$$

In this way, one gets an exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Z(3) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

The component $4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \to 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ of α must have rank at least 2 because any monomorphism $3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \to \Omega_{\mathbb{P}}(2)$ degenerates along a surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$. It follows that at least 2 direct summands $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ from the above resolution of $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ must cancel (see Remark A.9 from Appendix A), hence $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ has a resolution as in item (iii) of the statement.

Case 4. $c_2(\mathscr{F}') = 2$, $c_3(\mathscr{F}') = 0$.

In this case, according to the results of Hartshorne and Sols [19, Prop. 2.1] or of Manolache [24, Cor. 2], $\mathscr{F}'$ can be realised as an extension :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}' \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Y \longrightarrow 0$$

where Y is a double structure on a line L defined by an epimorphism $\mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_L^2 \to \mathscr{O}_L(1)$. According to the results recalled in Subsection A.4, $\mathscr{I}_Y$ has a resolution of the form :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-5) \xrightarrow{d_2} 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-3) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-4) \xrightarrow{d_1} 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Y \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

One deduces that $\mathscr{F}'$ and, then, $\mathscr{I}_{Z'}$ have resolutions of the form :

$$0 \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-5) \xrightarrow{d_2} 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-3) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-4) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} * \\ d_1 \end{pmatrix}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}' \to 0$$

$$0 \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-6) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ d_2(-1) \end{pmatrix}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-3) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-4) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-5) \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-3) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-4) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z'} \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Now, the result of Ferrand about liaison implies that $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ has a monad of the form:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \longrightarrow 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \xrightarrow{(d_2^\vee(-2)\, ,\, 0)} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(3) \longrightarrow 0\, .$$

Denoting by K the kernel of the epimorphism $d_2^\vee(-2) : 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(3)$, one gets an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} K \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Z(3) \longrightarrow 0\, .$$

The component $3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \to 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ of α must have rank at least 2, because any monomorphism $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \to K$ degenerates along a surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$. One deduces that at least two direct summands $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ from the above resolution of $\mathscr{I}_Z$ cancel, hence $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ admits a resolution as in item (iv) of the statement. □

Proposition 1.3. *Using the hypotheses and notation established before Prop. 1.2, assume that Z' is not l.c.i. except finitely many points. Then $d = 6$ and $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ admits a resolution of the form:*

$$0 \longrightarrow 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \longrightarrow 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Z(3) \longrightarrow 0\, .$$

Proof. There are only three possibilities for Z'.

Case 1. *$Z' = L^{(1)}$ = first infinitesimal neighbourhood of a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^3$.*

Z' is the subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^3$ defined by $\mathscr{I}_L^2$, hence $\mathscr{I}_{Z'}$ admits a resolution of the form:

$$0 \longrightarrow 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-3) \longrightarrow 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z'} \longrightarrow 0$$

which, by dualization, provides a resolution of $\omega_{Z'}$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-4) \longrightarrow 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2) \longrightarrow 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \longrightarrow \omega_{Z'} \longrightarrow 0\, .$$

One sees that, indeed, $\omega_{Z'}(1)$ is globally generated and that $\mathrm{H}^0(\omega_{Z'}) = 0$. Applying Ferrand result about liaison to the above resolution of $\mathscr{I}_{Z'}$ one gets that $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ admits a resolution as in the statement.

Case 2. *$Z' = L^{(1)} \cup L'$, with L and L' distinct lines.*

If $L \cap L' = \emptyset$ then $\omega_{Z'}(1)$ is not globally generated because $\omega_{L'}(1) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L'}(-1)$. If $L \cap L' \neq \emptyset$ then, choosing conveniently the homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^3$, one may assume that L has equations $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ and L' has equations $x_1 = x_3 = 0$. Then $I(Z') = (x_2x_3,\, x_3^2,\, x_1x_2^2)$ (see Lemma B.9 with $c = 0$). Z' is linked, via the complete intersection defined by x_3^2 and $x_1x_2^2$, to $L \cup L'$. The fundamental exact sequence of liaison:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-5) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L\cup L'} \longrightarrow \omega_{Z'}(-1) \longrightarrow 0$$

and the fact that $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{L\cup L'}(1)) \neq 0$ implies that $\mathrm{H}^0(\omega_{Z'}) \neq 0$, which *contradicts* our hypothesis (see the discussion before Prop. 1.2).

Case 3. *Z' is a thick structure of degree 4 on a line L.*

In this case, by the results recalled in Subsection A.3 of Appendix A, one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_L(l) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Z'} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L^{(1)}} \longrightarrow 0$$

for some $l \geq -2$. Applying $\mathscr{E}xt^2_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}}(-, \omega_{\mathbb{P}})$, one gets an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \omega_{L^{(1)}} \longrightarrow \omega_{Z'} \longrightarrow \omega_L(-l) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

But $\omega_L(-l) \simeq \mathscr{O}_L(-l-2)$ hence $\omega_{Z'}(1)$ globally generated implies $l \leq -1$. Since we assumed that Z' is not l.c.i. except at finitely many points, Prop. A.14 implies that l must be even, hence $l = -2$. Since $\mathrm{h}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L^{(1)}}) = 1$ and $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{O}_L(-2)) \neq 0$, the above exact sequence involving $\mathscr{O}_{Z'}$ shows that $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{O}_{Z'}) \neq 0$, which *contradicts* our hypothesis (see the discussion before Prop. 1.2). $\square$

2. Curves of degree at most 4

We want to classify, in this section, the locally CM curves Z in $\mathbb{P}^3$, l.c.i. except at finitely many points, of degree $d \leq 4$, with $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ globally generated. More precisely, we want to list the monads of the ideal sheaves of these curves. According to Prop. 1.1, we can assume, in the case $d = 4$, that $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(2)) = 0$.

Lemma 2.1. *If* $d = 4$ *and* $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ *is globally generated then* $\mathrm{h}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(3)) \geq 5$.

Proof. The assertion is clear if $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(2)) \neq 0$. Assume, from now on, that $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(2)) = 0$. Since Z is not a complete intersection of type (3,3) it follows that $\mathrm{h}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(3)) \geq 3$.

If $\mathrm{h}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(3)) = 3$ then one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Z(3) \longrightarrow 0$$

with E a rank 2 vector bundle. Let us recall the following fact: if W is a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^3$ of dimension ≤ 1 and if $\deg W$ is defined by $\chi(\mathscr{O}_W(t)) = t\deg W + \chi(\mathscr{O}_W)$, $\forall\, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, (such that $\deg W = 0$ if $\dim W \leq 0$) then:

$$c_1(\mathscr{I}_W(t)) = t \text{ and } c_2(\mathscr{I}_W(t)) = \deg W\,.$$

(*Indeed*, it suffices to compute $c_1(\mathscr{O}_W(t))$ and $c_2(\mathscr{O}_W(t))$. If $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is a general plane then the latter Chern classes are (numerically) equal to the corresponding Chern classes of $\mathscr{O}_{H\cap W}(t)$ on $H \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ and these can be computed as in [18, Lemma 2.7].)

One gets that $c_1(E) = -3$ and $c_2(E) = 5$ but this is not possible because the Chern classes of a rank 2 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^3$ must satisfy the relation $c_1c_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ (by the Riemann-Roch formula). It thus remains that $\mathrm{h}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(3)) \geq 4$.

Assume, finally, that $\mathrm{h}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(3)) = 4$. Eliminating this case turns out to be more complicated. One has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Z(3) \longrightarrow 0$$

where F is a rank 3 vector bundle with Chern classes $c_1(F) = -3$ and $c_2(F) = 5$. By our assumption, $\mathrm{H}^i(F) = 0$, $i = 0\,, 1$. Now, Z is directly linked by a complete intersection of type $(3,3)$ to a curve Z' of degree 5. By Ferrand's result about liaison, one gets an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow F^\vee \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z'}(3) \longrightarrow 0\,. \tag{2.1}$$

One cannot have $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{Z'}(2)) \neq 0$ because this would imply that Z' is directly linked to a line by a complete intersection of type (2,3) which would imply in turn, by applying twice Ferrand's result about liaison, that $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(2)) \neq 0$. It follows that $\mathrm{H}^0(F^\vee(-1)) = 0$.

On the other hand, $\mathrm{H}^0(F(1)) \neq 0$. *Indeed*, let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a plane cutting Z properly. $H \cap Z$ is a 0-dimensional subscheme of length 4 of H hence $\mathrm{h}^1(\mathscr{I}_{H\cap Z,H}) = 3$. One deduces, from the Lemma of Le Potier (recalled in [3, Lemma 1.22]), that $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{I}_{H\cap Z,H}(l)) = 0$ for $l \geq 3$. One gets, in particular, that $\mathrm{h}^0(\mathscr{I}_{H\cap Z,H}(4)) = 15 - 4 = 11$ hence, using the exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Z(3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Z(4) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{H\cap Z,H}(4) \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

$\mathrm{h}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(4)) \leq 11 + 4 = 15$. One deduces, from the exact sequence defining F, that $\mathrm{h}^0(F(1)) \geq 4 \times 4 - 15 = 1$.

Consider, now, the normalized rank 3 vector bundle $G := F(1)$. It has $c_1(G) = 0$ and $c_2(G) = 2$. Moreover, $\mathrm{H}^i(G(-1)) = 0$, $i = 0\,,1$, $\mathrm{H}^0(G^\vee) = 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^0(G) \neq 0$. Since $\mathrm{H}^0(G(-1)) = 0$, the scheme of zeroes W of a non-zero global section of G must have dimension ≤ 1. One has an exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{F} \longrightarrow G^\vee \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_W \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.2}$$

where $\mathscr{F}$ is a rank 2 reflexive sheaf with $c_1(\mathscr{F}) = 0$. Since $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{F}) = 0$, $\mathscr{F}$ is stable. It follows that $c_2(\mathscr{F}) \geq 1$ (for results about stable rank 2 reflexive sheaves one may consult Hartshorne [18], especially Sect. 7). On the other hand, one gets, using the exact sequence (2.2), that :

$$c_2(\mathscr{F}) + \deg W = c_2(G^\vee) = 2\,.$$

If $c_2(\mathscr{F}) = 1$ then $\mathscr{F}$ is a nullcorrelation bundle. In particular, it is locally free. One deduces, from the exact sequence (2.2), that W is locally CM of pure codimension 2 in $\mathbb{P}^3$. Since $\deg W = 1$, W is a line. $\mathscr{F}$ being a nullcorrelation bundle one has $\mathrm{h}^2(\mathscr{F}(-3)) = 1$. It follows that $\mathrm{H}^2(G^\vee(-3)) \neq 0$ hence, by Serre duality, $\mathrm{H}^1(G(-1)) \neq 0$, a *contradiction*.

It remains that $c_2(\mathscr{F}) = 2$. In this case $\deg W = 0$, i.e., $\dim W \leq 0$. Dualizing the exact sequence (2.2) and taking into account that $\mathscr{F}^\vee \simeq \mathscr{F}$ (because $c_1(\mathscr{F}) = 0$), one gets an exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \mathscr{F} \longrightarrow 0\,. \tag{2.3}$$

The possible *spectra* of $\mathscr{F}$ are $(0,0)$, $(-1,0)$ and $(-1,-1)$. For the first two spectra one has $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{F}(-1)) \neq 0$ which is not possible because $\mathrm{H}^1(G(-1)) = 0$. It remains that the spectrum of $\mathscr{F}$ is $(-1,-1)$. In particular, $c_3(\mathscr{F}) = 4$, hence, by [18, Prop. 2.6], $\mathrm{h}^0(\mathscr{E}xt^1_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}}(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}})) = 4$. Dualizing the exact sequence (2.3), one gets that $\mathscr{E}xt^1_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}}(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}) \simeq \mathscr{O}_W$. Now, by Chang [9, Lemma 2.9], $\mathscr{F}$ admits a resolution of the form :

$$0 \longrightarrow 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2) \xrightarrow{\phi} 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{F} \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Dualizing this exact sequence one gets the following presentation :

$$4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \xrightarrow{\phi^\vee} 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}xt^1_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}}(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Since $\mathscr{E}xt^1_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}}(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}) \simeq \mathscr{O}_W$, the properties of Fitting ideals show that W is defined, as a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^3$, by the 2×2 minors of the 2×4 matrix of linear forms defining $\phi^\vee$. Considering the Eagon-Northcott complex associated to $\phi^\vee(-2) : 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \to 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$, one gets the following resolution of $\mathscr{O}_W$:

$$0 \longrightarrow 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-4) \longrightarrow 8\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-3) \longrightarrow 6\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_W \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

One deduces that $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_W(1)) = 0$. One gets, now, from the exact sequence (2.2), that $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{F}(1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^0(G^\vee(1))$. It follows that the dependence locus of any two global sections of $G^\vee(1)$ contains a surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$. Since $G^\vee(1) \simeq F^\vee$, this *contradicts* the existence of the exact sequence (2.1). □

Lemma 2.2. *Let Y be a reduced, connected curve of degree* 3 *in* $\mathbb{P}^3$. *Then either Y is a complete intersection of type* $(1,3)$ *or Y is directly linked to a line by a complete intersection of type* $(2,2)$.

Proof. Assume that $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Y(1)) = 0$. Then one can choose three noncolinear points P_1, P_2, P_3 of Y such that the plane H determined by them intersects Y properly. In this case the map $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)) \to \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{\{P_1,P_2,P_3\}}(1))$ is surjective, hence the map $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_Y(1)) \to \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{H\cap Y}(1))$ is surjective, too. Using the exact sequences :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_Y(i) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_Y(i+1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{H\cap Y}(i+1) \longrightarrow 0$$

one derives (when $i = 0$) that $\mathrm{h}^0(\mathscr{O}_Y(1)) = 4$ and that $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{O}_Y(i)) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{O}_Y(i+1))$ is injective for $i \geq 0$, hence $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{O}_Y) = 0$. Using the exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Y(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_Y(1) \longrightarrow 0$$

one deduces that $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{I}_Y(1)) = 0$. Since $\mathrm{H}^2(\mathscr{I}_Y) \simeq \mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{O}_Y) = 0$ it follows that $\mathscr{I}_Y$ is 2-regular, hence $\mathscr{I}_Y(2)$ is globally generated. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let Z be a reduced, connected curve of degree* 4 *in* $\mathbb{P}^3$. *Then* $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(2)) \neq 0$.

Proof. Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a plane intersecting Z properly. Using the exact sequences :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_Z(i) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_Z(i+1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{H\cap Z}(i+1) \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

for $i = 0$ and 1, one deduces that :

$$\mathrm{h}^0(\mathscr{O}_Z(2)) \leq \mathrm{h}^0(\mathscr{O}_Z(1)) + \mathrm{h}^0(\mathscr{O}_{H\cap Z}(2)) \leq \mathrm{h}^0(\mathscr{O}_Z) + \mathrm{h}^0(\mathscr{O}_{H\cap Z}(1)) + \mathrm{h}^0(\mathscr{O}_{H\cap Z}(2)) = 9\,.$$

Using, now, the exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Z(2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_Z(2) \longrightarrow 0$$

and the fact that $\mathrm{h}^0(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2)) = 10$ one derives that $\mathrm{h}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(2)) \geq 1$. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let X and X' be two disjoint locally CM curves of degree* 2 *in* $\mathbb{P}^3$ *and let $Z = X \cup X'$. Then Z admits a* 4*-secant hence $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ is not globally generated.*

Proof. X (and, similarly, X') can be a complete intersection of type (1,2), the union of two disjoint lines L and L', or a double structure on a line L. In the first case, X is contained in a plane H and every line in H intersecting X properly is a 2-secant to X. In the second case, every line joining a point of L and a point of L' is a 2-secant to X. In the third case, assume that L is the line of equations $x_2 = x_3 = 0$. As it is well known, there exist an integer $l \geq -1$ and two coprime polynomials a, $b \in k[x_0, x_1]_{l+1}$ such that the holomogeneous ideal $I(X) \subset S$ of X is generated by:

$$F_2 = -bx_2 + ax_3,\ x_2^2,\ x_2x_3,\ x_3^2$$

(this result is recalled in Subsection A.4 from Appendix A). The surface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ of equation $F_2 = 0$ is nonsingular along L. If L_1 is another line meeting L in a point z then L_1 is a 2-secant to X, i.e., $\deg(L_1 \cap X) = 2$ if and only if L_1 is contained in the projective tangent plane $\mathrm{T}_z\Sigma$ to Σ at z. This tangent plane has equation:

$$-b(z)x_2 + a(z)x_3 = 0\,.$$

We will show that X and X' have a common 2-secant which is, consequently, a 4-secant to Z. We split the proof of this fact into several cases according to the nature of X and X'.

Case 1. *X is a double structure on the line L of equations $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ and X' is a double structure on the line L' of equations $x_0 = x_1 = 0$.*

Consider the morphism $\phi : L \to L'$ defined by $\{\phi(z)\} = \mathrm{T}_z\Sigma \cap L'$. One has:

$$\phi((z_0 : z_1 : 0 : 0)) = (0 : 0 : a(z_0, z_1) : b(z_0, z_1))\,.$$

For $z \in L$, the line joining z to $\phi(z) \in L'$ is a 2-secant to X. Consider the similar morphism $\phi' : L' \to L$. The composite morphism $\phi' \circ \phi : L \to L$ has a fixed point z, hence, if $z' = \phi(z)$ then $\phi'(z') = z$. The line joining z to z' is a 2-secant to both X and X'.

Case 2. *X is a double structure on the line L of equations $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ and X' is a complete intersection of type* (1,2).

Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the plane containing X', let $\{z\} = H \cap L$ and let $L_1 = \mathrm{T}_z\Sigma \cap H$. Then L_1 is a 2-secant to both X and X'.

Case 3. *X is a double structure on the line L of equations $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ and $X' = L' \cup L''$, where L' and L'' are disjoint lines not intersecting L.*

Consider the morphism $\phi : L \to L'$ defined in Case 1. Consider also the morphism $\psi : L \to L'$ defined by:

$$\{\psi(z)\} = \mathrm{span}(\{z\} \cup L'') \cap L'\,.$$

ψ is, actually, an isomorphism. Then $\psi^{-1} \circ \phi : L \to L$ has a fixed point z. Let $w = \phi(z) = \psi(z)$. The line joining z and w is a 2-secant to X and meets both L' and L'', hence it is a 2-secant to X', too.

Case 4. *X and X' are both complete intersections of type* (1,2).

X is contained in a plane H and X' is contained in a plane H'. The line $H \cap H'$ is a 2-secant to both X and X'.

Case 5. *X is a complete intersection of type* (1,2) *and X' is the union of two disjoint lines L' and L'' not intersecting X.*

X is contained in a plane H and L' (resp., L'') intersects H in a point z' (resp., z''). The line joining z' and z'' is a 2-secant to both X and X'.

Case 6. *Z is the union of four mutually disjoint lines.*

This case is well-known : three of the lines are contained in a unique nonsingular quadric surface $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^3$. Let z be a point from the intersection of Q with the fourth line. Then the line contained in Q, passing through z, and not belonging to the ruling of Q to which the first three lines belong intersects all the four lines. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let Y be a locally CM curve of degree 3 in $\mathbb{P}^3$, $L' \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ a line not intersecting Y, and $Z = Y \cup L'$. If $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ is globally generated then Y is directly linked to a line by a complete intersection of type $(2,2)$.*

Proof. Lemma 2.4 implies that Y is connected. Y cannot be a complete intersection of type (1,3) because, in that case, any line contained in the plane $H \supset Y$ and passing through the intersection point of H and L' is a 4-secant to Z. If Y is reduced then, by Lemma 2.2, Y is directly linked to a line by a complete intersection of type (2,2).

Consequently, we can assume that Y is connected and nonreduced. There are two cases to be considered.

Case 1. *Y is a triple structure on a line.*

In this case, since the first infinitesimal neighbourhood of a line in $\mathbb{P}^3$ is directly linked to a line by a complete intersection of type (2,2), we can assume that Y is a quasiprimitive triple structure on the line L_1 of equations $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ and that L' is the line of equations $x_0 = x_1 = 0$. We use the notation and results from Subsection B.2 of Appendix B.

If $l = -1$ and $m = 1$ then, by Lemma B.14, Y is directly linked to L_1 by a complete intersection of type $(2,2)$. If $l = -1$ and $m \neq 1$ then, using the notation from Lemma B.13, consider a divisor $\lambda \in k[x_0, x_1]_1$ of v_2. The line of equations $F_2 = \lambda = 0$ is a 3-secant to Y hence a 4-secant to $Z = Y \cup L'$.

If $l = m = 0$ then Y is the divisor $3L_1$ on a nonsingular quadric surface $Q \supset L_1$. If $P \in Q \cap L'$ then the line contained in Q, passing through P and intersecting L_1 is a 4-secant to Z.

Finally, if $l = 0$ and $m \geq 1$ or if $l \geq 1$ then, by Prop. B.15(b) and by Lemma 2.1, $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ is not globally generated.

Case 2. *Y is the union of a double structure on a line and of another line intersecting it.*

In this case, we can assume that $Y = X \cup L$, where X is a double structure on the line L_1 of equations $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ and L is the line of equations $\ell = x_3 = 0$, $\ell = x_1 + cx_2$, $c \neq 0$, and that L' is the line of equations $x_0 = x_1 = 0$. We use the results stated in Prop. B.30 and the notation appearing there.

If $x_1 \mid b$ and $l = -1$ then, by the proof of Prop. B.30(a), Y is a complete intersection of type (1,3) and this case was excluded above.

If $x_1 \mid b$ and $l = 0$ then, by the proof of Prop. B.30(b), Y is directly linked to a line by a complete intersection of type (2,2).

If $x_1 \mid b$ and $l \geq 1$ then, by Prop. B.30(c), choosing a divisor $\lambda \in k[x_0, x_1]_1$ of b_1, the line of equations $\lambda = x_3 = 0$ is a 4-secant to Z.

If $x_1 \nmid b$ and $l = -1$ then, by the proof of Prop. B.30(d), Y is directly linked to a line by a complete intersection of type (2,2).

Finally, if $x_1 \nmid b$ and $l \geq 0$ then, by Prop. B.30(e), choosing a divisor $\lambda \in k[x_0, x_1]_1$ of b, the line of equations $\lambda = x_3 = 0$ is a 4-secant to Z. □

Lemma 2.6. *Assume that Z is a quasiprimitive structure of degree 4 on the line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ of equations $x_2 = x_3 = 0$. If $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(2)) = 0$ then $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ is not globally generated.*

Proof. We use Prop. A.6 and Prop. A.12 from Appendix A and the notation from that appendix. One has $l \geq 0$ because, in the case $l = -1$, a and b are constants, not simultaneously 0, $F_2 = -bx + ay$, and $G_1 = F_2^2$, hence $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(2)) \neq 0$. The conclusion follows, now, from Lemma 2.1 using Prop. A.6 and Prop. A.12. □

Remark 2.7. We take this opportunity to complete the argument for assertion 1.2(iii) from the paper of Gruson and Skiti [16] by showing that if Z is a primitive structure of degree 4 on a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ (let's say, of equations $x_2 = x_3 = 0$), with $l = 0$ and such that $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(2)) = 0$, then Z admits two 4-secants.

Indeed, the conditions $l = 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(2)) = 0$ imply that Z doesn't satisfy the hypothesis of Prop. A.6 but it satisfies the hypothesis of Prop. A.12. One may assume, now, that $a = x_0$ and $b = x_1$ hence:

$$\begin{gathered} F_2 = -x_1x_2 + x_0x_3\,,\ F_3 = F_2 + v_0x_2^2 + v_1x_2x_3 + v_2x_3^2\,, \\ F_{40} = x_0F_3 + w_{00}x_2^3 + w_{01}x_2^2x_3 + w_{02}x_2x_3^2 + w_{03}x_3^3\,, \\ F_{41} = x_1F_3 + w_{10}x_2^3 + w_{11}x_2^2x_3 + w_{12}x_2x_3^2 + w_{13}x_3^3\,, \\ G_1 = x_2F_3\,,\ G_2 = x_3F_3\,. \end{gathered}$$

The surface $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ of equation $F_3 = 0$ is a smooth quadric. Relation (A.27) becomes:

$$\begin{gathered} -x_1(w_{00}x_2^3 + w_{01}x_2^2x_3 + w_{02}x_2x_3^2 + w_{03}x_3^3) + x_0(w_{10}x_2^3 + w_{11}x_2^2x_3 + w_{12}x_2x_3^2 + w_{13}x_3^3) \\ = (\gamma_0x_2^2 + \gamma_1x_2x_3 + \gamma_2x_3^2)(-x_1x_2 + x_0x_3) \end{gathered}$$

Since w_{ij} and γ_i are constants, one gets that:

$$F_{40} = x_0F_3 + x_3(\gamma_0x_2^2 + \gamma_1x_2x_3 + \gamma_2x_3^2)\,,\ F_{41} = x_1F_3 + x_2(\gamma_0x_2^2 + \gamma_1x_2x_3 + \gamma_2x_3^2)\,.$$

The divisor $\{\gamma_0x_2^2 + \gamma_1x_2x_3 + \gamma_2x_3^2 = 0\} \cap Q$ on Q contains $2L$ hence it is of the form $2L + L_1' + L_2'$, where L_1', L_2' are lines from the other ruling of Q. Both of these lines are 4-secants to Z.

Proposition 2.8. *Let Z be a locally CM curve in $\mathbb{P}^3$ of degree 4. If $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(2)) = 0$ and $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ is globally generated then $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ admits a monad of the form:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(3) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Proof. If Z is not connected then the conclusion of the proposition follows, even without assuming that $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(2)) = 0$, from Lemma 2.5, taking into account Lemma 2.4 (see the first method for getting monads stated in the Introduction).

Assume, from now on, that Z is, moreover, connected. By Lemma 2.6, Z cannot be a quasiprimitive structure of degree 4 on a line. The hypothesis $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(2)) = 0$ eliminates, also, many other cases as, for example, the case where Z is reduced (by Lemma 2.3) or the case where Z is the union of a double line and of two other (simple) lines, both of them intersecting the double line. It remains, actually, to analyse the following four cases:

Case 1. *Z is a thick structure of degree 4 on a line L.*

We may assume that L is the line of equations $x_2 = x_3 = 0$. We use, in this case, the results and notation from Subsection A.3 of Appendix A (with $W = Z$). Since

$$\mathscr{I}_Z/\mathscr{I}_L^3 \simeq \mathscr{O}_L(-m-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(-n-2)$$

it follows that if $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ is globally generated then $m \leq 1$ and $n \leq 1$. On the other hand, since the generators F and G of the homogeneous ideal $I(Z) \subset S$ from Prop. A.13 have degrees $m+2$ and $n+2$, respectively, and since $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(2)) = 0$ it follows that, actually, $m = n = 1$. Since $m + n = l + 2$, one gets that $l = 0$. Prop. A.15 implies, now, that $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ satisfies the conclusion of the proposition.

Case 2. *Z is the union of a triple structure Y on a line and of another line intersecting it.*

We may assume that Y is a triple structure on the line L_1 of equations $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ and that the second line is the line L_2 of equations $x_1 = x_3 = 0$. We use, in this case, the results and notation from Subsection B.2 of Appendix B. The hypothesis $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(2)) = 0$ implies that Y cannot be the first infinitesimal neighbourhood of L_1 in $\mathbb{P}^3$ hence it is a quasiprimitive triple structure on L_1.

Now, Lemma 2.1 and Prop. B.18 imply that $l \leq 0$. Since $x_3F_2 \in I(Z)$ and $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(2)) = 0$ it follows that $l \geq 0$. Consequently, $l = 0$.

In the cases (a) and (c) of Prop. B.18 one has, on one hand, that $l+m+2 = \deg F_3 \geq 3$ (because $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(2)) = 0$) and, on the other hand, since $\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-m-2)$ is a quotient of $\mathscr{I}_Z$, that $-l-m-2+3 \geq 0$. It follows that, in this cases, $l = 0$ and $m = 1$.

In the cases (b) and (d) of Prop. B.18 one has, on one hand, that $l+m+3 = \deg\,(x_1F_3) \geq 3$ (because $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(2)) = 0$) and, on the other hand, since $\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-m-3)$ is a quotient of $\mathscr{I}_Z$, that $-l-m-3+3 \geq 0$. It follows that, in this cases, $l = 0$ and $m = 0$. We analyse, now, each one of the cases occuring in Prop. B.18.

- In case (a), one must have $b = x_1$ hence $b_1 = 1$. It follows that:

$$x_2F_2 = -x_1x_2^2 + ax_2x_3 \text{ and } x_1x_2^3 = -x_2 \cdot x_2F_2 + a \cdot x_2^2x_3\,,$$

hence $I(Z)$ is generated by polynomials of degree 3. Using Prop. B.19(a) one sees that $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ satisfies the conlusion of the proposition (since $b_1 = 1$, one can cancel a direct summand $S(-1)$ from each of the first two terms of the resolution of $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{Y\cup L_2})$).

- In case (b), essentially the same argument shows that $I(Z)$ is generated by polynomials of degree 3 and that $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ satisfies the conclusion of the proposition.

- In case (c), one must have $p = x_1$. By Lemma B.17(c), one can assume that $v_0 = c_0x_1$, for some $c_0 \in k$, hence:

$$F_3 = x_1(-bx_2 + ax_3) + c_0x_1x_2^2 + v_1x_2x_3 + v_2x_3^2\,.$$

If $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ is globally generated then:

$$x_1x_2^3 \in (F_3,\, x_3F_2,\, x_2^2x_3,\, x_2x_3^2,\, x_3^3)^{\mathrm{sat}}\,.$$

Let $S' = S/Sx_3 = k[x_0, x_1, x_2]$. It follows that, working in S':

$$x_1x_2^3 \in (S'x_1x_2(-b + c_0x_2))^{\mathrm{sat}}\,.$$

But $S'x_1x_2(-b+c_0x_2)$ is already saturated in S' and $x_1x_2^3 \notin S'x_1x_2(-b+c_0x_2)$. It follows that $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ is not globally generated in case (c).

- In case (d), $p = 1$, $F_3 = F_2 + v_0x_2^2 + v_1x_2x_3 + v_2x_3^2$, and the same kind of argument as that used in case (c) shows that $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ cannot be globally generated (because $x_1x_2^3 \notin S'x_1x_2(-b + v_0x_2)$).

Case 3. *Z is the union of a double structure X on a line, of another line intersecting it, and of a third line intersecting the second line but not the double line.*

We may assume that X is a double structure on the line L_1 of equations $x_2 = x_3 = 0$, that the second line is the line L_2 of equations $x_1 = x_3 = 0$, and that the third line is the line L_1' of equations $x_0 = x_1 = 0$. We use, in this case, the results and notation from Subsection B.3. Under the hypothesis of Prop. B.27(b) one must have $l = 1$ (because $F_2 \in I(Z)$ and $\deg F_2 = l + 2$ and because $\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$ is a quotient of $\mathscr{I}_Z$). Similarly, under the hypothesis of Prop. B.27(c), one must have $l = 0$. Prop. B.28 shows, now, that $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ satisfies the conclusion of the proposition.

Case 4. *Z is the union of a double structure X on a line and of a (nonsingular) conic C intersecting it.*

Since $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Z(2)) = 0$, the plane containing the conic must not contain the support of the double line. One may assume, in this case, that X is a double structure on the line L_1 of equations $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ and that C is the conic of equations $x_1 = x_0x_3 - x_2^2 = 0$. One can use, now, an argument similar to that used in Case 3, based on Prop. B.41 and Prop. B.42 from Subsection B.4. □

Proposition 2.9. *Let Y be a locally CM curve in $\mathbb{P}^3$ of degree 3. If $\mathscr{I}_Y(3)$ is globally generated then one of the following holds:*

(i) *Y is a complete intersection of type* $(1, 3)$;
(ii) *Y is directly linked to a line by a complete intersection of type* $(2, 2)$;

(iii) $\mathscr{I}_Y(3)$ *admits a monad of the form*:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(3) \longrightarrow 0\,;$$

(iv) $\mathscr{I}_Y(3)$ *admits a monad of the form*:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(i+1) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \longrightarrow 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(i+2) \oplus 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(i+3) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(3) \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

with $i = 0$ *or* 1;

(v) $\mathscr{I}_Y(3)$ *admits a monad of the form*:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(3) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \longrightarrow 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(4) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(3) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(5) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(4) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Proof. We split the proof into several cases.

Case 1. *Y is not connected.*

In this case Y is the union of a curve X of degree 2 and of a line L' not intersecting X. If X is a complete intersection of type $(1,2)$ then $\mathscr{I}_Y(3)$ satisfies the condition (iii) from the statement. If X is the union of two disjoint lines then $\mathscr{I}_Y(3)$ satisfies condition (iv) from the statement with $i = 0$.

It remains to consider the situation where X is a double structure on a line L defined by an epimorphism $\mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_L^2 \simeq 2\mathscr{O}_L(-1) \to \mathscr{O}_L(l)$ with $l \geq 0$ (for $l = -1$, X is a complete intersection of type $(1,2)$). We may assume that L has equations $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ and that L' has equations $x_0 = x_1 = 0$. In this case, using Prop. B.8, one sees easily that one must have $l \leq 1$. It follows, from the results stated in Subsection A.4, that $\mathscr{I}_Y(3)$ satisfies condition (iv) from the statement with $i = l$.

Case 2. *Y is reduced and connected.*

In this case, one applies Lemma 2.2.

Case 3. *Y is the union of a double structure X on a line and of another line intersecting it.*

We may assume that X is a double structure on the line L_1 of equations $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ and that the other line is the line L_2 of equations $x_1 = x_3 = 0$. We use, in this case, the results and notation from Subsection B.1.

Under the hypothesis of Prop. B.10(a) (with $c = 0$), $\mathscr{I}_Y(3)$ is globally generated if and only if $l \in \{-1,\, 0,\, 1\}$. If $l = -1$, then $\deg F_2 = 1$ hence Y is a complete intersection of type $(1,3)$. If $l = 0$ then $b = x_1$ and $I(Y) = (-x_1x_2 + ax_3,\, x_2x_2,\, x_3^2)$ hence Y is directly linked to L_2 by the complete intersection defined by $-x_1x_2 + ax_3$ and x_3^2. If $l = 1$ then, by Prop. B.11(a), $\mathscr{I}_Y(3)$ satisfies condition (iii) from the statement.

On the other hand, under the hypothesis of Prop. B.10(b) (with $c = 0$), $\mathscr{I}_Y(3)$ is globally generated if and only if $l \in \{-1,\, 0\}$. If $l = -1$ then $b = 1$ and $I(Y) = (-x_1x_2 + ax_1x_3,\, x_2x_2,\, x_3^2)$ and Y is directly linked to L_2 by the complete intersection defined by $-x_1x_2 + ax_1x_3$ and x_3^2. If $l = 0$ then, by Prop. B.11(b), $\mathscr{I}_Y(3)$ satisfies condition (iii) from the statement.

Case 4. *Y is a triple structure on a line L.*

We may assume that L has equations $x_2 = x_3 = 0$. If Y is the first infinitesimal neighbourhood of L in $\mathbb{P}^3$ then Y is directly linked to L by a complete intersection of type $(2, 2)$. Consequently, we may assume that Y is a *quasiprimitive* triple structure on L. We use, in this case, the results and notation from Subsection A.5. Since $\mathscr{O}_L(-l-m-2)$ is a quotient of $\mathscr{I}_Y$ (see Remark A.17) it follows that if $\mathscr{I}_Y(3)$ is globally generated then $-l-m-2+3 \geq 0$, i.e., $l+m \leq 1$. Conversely, if $l+m \leq 1$ then $I(Y)$ is generated by polynomials of degree 3 (for $l \leq 0$ this is clear, while for $l = 1$ and $m = 0$ Y is a *primitive* triple structure on L hence $I(Y)$ is generated by F_3, x_2^3, $x_2^2x_3$, $x_2x_3^2$, x_3^3).

If $l = 1$ and $m = 0$ (i.e., if Y is the divisor $3L$ on a cubic surface $\Sigma \supset L$ nonsingular along L) then $\mathscr{I}_Y(3)$ satisfies condition (v) from the statement.

If $l = 0$ and $m = 1$ then $\mathscr{I}_Y(3)$ satisfies condition (iv) from the statement with $i = 1$.

If $l = 0$ and $m = 0$ then $\mathscr{I}_Y(3)$ satisfies condition (iv) from the statement with $i = 0$.

If $l = -1$ and $m = 2$ then a, b are constants, at least one non-zero, hence $\mathscr{I}_Y(3)$ satisfies condition (iii) from the statement (one can cancel some direct summands in the resolution of $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_Y)$).

If $l = -1$ and $m = 1$ then, by Lemma B.14, Y is directly linked to L by a complete intersection of type $(2, 2)$.

Finally, if $l = -1$ and $m = 0$ then Y is a complete intersection of type $(1, 3)$. $\square$

Proposition 2.10. *Let X be a locally CM curve in $\mathbb{P}^3$ of degree 2. If $\mathscr{I}_X(3)$ is globally generated then either X is a complete intersection of type $(1, 2)$ or $\mathscr{I}_X(3)$ admits a monad of the form:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(i+1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \longrightarrow 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(i+2) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(i+3) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $i = 0$ or 1.

Proof. If X is not a complete intersection of type $(1, 2)$ then either X is the union of two disjoint lines (in which case $\mathscr{I}_X(3)$ admits, by Lemma B.1, a monad as in the statement with $i = 0$) or it is a double structure on a line L. We may assume that L has equations $x_2 = x_3 = 0$. We use, in this case, the results and notation from Subsection A.4. $\mathscr{I}_X(3)$ globally generated and X not a complete intersection of type $(1, 2)$ turn out to be equivalent to $l \in \{0,\ 1\}$. It follows that $\mathscr{I}_X(3)$ admits a monad of the form from the statement with $i = l$. $\square$

3. Globally generated vector bundles

In this section we prove Theorem 0.1 using the results from the Propositions 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 2.8, 2.9 and 2.10. So, let E be a globally generated vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^3$ with $c_1 \geq 4$ such that $\mathrm{H}^i(E^\vee) = 0$, $i = 0,\ 1$. Assume that $\mathrm{H}^0(E(-c_1+2)) = 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^0(E(-c_1+3)) \neq 0$. Recall, from the Introduction, the exact sequence (0.1) and the monad (0.3) of E deduced from the monad (0.2) of $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$. Let us assume that, moreover:

$$B^{-1} = B_+^{-1} \oplus m_{-1}\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \oplus B_-^{-1} \text{ and } B^0 = B_+^0 \oplus m_0\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}\,,$$

with $\mathrm{H}^0(B_+^{-1\vee}) = 0$, $\mathrm{H}^0(B_-^{-1}) = 0$, $\mathrm{H}^0(B_+^{0\vee}) = 0$, and that $\mathrm{H}^0(B^{1\vee}) = 0$. Let $d_+^{-1} : B_+^{-1} \to B_+^0$ be the restriction of $d^{-1} : B^{-1} \to B^0$ and $d_+^0 : B_+^0 \to B^1$ the restriction of d^0. The next lemma will allow us to handle all the cases occuring in the proof of Theorem 0.1.

Lemma 3.1. *Under the above hypotheses and notation,* $r = 2 - m_0 + m_{-1} + \mathrm{h}^0(B_-^{-1\vee})$ *and one has an exact sequence*:

$$0 \longrightarrow B_+^{-1} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix}\phi_+\\ d_+^{-1}\end{pmatrix}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(c_1 - 3) \oplus F \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0$$

where F *is the cohomology of a monad*:

$$0 \longrightarrow B_-^{-1} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix}0\\ u\end{pmatrix}} B_+^0 \oplus m\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \xrightarrow{(d_+^0\,,\,*)} B^1 \longrightarrow 0$$

with $u : B_-^{-1} \to m\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ *the dual of the evaluation morphism* $\mathrm{H}^0(B_-^{-1\vee}) \otimes_k \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \to B_-^{-1\vee}$.

Proof. Put $B_{\leq 0}^{-1} = m_{-1}\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \oplus B_-^{-1}$. The monad (0.3) of E from the Introduction can be written in the following form:

$$0 \longrightarrow B_+^{-1} \oplus B_{\leq 0}^{-1} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix}\phi_+ & \alpha\\ d_+^{-1} & \beta\\ 0 & \gamma\end{pmatrix}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(c_1 - 3) \oplus B_+^0 \oplus m'\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \xrightarrow{(0\,,\,d_+^0\,,\,\rho)} B^1 \longrightarrow 0$$

where $m' = m_0 + r - 2$. One deduces an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow B_+^{-1} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0$$

where G is the cohomology of the monad:

$$0 \longrightarrow B_{\leq 0}^{-1} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\\ \gamma\end{pmatrix}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(c_1 - 3) \oplus B_+^0 \oplus m'\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \xrightarrow{(0\,,\,d_+^0\,,\,\rho)} B^1 \longrightarrow 0\,. \tag{3.1}$$

Since $\mathrm{H}^i(E^\vee) = 0$, $i = 0, 1$, it follows that $\mathrm{H}^i(G^\vee) = 0$, $i = 0, 1$, and this is equivalent to the fact that:

$$\mathrm{H}^0(\gamma^\vee) : \mathrm{H}^0(m'\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(B_{\leq 0}^{-1\vee})$$

is an isomorphism. Up to an automorphism of $m'\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$, one can assume that γ is the dual of the evaluation morphism:

$$\mathrm{H}^0(B_{\leq 0}^{-1\vee}) \otimes_k \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow B_{\leq 0}^{-1\vee}\,.$$

It follows that there exist $\alpha' : m'\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(c_1 - 3)$ and $\beta' : m'\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \to B_+^0$ such that $\alpha = \alpha' \circ \gamma$ and $\beta = \beta' \circ \gamma$. One deduces that the monad (3.1) is isomorphic to:

$$0 \longrightarrow B_{\leq 0}^{-1} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix}0\\ 0\\ \gamma\end{pmatrix}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(c_1 - 3) \oplus B_+^0 \oplus m'\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \xrightarrow{(0\,,\,d_+^0\,,\,\rho')} B^1 \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\rho' = \rho + d_+^0 \circ \beta'$. It suffices, now, to cancel the direct summand $m_{-1}\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ of $B_{\leq 0}^{-1}$ and the corresponding direct summand of $m'\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$. One gets, in particular, that the integer m from the statement is equal to $m' - m_{-1} = m_0 - m_{-1} + r - 2$. On the other hand, $m = \mathrm{h}^0(B_-^{-1\vee})$ whence the formula for r. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let F be the kernel of an epimorphism $\varepsilon : \bigoplus_{i=0}^3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-d_i) \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$, with $1 \leq d_0 \leq \cdots \leq d_3$. Then $F(t)$ is globally generated if and only if $t \geq d_2 + d_3$.*

Proof. Using the Koszul complex associated to ε one sees easily that $F(d_2+d_3)$ is globally generated.

On the other hand, let $f_i \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d_i))$, $i = 0, \dots, 3$, be the polynomials defining ε. Restricting F to the complete intersection C defined by f_0 and f_1, one gets:

$$F \mid C \simeq \mathscr{O}_C(-d_0) \oplus \mathscr{O}_C(-d_1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_C(-d_2 - d_3)$$

from which one deduces that $F(d_2 + d_3 - 1)$ is not globally generated. □

Proof of Theorem 0.1. We split the proof into several cases according to the form of the monad of $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$, where Z is the curve occuring in the exact sequence (0.1) from the Introduction.

Case 0. $Z = \emptyset$.

In this case $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(c_1 - 3) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(3)$.

Case 1. *Z is a line.*

In this case, by Lemma 3.1, one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(c_1 - 3) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Since we are on $\mathbb{P}^3$, this is possible only if $c_1 = 4$ and $E \simeq 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2)$. But, then, $\mathrm{H}^0(E(-c_1 + 2)) \neq 0$, which contradicts our hypothesis, hence this case *cannot occur*.

Case 2. *Z is a complete intersection of type (a, b), with $1 \leq a \leq b \leq 3$ and $a + b \geq 3$.*

In this case, by Lemma 3.1, $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(c_1 - 3)$ is a direct summand of E.

Case 3. *Z is directly linked to a line by a complete intersection of type $(2, 2)$.*

In this case, $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ admits a resolution of the form:

$$0 \longrightarrow 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Z(3) \longrightarrow 0$$

hence, by Lemma 3.1, $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(c_1 - 3)$ is a direct summand of E.

Case 4. *Z is as in Prop. 1.1(ii)-(iv), or as in Prop. 1.2(i)-(iii), or as in Prop. 1.3.*

In this case, by Lemma 3.1, $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(c_1 - 3)$ is a direct summand of E. *Indeed*, it suffices to notice that if Z is as in Prop. 1.1(iv) then $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ admits a monad of the form:

$$0 \longrightarrow 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow 5\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \longrightarrow 0$$

and if Z is as in Prop. 1.2(iii) then $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$ admits a monad of the form:

$$0 \longrightarrow 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \longrightarrow 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Case 5. *Z is as Y in Prop.* 2.9(iv)-(v) *or as X in the second part of the conclusion of Prop.* 2.10.

In all these cases it follows, from Lemma 3.1, that E has rank 2 hence it can be realized as an extension :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(c_1 - 3) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Z(3) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

One deduces that Z is l.c.i. and that $\omega_Z \simeq \mathscr{O}_Z(2 - c_1)$. Since $\chi(\omega_Z) = -\chi(\mathscr{O}_Z)$ one deduces, from Riemann-Roch on Z, that

$$(c_1 - 2)\deg Z = 2\chi(\mathscr{O}_Z)\,.$$

Notice, also, that $c_2 = \deg Z + 3(c_1 - 3)$ and that $\chi(\mathscr{O}_Z)$ depends only on the numerical shape of the monad of $\mathscr{I}_Z(3)$.

Subcase 5.1. *Z as Y in Prop.* 2.9(iv) *with $i = 0$.*

In this subcase, $\deg Z = 3$ and, in order to compute $\chi(\mathscr{O}_Z)$, one may assume that Z is a triple structure on a line L with $l = 0$ and $m = 0$ (notation as in Subsection A.5), hence with $\mathscr{O}_Z \simeq 3\mathscr{O}_L$ as an $\mathscr{O}_L$-module. One gets $\chi(\mathscr{O}_Z) = 3$, hence $c_1 = 4$ and $c_2 = 6$. It follows that $c_1(E(-2)) = 0$, $c_2(E(-2)) = c_2 - 2c_1 + 4 = 2$ and, since $\mathrm{H}^0(E(-2)) = 0$, E is stable.

Subcase 5.2. *Z as Y in Prop.* 2.9(iv) *with $i = 1$.*

In this subcase, $\deg Z = 3$ and, in order to compute $\chi(\mathscr{O}_Z)$, one may assume that Z is a triple structure on a line L with $l = 0$ and $m = 1$, hence with $\mathscr{O}_Z \simeq 2\mathscr{O}_L \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(1)$ as an $\mathscr{O}_L$-module. It follows that $\chi(\mathscr{O}_Z) = 4$ hence $3 \cdot (c_1 - 2) = 2 \cdot 4$, hence this subcase *cannot occur.*

Subcase 5.3. *Z as Y in Prop.* 2.9(v).

In this subcase, $\deg Z = 3$ and, in order to compute $\chi(\mathscr{O}_Z)$, one may assume that Z is a triple structure on a line L with $l = 1$ and $m = 0$, hence with $\mathscr{O}_Z \simeq \mathscr{O}_L \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(2)$ as an $\mathscr{O}_L$-module. It follows that $\chi(\mathscr{O}_Z) = 6$ hence $c_1 = 6$ and $c_2 = 12$ hence $c_1(E(-3)) = 0$ and $c_2(E(-3)) = 3$. The hypothesis $\mathrm{H}^0(E(-4)) = 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^0(E(-3)) \neq 0$ shows that $E(-3)$ is properly semistable.

We notice, at this point, that if Z is a triple structure on a line L with $l = 1$ and $m = 0$ then $\omega_Z \simeq \mathscr{O}_Z(-4)$ (by [6, Prop. 2.3 and § 3.2]) hence a global section of $\omega_Z(4)$ generating everywhere this sheaf defines an extension :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Z \longrightarrow 0$$

with F a properly semistable rank 2 vector bundle with $c_1(F) = 0$ and $c_2(F) = 3$. Notice also that, conversely, if F is a properly semistable rank 2 vector bundle with these Chern classes then the zero locus Z of the unique nonzero global section of F is a triple structure on a line L with $l = 1$ and $m = 0$. *Indeed*, $\deg Z = 3$ and $\omega_Z \simeq \mathscr{O}_Z(-4)$. Z cannot be reducible because, in that case, one would have $Z = X \cup L$, with L a line and X a curve of degree 2 such that the scheme $D = X \cap L$ is 0-dimensional (or empty) and in this case it is well-known that $\omega_Z \mid L \simeq \omega_L \otimes \mathscr{O}_L(D)$ and this would contradict the fact that

$\omega_Z \simeq \mathscr{O}_Z(-4)$. It remains that Z is irreducible. Z cannot be reduced hence Z is a triple structure on a line L. Since Z is l.c.i. it follows that $m = 0$ (by [6, § 3.3]) and the condition $\omega_Z \simeq \mathscr{O}_Z(-4)$ implies, now, that $l = 1$ (by [6, Prop. 2.3]).

Subcase 5.4. *Z as X in Prop.* 2.10 *with* $i = 0$.

In this subcase $\deg Z = 2$ and Z is either the union of two disjoint lines or a double structure on a line L with $l = 0$ (notation as in Subsection A.4). It follows that $\chi(\mathscr{O}_Z) = 2$ hence $c_1 = 4$ and $c_2 = 5$ hence $c_1(E(-2)) = 0$ and $c_2(E(-2)) = 1$. Since, by hypothesis, $\mathrm{H}^0(E(-2)) = 0$, $E(-2)$ is stable. It is, actually, a nullcorrelation bundle.

Subcase 5.5. *Z as X in Prop.* 2.10 *with* $i = 1$.

In this subcase, $\deg Z = 2$ and Z is a double structure on a line L with $l = 1$. It follows that $\chi(\mathscr{O}_Z) = 3$ hence $c_1 = 5$ and $c_2 = 8$. One gets that $c_1(E(-3)) = -1$ and $c_2(E(-3)) = 2$. The hypothesis $\mathrm{H}^0(E(-3)) = 0$ implies that $E(-3)$ is stable. These bundles were studied by Hartshorne and Sols [19] and, independently, by Manolache [24].

Case 6. *Z is as Y in Prop.* 2.9(iii).

In this case, by Lemma 3.1, one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix}\phi_+\\ d_+^{-1}\end{pmatrix}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(c_1-3) \oplus F \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0$$

where F is defined by an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \xrightarrow{d_+^0} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(3) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Since any global section of $F(-1)$ vanishes along a line in $\mathbb{P}^3$, it follows that $c_1 = 4$ and that ϕ_+ is an isomorphism hence that $E \simeq F$. Now, up to a linear change of coordinates, one can assume that the first three components of d_+^0 are x_0, x_1, x_2 and then, modulo an automorphism of $3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ invariating $3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2)$, one can assume that the fourth component of d_+^0 is x_3^2.

Case 7. *Z is as in Prop.* 2.8.

In this case, an argument similar to that used in Case 6, shows that $c_1 = 4$ and that one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \xrightarrow{d_+^0} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(3) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Since, by Lemma 3.2, the kernel of an epimorphism $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(3)$ is not globally generated, the first two components of d_+^0 must be linearly independent. Up to a linear change of coordinates, one can assume that they are x_0 and x_1. Let $L \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the line of equations $x_0 = x_1 = 0$. Since $E \,|\, L$ is globally generated, it follows that $\mathrm{H}^0((d_+^0 \,|\, L)(-1))$ induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{H}^0(3\mathscr{O}_L) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(2))$ (because, otherwise, $E \,|\, L \simeq 2\mathscr{O}_L(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(-1)$). Now, modulo an automorphism of $2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$

invariating $2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2)$, one can assume that the last three components of d^0_+ are x_2^2, x_2x_3 and x_3^2.

Case 8. *Z is as in Prop.* 1.2(iv).

By Lemma 3.1, one has an exact sequence $0 \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(c_1 - 3) \oplus F \to E \to 0$ with F the cohomology of a monad:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix}0\\ u\end{pmatrix}} 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \oplus 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \xrightarrow{(d^0_+\,,\ v)} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(3) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $u : \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \to 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is defined by $x_0, \ldots, x_3$ and $d^0_+ : 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(3)$ is an epimorphism (by the proof of Prop. 1.2(iv)). Up to a linear change of coordinates and an automorphism of $2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$, one can assume that d^0_+ is defined by x_0, x_1, x_2^2, x_3^2. Modulo an automorphism of $4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$, one can continue to assume that u is defined by $x_0, \ldots, x_3$. Since $\mathrm{H}^0(d^0_+)$ is obviously surjective, $v : 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(3)$ factorizes through d^0_+. One deduces that, modulo an automorphism of $2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \oplus 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ invariating $2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ and whose component $4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \to 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is $\mathrm{id}_{4\mathscr{O}}$, one can assume that $v = 0$. F occurs, now, as the cohomology of a monad:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix}s'\\ u\end{pmatrix}} 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \oplus 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \xrightarrow{(p\,,\ 0)} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(3) \longrightarrow 0$$

where u is defined by $x_0, \ldots, x_3$ and $p = d^0_+$ by x_0, x_1, x_2^2, x_3^2. Since the unique global section of $F(-1)$ vanishes along the line $x_0 = x_1 = 0$ it follows that $c_1 = 4$ and $E \simeq F$. Let $K = \mathrm{Ker}\, p$ and let $s : \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \to K$ be the morphism induced by $(0,\, 0,\, -x_3^2,\, x_2^2) : \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \to 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$. Using the Koszul complex associated to x_0, x_1, x_2^2, x_3^2, one sees that there exist a constant $c \in k$ and a morphism $\sigma : 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \to K$ such that $s' = cs + \sigma \circ u$. It follows that the above monad is isomorphic to the monad:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix}cs\\ u\end{pmatrix}} 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \oplus 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \xrightarrow{(p\,,\ 0)} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(3) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

If $c = 0$ then one would get $E \simeq K \oplus \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1)$ which is not possible because, by Lemma 3.2, K is not globally generated. It remains that $c \neq 0$ hence one can assume, actually, that $c = 1$. □

We extend, next, Theorem 0.1 to higher dimensional projective spaces. At a certain point of this extension we shall need the following result of Barth and Ellencwajg [8, Thm. 4.2], for which we provide a different proof, based on the results of Mohan Kumar, Peterson and Rao [21].

Theorem 3.3 (Barth, Elencwajg)**.** *There exists no stable rank* 2 *vector bundle E on $\mathbb{P}^4$ with Chern classes $c_1 = 0$ and $c_2 = 3$.*

Proof. Assume that such a vector bundle E exists. According to Barth [7], the restriction E_H of E to a general hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ is stable. If F is a stable rank 2 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^3$ with $c_1(F) = 0$ and $c_2(F) = 3$ then the possible spectra (see [18, Sect. 7]) of F are $(0,0,0)$ and $(-1,0,1)$. In the former case $\mathrm{H}^1(F(l)) = 0$ for $l \leq -2$ and $\mathrm{h}^1(F(-1)) = 3$, while in the latter case $\mathrm{H}^1(F(l)) = 0$ for $l \leq -3$, $\mathrm{h}^1(F(-2)) = 1$ and $\mathrm{h}^1(F(-1)) = 3$. Moreover, in the latter case, F is the cohomology of a monad of the form :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2) \longrightarrow 0$$

(see Ein [11, Thm. 3.3(a)]) hence, in particular, the graded module $\mathrm{H}^1_*(F)$ is generated by $\mathrm{H}^1(F(-2))$. If F is properly semistable then, as we saw in Subcase 5.3 of the proof of Thm. 0.1, F can be realized as an extension :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Z \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

where Z is a triple structure on a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ such that $\mathscr{O}_Z \simeq \mathscr{O}_L \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(2)$ as an $\mathscr{O}_L$-algebra. One deduces that in this case $\mathrm{H}^1(F(l)) = 0$ for $l \leq -3$, $\mathrm{h}^1(F(-2)) = 1$ and $\mathrm{h}^1(F(-1)) = 3$.

Claim 1. $\mathrm{H}^1(E(l)) = 0$ *for* $l \leq -2$.

Indeed, consider a hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ such that E_H is stable. By [3, Lemma 1.16(a)], $\mathrm{H}^1(E(l)) = 0$ for $l \leq -3$ and $\mathrm{H}^1(E(-2))$ injects into $\mathrm{H}^1(E_H(-2))$. If E_H has spectrum $(0,0,0)$ then $\mathrm{H}^1(E_H(-2)) = 0$ hence $\mathrm{H}^1(E(-2)) = 0$. If the spectrum of E_H is $(-1,0,1)$ and $\mathrm{H}^1(E(-2)) \neq 0$ then $\mathrm{H}^1(E(-2)) \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathrm{H}^1(E_H(-2))$. Since, as we recalled above, the module $\mathrm{H}^1_*(E_H)$ is generated by $\mathrm{H}^1(E_H(-2))$ it follows that the map $\mathrm{H}^1(E(l)) \to \mathrm{H}^1(E_H(l))$ is surjective for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ hence, by [3, Lemma 1.16(b)], $\mathrm{H}^2_*(E) = 0$. But this *contradicts* Mohan Kumar et al. [21, Thm. 1] because E is not decomposable.

Claim 2. $\mathrm{H}^2(E(l)) = 0$ *for* $l \geq -1$.

Indeed, since $\mathrm{H}^0(E(-1)) = 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^1(E(-2)) = 0$, it follows that for every hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ one has $\mathrm{H}^0(E_H(-1)) = 0$, i.e., E_H is semistable. It follows, by what has been said at the beginning of the proof, that $\mathrm{h}^1(E_H(-1)) = 3$ and $\mathrm{H}^1(E_H(l)) = 0$ for $l \leq -3$, $\forall\, H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ hyperplane. Since $E_H^\vee \simeq E_H$ one deduces, by Serre duality, that $\mathrm{H}^2(E_H(l)) = 0$ for $l \geq -1$, $\forall\, H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ hyperplane. It follows that for any $0 \neq h \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1))$, the multiplication by $h : \mathrm{H}^2(E(-2)) \to \mathrm{H}^2(E(-1))$ is surjective and that $\mathrm{h}^2(E(-2)) \leq \mathrm{h}^2(E(-1)) + 3$. Assume that $\mathrm{H}^2(E(-1)) \neq 0$. Applying the Bilinear map lemma [18, Lemma 5.1] to $\mathrm{H}^2(E(-1))^\vee \times \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1)) \to \mathrm{H}^2(E(-2))^\vee$ one deduces that $\mathrm{h}^2(E(-2)) \geq \mathrm{h}^2(E(-1)) + 4$, which *contradicts* a previously established inequality. It thus remains that $\mathrm{H}^2(E(-1)) = 0$. Since for any hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ one has $\mathrm{H}^2(E_H(l)) = 0$ for $l \geq -1$ one gets the claim.

Claim 3. $\mathrm{H}^2_*(E) \simeq k(3) \oplus k(2)$.

Indeed, by Serre duality and the fact that $E \simeq E^\vee$, $\mathrm{H}^2(E(l)) = 0$ for $l \leq -4$. Using Riemann-Roch (see, for example, [3, Thm. 7.3]), one gets $\mathrm{h}^2(E(-2)) = \chi(E(-2)) = 1$. By Serre duality again, $\mathrm{h}^2(E(-3)) = \mathrm{h}^2(E(-2)) = 1$. It remains to show that, for every $h \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1))$, the multipliction by $h : \mathrm{H}^2(E(-3)) \to \mathrm{H}^2(E(-2))$ is the zero map. Recall that the cup product:

$$- \cup - : \mathrm{H}^2(E(-3)) \times \mathrm{H}^2(E(-3)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^4((\overset{2}{\textstyle\bigwedge}E)(-6)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^4(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(-6))$$

is *skew-symmetric* (because $- \wedge - : E \times E \to \overset{2}{\bigwedge}E$ is). If $0 \neq \xi \in \mathrm{H}^2(E(-3))$ then:

$$h\xi \cup \xi = h(\xi \cup \xi) = 0\,.$$

Since, on the other hand, the cup product $\mathrm{H}^2(E(-2)) \times \mathrm{H}^2(E(-3)) \to \mathrm{H}^4((\overset{2}{\bigwedge}E)(-5)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^4(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(-5)) \simeq k$ is a perfect pairing (by Serre duality), it follows that $h\xi = 0$. Claim 3 is proven.

At this point one can invoke Mohan Kumar et al. [21, Thm. 2] and deduce that the bundle E *cannot exist.* However, since our situation is very concrete, we shall also provide a slightly different argument. One has, by Riemann-Roch, $\mathrm{h}^1(E(-1)) = -\chi(E(-1)) = 2$ and $\mathrm{h}^1(E) = -\chi(E) = 6$. Since $\mathrm{H}^2(E(-1)) = 0$, $\mathrm{H}^3(E(-2)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^1(E(-3))^\vee = 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^4(E(-3)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^0(E(-2))^\vee = 0$ it follows from the Castelnuovo-Mumford lemma (see, for example, [3, Lemma 1.21]) that the graded module $\mathrm{H}^1_*(E)$ is generated in degrees ≤ 0. By Barth's restriction theorem, there exists a 2-plane $\Pi \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ such that E_Π is stable, i.e., such that $\mathrm{H}^0(E_\Pi) = 0$. It follows that, for every hyperplane $H \supset \Pi$, $\mathrm{H}^0(E_H) = 0$. If $h = 0$ is the equation of such a hyperplane, then the multiplication by $h : \mathrm{H}^1(E(-1)) \to \mathrm{H}^1(E)$ is injective. Applying the Bilinear map lemma [18, Lemma 5.1] to $\mu : \mathrm{H}^1(E(-1)) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_\Pi(1)) \to \mathrm{H}^1(E)$ one gets that $\dim \mathrm{Im}\, \mu \geq 3$. One deduces that the graded module $\mathrm{H}^1_*(E)$ has two minimal generators in degree -1 and at most three minimal generators in degree 0, whence a surjection $2S(1) \oplus 3S \to \mathrm{H}^1_*(E)$. Since $E^\vee \simeq E$, one deduces a surjection $2S(1) \oplus 3S \to \mathrm{H}^1_*(E^\vee)$. Using Horrocks' method of "killing cohomology", one gets that E is the cohomology of a monad of the form:

$$0 \longrightarrow 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4} \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(-1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathscr{P} \xrightarrow{\beta} 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4} \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

where $\mathscr{P}$ is a vector bundle of rank 12, with $\mathrm{H}^1_*(\mathscr{P}) = 0$, $\mathrm{H}^3_*(\mathscr{P}) = 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^2_*(\mathscr{P}) \simeq \mathrm{H}^2_*(E)$. It follows that $\mathscr{P} \simeq \Omega^2_{\mathbb{P}^4}(3) \oplus \Omega^2_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2)$, hence E is the cohomology of a monad of the form:

$$0 \longrightarrow 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4} \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(-1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Omega^2_{\mathbb{P}^4}(3) \oplus \Omega^2_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2) \xrightarrow{\beta} 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4} \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Claim 4. *Such a monad cannot exist.*

Indeed, α maps $3\mathscr{O}$ into $\Omega^2(3)$ and β maps $\Omega^2(3)$ into $2\mathscr{O}(1)$. Consequently, the monad has a subcomplex of the form:

$$0 \longrightarrow 3\mathscr{O} \xrightarrow{\alpha'} \Omega^2(3) \xrightarrow{\beta'} 2\mathscr{O}(1) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Let $F := \mathrm{Coker}\,\alpha'(-1)$. F is a *Tango bundle* on $\mathbb{P}^4$. It is well-known that $\mathrm{H}^0(F^\vee) = 0$. The usual argument is the following one: since $\mathrm{rk}\, F = 3$ and $c_1(F) = 0$ one has $F^\vee \simeq \overset{2}{\bigwedge}F$. The second exterior power of the resolution:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}(-3) \longrightarrow 5\mathscr{O}(-2) \longrightarrow 7\mathscr{O}(-1) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0$$

of F is a resolution of $\overset{2}{\bigwedge}F$ of the form:

$$0 \longrightarrow 5\mathscr{O}(-5) \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} S^2(5\mathscr{O}(-2)) \\ \oplus \\ 7\mathscr{O}(-4) \end{array} \longrightarrow 35\mathscr{O}(-3) \longrightarrow \overset{2}{\bigwedge}(7\mathscr{O}(-1)) \longrightarrow \overset{2}{\bigwedge}F \longrightarrow 0$$

from which one gets that $\mathrm{H}^0(\overset{2}{\bigwedge}F) = 0$. Since $\mathrm{H}^0(F^\vee) = 0$ it follows that there is no non-zero morphism $\beta' : \Omega^2(3) \to 2\mathscr{O}(1)$ such that $\beta' \circ \alpha' = 0$. Since there is no epimorphism $\Omega^2(2) \to 2\mathscr{O}(1) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}$, a monad of the above form *cannot exist*. □

Ballico and Chiantini [4, Prop. 3] showed, moreover, that there is no strictly semistable rank 2 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^4$ with Chern classes $c_1 = 0$ and $c_2 = 3$. The key point of their proof is the following lemma, for which we provide a different argument.

Lemma 3.4 (Ballico, Chiantini). *Let Y be a locally complete intersection subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^4$, of degree 3, supported on a plane $\Pi \subset \mathbb{P}^4$. Then Y is a complete intersection of type $(1, 3)$.*

Proof. Let $S = k[x_0, x_1, x_2, x, y]$ be the projective coordinate ring of $\mathbb{P}^4$ and assume that Π is the plane of equations $x = y = 0$. Let $L \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ be the (complementary) line of equations $x_0 = x_1 = x_2 = 0$ and let $\pi : \mathbb{P}^4 \setminus L \to \Pi$ be the linear projection. If $\mathscr{F}$ is a locally CM coherent $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$-module supported on Π then $F := \pi_*\mathscr{F}$ is a locally free $\mathscr{O}_\Pi$-module. The reduced stalk $F(z)$ of F at a point $z \in \Pi$ can be described geometrically as follows: let Π' be the plane spanned by z and L. If Π' has equations $\ell' = \ell'' = 0$ with ℓ', $\ell'' \in k[x_0, x_1, x_2]_1$ then ℓ', ℓ'' generate the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{\Pi,z}$ of $\mathscr{O}_{\Pi,z}$ hence:

$$F(z) := F_z/\mathfrak{m}_{\Pi,z}F_z \simeq \mathscr{F}_z/(\ell', \ell'')\mathscr{F}_z \simeq \mathscr{F} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}} \mathscr{O}_{\Pi'}\,.$$

Notice also that if $\ell \in k[x_0, x_1, x_2]_1$ has the property that $\ell(z) \neq 0$ then ℓ, ℓ', ℓ'' is a k-basis of $k[x_0, x_1, x_2]_1$, ℓ, x, y is a k-basis of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{\Pi'}(1))$ and z is the point of Π' of equations $x = y = 0$.

Let us, now, prove the lemma. Consider the rank-2 locally free $\mathscr{O}_\Pi$-module $E := \pi_*(\mathscr{I}_\Pi/\mathscr{I}_Y)$ and the two morphisms ξ, $\eta : E \to E(1)$ obtained by applying π_* to the morphisms $x \cdot -$, $y \cdot - : \mathscr{I}_\Pi/\mathscr{I}_Y \to (\mathscr{I}_\Pi/\mathscr{I}_Y)(1)$, respectively. Let z be a point of Π and let Π' be as above. Since any locally complete intersection subscheme of Π' of degree 3, supported on z, is a complete intersection of type $(1, 3)$ in Π' it follows that there exist linearly independent linear forms x', $y' \in k[x, y]_1$ such that $\Pi' \cap Y$ is the subscheme of Π' whose homogeneous ideal is generated by x'^3 and y'. Working with ideals of the ring $\mathscr{O}_{\Pi',z}$, the observation from the beginning of this proof shows that:

$$E(z) \simeq \frac{(x, y)}{(x'^3, y')} \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Im}\xi(z) + \operatorname{Im}\eta(z) \simeq \frac{(x, y)^2 + (x'^3, y')}{(x'^3, y')} \simeq \frac{(x'^2, y')}{(x'^3, y')} \simeq k\,.$$

It follows that $\operatorname{Im}\xi + \operatorname{Im}\eta \simeq ((\mathscr{I}_\Pi^2 + \mathscr{I}_Y)/\mathscr{I}_Y)(1)$ is a line subbundle of $E(1)$ hence:

$$\frac{\mathscr{I}_\Pi^2 + \mathscr{I}_Y}{\mathscr{I}_Y} \simeq \mathscr{O}_\Pi(a), \ \frac{\mathscr{I}_\Pi}{\mathscr{I}_\Pi^2 + \mathscr{I}_Y} \simeq \mathscr{O}_\Pi(b) \text{ and } E \simeq \mathscr{O}_\Pi(a) \oplus \mathscr{O}_\Pi(b)$$

for some $a,\, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since we have epimorphisms:

$$2\mathscr{O}_\Pi(-1) \simeq \frac{\mathscr{I}_\Pi}{\mathscr{I}_\Pi^2} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathscr{I}_\Pi}{\mathscr{I}_\Pi^2 + \mathscr{I}_Y} \text{ and } (x,y) : 2\frac{\mathscr{I}_\Pi}{\mathscr{I}_\Pi^2 + \mathscr{I}_Y} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathscr{I}_\Pi^2 + \mathscr{I}_Y}{\mathscr{I}_Y}(1)$$

it follows that $b = -1$ and $a+1 = b$, hence $a = -2$ and $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_\Pi(-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_\Pi(-1)$. Using the exact sequence $0 \to \mathscr{I}_Y \to \mathscr{I}_\Pi \to \mathscr{I}_\Pi/\mathscr{I}_Y \to 0$ one deduces, now, that $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Y(1)) \neq 0$, whence the conclusion of the lemma. □

Proposition 3.5. *Let E be a globally generated vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^n$, $n \geq 4$, with $c_1 \geq 4$ and such that $\mathrm{H}^i(E^\vee) = 0$, $i = 0, 1$. Let $\Pi \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a fixed 3-plane. Assume that $\mathrm{H}^0(E(-c_1+2)) = 0$ and that $\mathrm{H}^0(E_\Pi(-c_1+3)) \neq 0$. Then one of the following holds:*

(i) *$E \simeq \mathscr{O}_\mathbb{P}(c_1-3) \oplus E'$ where E' is a globally generated vector bundle with $c_1(E') = 3$;*
(ii) *$n = 4$, $c_1 = 4$ and $E \simeq \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(-1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2)$;*
(iii) *$n = 5$, $c_1 = 4$ and $E \simeq \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^5}(2)$:*
(iv) *$n = 4$, $c_1 = 4$ and, up to a linear change of coordinates, denoting by $(C_p,\, \delta_p)_{p\geq 0}$ the Koszul complex associated to the epimorphism $\delta_1 : 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(-2) \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}$ defined by $x_0, \dots, x_3, x_4^2$, one has exact sequences:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(-2) \xrightarrow{\delta_5(4)} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4} \oplus 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(-1) \xrightarrow{\delta_4(4)} 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1) \oplus 6\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4} \longrightarrow E' \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E' \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\phi : E' \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2)$ is any morphism with the property that $\mathrm{H}^0(\phi(-1)) : \mathrm{H}^0(E'(-1)) \to \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1))$ is injective (such morphisms exist and are automatically epimorphisms).

The bundle from item (iv) of Prop. 3.5 appeared for the first time, constructed differently, in the paper of Abo, Decker and Sasakura [1].

Proof. It follows from [3, Prop. 2.11] that $\mathrm{H}^0(E_\Pi(-c_1+2)) = 0$. One has $E_\Pi \simeq G \oplus t\mathscr{O}_\Pi$, with G defined by an exact sequence $0 \to s\mathscr{O}_\Pi \to F \to G \to 0$ where F is a globally generated vector bundle on Π such that $\mathrm{H}^i(F^\vee) = 0$, $i = 0, 1$ (see, for example, [3, Sect. 1]). It follows that F is one of the bundles described in the statement of Theorem 0.1.

Case 1. *$F \simeq \mathscr{O}_\Pi(c_1-3) \oplus F'$ with $c_1(F') = 3$.*

As we said in the Introduction, the bundles F' were classified by Anghel and Manolache [2] and, independently, by Sierra and Ugaglia [34]. A concise description of this classification can be found in [3, Thm. 0.1]. Except when F' contains $\Omega_\Pi(2)$ as a direct summand, [3, Lemma 1.18] implies that E is as in item (i) of the statement of the proposition.

If $F' \simeq \mathscr{O}_\Pi(1) \oplus \Omega_\Pi(2)$ then [3, Lemma 1.19] (and [3, Remark 1.20(c)]) implies that $n = 4$ and $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(c_1-3) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2)$ or $c_1 = 4$, $n = 5$ and $E \simeq \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^5}(2)$.

If $F' \simeq \mathrm{T}_\Pi(-1) \oplus \Omega_\Pi(2)$ then [3, Lemma 1.19] (and [3, Remark 1.20(c)]) implies that $n = 4$ and $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(c_1-3) \oplus \Omega^2_{\mathbb{P}^4}(3)$ or $c_1 = 4$, $n = 4$ and $E \simeq \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(-1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2)$.

Case 2. *F as E in Theorem 0.1(ii).*

This case *cannot occur*. Indeed, assume the contrary. Then [3, Cor. 1.5] would imply that there exists a rank 2 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^4$ with Chern classes $c_1 = 0$ and $c_2 = 1$ or with Chern classes $c_1 = 0$ and $c_2 = 2$. But this would *contradict* Schwarzenberger's congruence asserting that the Chern classes of a coherent sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^4$ satisfy the relation:

$$(2c_1 + 3)(c_3 - c_1c_2) + c_2^2 + c_2 \equiv 2c_4 \pmod{12}$$

(see, for example, [3, Cor. 7.4]).

Case 3. *F as E in Theorem* 0.1(iii).

This case *cannot occur*. Indeed, in order to show this, one can assume that $n = 4$. Since $\mathrm{H}^1_*(F^\vee) = 0$, [3, Lemma 1.17(a)] implies that $t = 0$. Moreover, $s = 0$ because $c_3(F) = 2 \neq 0$. Now, applying [3, Lemma 1.16(a)] and [3, Lemma 1.14(b)] to $F^\vee$ one derives the existence of an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(3) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

But *this is not possible* because there is no epimorphism $3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1) \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(3)$.

Case 4. *F as E in Theorem* 0.1(iv).

This case *cannot occur*. Indeed, in order to prove this, one can assume that $n = 4$. It follows, as in Case 3, that $t = 0$. Moreover, F has rank 4 and $c_3(F) = 4 \neq 0$ hence $s \leq 1$.

If $s = 0$ then, as in Case 3, there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(3) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ be the complete intersection 1-dimensional subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^4$ with the property that $\mathscr{I}_C(3)$ is the image of the restriction $2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1) \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(3)$ of ε. It follows that $E \mid C \simeq 2\mathscr{O}_C(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_C(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_C(-1)$ hence $E \mid C$ is not globally generated, a *contradiction*.

If $s = 1$ then E is a rank 3 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^4$ with Chern classes $c_1 = 4$, $c_2 = 7$, $c_3 = 4$. But this would *contradict* Schwarzenberger's congruence.

Case 5. *F as E in Theorem* 0.1(v).
In this case, the proof of [3, Prop. 7.11] (more precisely, the Cases 7 and 8 of that proof) shows that $n = 4$ and that E is as in item (iv) of the statement of our proposition.

Case 6. *F as E in Theorem* 0.1(vi).

This case *cannot occur* : one uses the same kind of argument as in Case 2.

Case 7. *F as E in Theorem* 0.1(vii).

This case *cannot occur*. Indeed, assume the contrary. Choose a 4-plane $\mathbb{P}^4 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ containing Π. The last part of [3, Cor. 1.5] would imply that there exists a rank 2 vector bundle E' on $\mathbb{P}^4$ with Chern classes $c_1(E') = 0$ and $c_2(E') = 3$ such that $E' \mid \Pi$ is strictly semistable. The result of Barth and Ellencwajg recalled above (Theorem 3.3) implies that E' must be strictly semistable. But, according to Ballico and Chiantini [4, Prop. 3], *this is not possible*, either. Their argument runs as follows : one must have an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4} \longrightarrow E' \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Y \longrightarrow 0$$

where Y is a locally complete intersection closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^4$, of codimension 2 and degree 3. As we noticed in Subcase 5.3 of the above proof of Theorem 0.1, if $H \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ is a hyperplane cutting Y properly then the subscheme $H \cap Y$ of $H \simeq \mathbb{P}^3$ must be supported on a line. One deduces that Y is supported on a plane in $\mathbb{P}^4$. Lemma 3.4 implies, now, that Y is a complete intersection of type $(1, 3)$, hence E' is a direct sum of two line bundles. But *this is not possible* because the system of equations $a + b = 0$ and $ab = 3$ has no integer solutions. □

Remark 3.6. As we recalled in Case 7 of the proof of Prop. 3.5, Ballico and Chiantini used their Lemma 3.4 to show, in connection with Thm. 3.3 of Barth and Ellencwajg, that there exists no rank 2 vector bundle E on $\mathbb{P}^4$, with Chern classes $c_1(E) = 0$, $c_2(E) = 3$ such that $\mathrm{H}^0(E) \neq 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^0(E(-1)) = 0$. One can push this kind of results one step further by showing that there exists no rank 2 vector bundle E on $\mathbb{P}^4$ with those Chern classes such that $\mathrm{H}^0(E(-1)) \neq 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^0(E(-2)) = 0$.

Indeed, assume that such a bundle exists. Then it can be realized as an extension:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Y(-1) \longrightarrow 0$$

where Y is a l.c.i. closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^4$, of pure codimension 2, of degree 4, with $\omega_Y \simeq \mathscr{O}_Y(-7)$. Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ be a hyperplane cutting properly Y_{red} and $X := H \cap Y$. One has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_H(1) \longrightarrow E_H \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X,H}(-1) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

X is a l.c.i. curve in $H \simeq \mathbb{P}^3$, of degree 4, with $\omega_X \simeq \mathscr{O}_X(-6)$. The last condition implies that X cannot be reduced and irreducible. Moreover, X cannot have a reduced component. Indeed, if this would be the case then X would have as a component a line or a (nonsingular) conic and the argument used in Subcase 5.3 of the proof of Thm. 0.1 would show that this contradicts the condition $\omega_X \simeq \mathscr{O}_X(-6)$. It thus remains that X is either the union of two double lines or a double conic or a quadruple line. It follows that Y_{red} is either: (i) the union of two planes or (ii) a nonsingular quadric surface or (iii) a quadric cone or (iv) a plane.

In case (i) the two planes should intersect along a line (see, for example, [12, Thm. 18.12]). Consequently, in the first three cases, Y_{red} is a reduced complete intersection of type $(1, 2)$ in $\mathbb{P}^4$ and Y is a double structure on it. Let Y' be the residual of Y_{rsd} with respect to the l.c.i. scheme Y. One has, by definition:

$$\mathscr{I}_{Y'}/\mathscr{I}_Y \simeq \mathscr{H}om_{\mathscr{O}_Y}(\mathscr{O}_{Y_{\text{red}}}, \mathscr{O}_Y) \simeq \omega_{Y_{\text{red}}} \otimes \omega_Y^{-1} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{Y_{\text{red}}}(5)\,.$$

Using the exact sequence $0 \to \mathscr{O}_{Y_{\text{red}}}(5) \to \mathscr{O}_Y \to \mathscr{O}_{Y'} \to 0$ and Hilbert polynomials one deduces that $\deg Y' = \deg Y - \deg Y_{\text{red}} = 2$. Since $Y_{\text{red}} \subseteq Y'$ and since Y' is locally CM it follows that $Y' = Y_{\text{red}}$. Since there is no epimorphism:

$$\mathscr{I}_{Y_{\text{red}}}/\mathscr{I}^2_{Y_{\text{red}}} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{Y_{\text{red}}}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{Y_{\text{red}}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y_{\text{red}}}(5)$$

none of the first three cases can occur.

In case (iv) the results of Manolache [26] show that Y is a complete intersection which implies that E is a direct sum of line bundles. But this is not possible because E has Chern classes $c_1 = 0$ and $c_2 = 3$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let E be a globally generated vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^4$ with Chern classes $c_1 = 4$ and $c_2 \leq 8$. Then there exists a hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ such that $\mathrm{H}^0(E_H(-1)) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Assume the contrary, namely that $\mathrm{H}^0(E_H(-1)) = 0$ for any hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^4$. For each hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow (r-2)\mathscr{O}_H \longrightarrow E_H \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}(2) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $r = \mathrm{rk}\, E$ and with $\mathscr{F}$ a rank 2 reflexive sheaf on H with $c_1(\mathscr{F}) = 0$, $c_2(\mathscr{F}) = c_2 - 4 \leq 4$ and $c_3(\mathscr{F}) = c_3(E) =: c_3$. The condition $\mathrm{H}^0(E_H(-1)) = 0$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{F}(1)) = 0$. In particular, $\mathscr{F}$ is *stable* (that is, $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{F}) = 0$).

By [18, Thm. 8.2(b)], $\mathrm{H}^2(\mathscr{F}(l)) = 0$ for $l \geq c_2(\mathscr{F}) - 3$. In particular, $\mathrm{H}^2(\mathscr{F}(1)) = 0$. Since, by Serre duality and the fact that $\mathscr{F}^\vee \simeq \mathscr{F}$, $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathscr{F}(1)) = 0$, the Riemann-Roch theorem (recalled in [3, Thm. 4.5]) implies that:

$$2\chi(\mathscr{O}_H(1)) - 3c_2(\mathscr{F}) + \frac{1}{2}c_3(\mathscr{F}) = \chi(\mathscr{F}(1)) = -\mathrm{h}^1(\mathscr{F}(1)) \leq 0\,, \text{ hence } \frac{1}{2}c_3 \leq 3c_2 - 20\,.$$

Recall that $c_3 \geq 0$ and that $c_3 \equiv 0 \pmod 2$. It follows that either $c_2 = 7$ and $c_3 \leq 2$ or $c_2 = 8$ and $c_3 \leq 8$. Looking at the beginning of the proof of [3, Prop. 5.1] and of [3, Prop. 6.3] (where some spectra are eliminated) one sees that one of the following holds:

(i) $c_2 = 7$, $c_3 = 0$ and $\mathscr{F}$ has spectrum $(0, 0, 0)$;
(ii) $c_2 = 7$, $c_3 = 2$ and $\mathscr{F}$ has spectrum $(0, 0, -1)$;
(iii) $c_2 = 8$, $c_3 = 0$ and $\mathscr{F}$ has spectrum $(0, 0, 0, 0)$;
(iv) $c_2 = 8$, $c_3 = 0$ and $\mathscr{F}$ has spectrum $(1, 0, 0, -1)$;
(v) $c_2 = 8$, $c_3 = 2$ and $\mathscr{F}$ has spectrum $(0, 0, 0, -1)$;
(vi) $c_2 = 8$, $c_3 = 4$ and $\mathscr{F}$ has spectrum $(0, 0, -1, -1)$;
(vii) $c_2 = 8$, $c_3 = 6$ and $\mathscr{F}$ has spectrum $(0, -1, -1, -1)$;
(viii) $c_2 = 8$, $c_3 = 8$ and $\mathscr{F}$ has spectrum $(-1, -1, -1, -1)$;
(ix) $c_2 = 8$, $c_3 = 8$ and $\mathscr{F}$ has spectrum $(0, -1, -1, -2)$.

The cases (iii) and (iv) can be eliminated using [3, Cor. 1.5] and Schwarzenberger's congruence (recalled above). Case (ix) cannot occur, either. *Indeed*, one has, in this case, $\mathrm{h}^1(\mathscr{F}(-1)) = 1$, $\mathrm{h}^2(\mathscr{F}) = 0$ (by the definition of the spectrum) and $\mathrm{h}^1(\mathscr{F}) = 2$ (by Riemann-Roch). One deduces, using the exact sequence of the hyperplane section, that there exist planes $P \subset H \simeq \mathbb{P}^3$ such that $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{F}_P) \neq 0$. For such a plane one has $\mathrm{h}^0(\mathscr{F}_P(1)) \geq 3$. Since $\mathrm{h}^1(\mathscr{F}) = 2$ it follows that $\mathrm{h}^0(\mathscr{F}(1)) \geq 1$, a *contradiction*.

Let us assume, from now on, that one of the remaining cases holds.

Claim 1. $\mathrm{H}^1(E(l)) = 0$ *for* $l \leq -2$.

Indeed, if h is a non-zero linear form on $\mathbb{P}^4$ and $H \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ is the hyperplane of equation $h = 0$ then one has an exact sequence:

$$0 = \mathrm{H}^0(E_H(-1)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(E(-2)) \xrightarrow{h} \mathrm{H}^1(E(-1)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(E_H(-1))\,.$$

The Bilinear map lemma [18, Lemma 5.1] implies that if $\mathrm{H}^1(E(-2)) \neq 0$ then $\mathrm{h}^1(E(-1)) \geq \mathrm{h}^1(E(-2)) + 4$. On the other hand :

$$\mathrm{h}^1(E(-1)) - \mathrm{h}^1(E(-2)) \leq \mathrm{h}^1(E_H(-1)) = \mathrm{h}^1(\mathscr{F}(1)) = 3c_2 - 20 - \frac{1}{2}c_3 \leq 3$$

and this is a *contradiction*. It thus remains that $\mathrm{H}^1(E(-2)) = 0$.

Using the exact sequence $\mathrm{H}^0(E_H(l+1)) \to \mathrm{H}^1(E(l)) \to \mathrm{H}^1(E(l+1))$ one shows now, by descending induction, that $\mathrm{H}^1(E(l)) = 0$ for $l \leq -2$.

Claim 2. $\mathrm{H}^2(E(-3)) = 0$.

Indeed, using the notation from the proof of Claim 1, one has an exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(E_H(-3)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(E(-4)) \xrightarrow{h} \mathrm{H}^2(E(-3)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(E_H(-3))\,.$$

But $\mathrm{H}^2(E_H(-3)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^2(\mathscr{F}(-1)) = 0$ (by the definition of the spectrum). The Bilinear map lemma [18, Lemma 5.1] implies, now, that if $\mathrm{H}^2(E(-3)) \neq 0$ then $\mathrm{h}^2(E(-4)) \geq \mathrm{h}^2(E(-3)) + 4$. On the other hand

$$\mathrm{h}^2(E(-4)) - \mathrm{h}^2(E(-3)) = \mathrm{h}^1(E_H(-3)) = \mathrm{h}^1(\mathscr{F}(-1)) \leq 3$$

and this is a *contradiction*. It remains that $\mathrm{H}^2(E(-3)) = 0$.

Claim 3. $\mathrm{H}^3(E(l)) = 0$ *for* $l \geq -4$.

Indeed, $\mathrm{H}^2(E_H(l)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^2(\mathscr{F}(l+2)) = 0$ for $l \geq -3$ hence, by [3, Lemma 1.16(b)], $\mathrm{H}^3(E(l)) = 0$ for $l \geq -4$.

Finally, $\mathrm{H}^4(E(-5)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^0(E^\vee)^\vee = 0$. Taking into account the above claims it follows that E is (-1)-*regular*. But this *contradicts* the fact that $\mathrm{H}^0(E(-1)) = 0$. □

Theorem 3.8. *Let E be an indecomposable globally generated vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^n$, $n \geq 4$, with $c_1 = 4$ and such that $\mathrm{H}^i(E^\vee) = 0$, $i = 0, 1$. Then one of the following holds*:

(i) $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(4)$;
(ii) $E \simeq P(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(4))$;
(iii) $n = 4$ *and E as in item* (iv) *of Prop. 3.5*;
(iv) $n = 5$ *and* $E \simeq \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^5}(2)$;
(v) $n = 5$ *and* $E \simeq \Omega^3_{\mathbb{P}^5}(4)$.

Proof. Taking into account Lemma 3.7, this follows from Prop. 3.5 above and from [3, Prop. 2.4 and Cor. 2.5] and [3, Prop. 2.11]. Notice that $c_2(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^5}(2)) = 7 < 8$, that $\Omega^3_{\mathbb{P}^5}(4) \simeq P(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^5}(2))$ and that $c_2(\Omega^3_{\mathbb{P}^5}(4)) = 9 > 8$. □

Appendix A. Multiple structures on a line

We denote, in this appendix, the homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^3$ by x_0, x_1, x, y hence the projective coordinate ring of $\mathbb{P}^3$ is $S = k[x_0, x_1, x, y]$. Let $L \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the line of equations $x = y = 0$. Our aim is to provide, for a locally CM space curve Z of degree at most 4, supported on L, graded free resolutions for the homogeneous ideal $I(Z) \subset S$ and for the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_Z)$. The multiple structures on a smooth curve embedded in a threefold, up to (local) multiplicity 4, were described by Bănică and Forster [5]. Their results were published much later in a simplified, more conceptual, form in [6].

We begin by adapting, to our particular context, the results from [6]. Let $\pi : \mathbb{P}^3 \setminus L' \to L$ be the linear projection, where L' is the (complementary) line of equations $x_0 = x_1 = 0$. The functor π_* induces an equivalence of categories between the category of coherent $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$-modules supported on L and the category of coherent $\mathscr{O}_L$-modules $\mathscr{F}$ endowed with two commuting twisted endomorphisms $\xi, \eta : \mathscr{F} \to \mathscr{F}(1)$ (corresponding to the $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$-module multiplication by x and y). Under this equivalence, locally CM $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$-modules correspond to locally free $\mathscr{O}_L$-modules. Recall that if $\mathscr{F}$ is a locally free $\mathscr{O}_L$-module and $\mathscr{F}'$ is an $\mathscr{O}_L$-submodule then the *saturation* $\mathscr{F}'^{\,\mathrm{sat}}$ of $\mathscr{F}'$ is defined by $\mathscr{F}'^{\,\mathrm{sat}}/\mathscr{F}' = (\mathscr{F}/\mathscr{F}')_{\mathrm{tors}}$. If $\mathscr{F}$ has, moreover, an $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$-module structure as above and if $\mathscr{F}'$ an $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$-submodule then $\mathscr{F}'^{\,\mathrm{sat}}$ is an $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$-submodule of $\mathscr{F}$.

According to [6], a locally CM multiple structure Z on L is called *quasi-primitive* if the morphism $\mathscr{I}_Z \to \mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_L^2$ is non-zero, and it is called *thick* if $\mathscr{I}_Z \subseteq \mathscr{I}_L^2$. We consider, firstly, the *quasi-primitive case*. In this case, there exists a nonempty open subset U of L such that, $\forall\, z \in U$, there exists a system of parameters (t, u, v) of $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P},z}$ such that $\mathscr{I}_{L,z} = (u, v)$ and $\mathscr{I}_{Z,z} = (u, v^d)$, where d is the degree of Z. Bănică and Forster define the *Cohen-Macaulay filtration* $\mathscr{O}_Z \supset \mathscr{I}_1 \supset \mathscr{I}_2 \supset \cdots \supset \mathscr{I}_d = (0)$ of $\mathscr{O}_Z$ by $\mathscr{I}_i := (\mathscr{I}^i_{L,Z})^{\mathrm{sat}}$. This is a *multiplicative* filtration in the sense that $\mathscr{I}_i$ are ideals of $\mathscr{O}_Z$ and $\mathscr{I}_i\mathscr{I}_j \subseteq \mathscr{I}_{i+j}$. $\mathscr{I}_i/\mathscr{I}_{i+1}$ is annihilated by $\mathscr{I}_L$ hence it is already an $\mathscr{O}_L$-module and, in the quasi-primitive case under consideration, $\mathscr{I}_i/\mathscr{I}_{i+1}$ is an invertible $\mathscr{O}_L$-module for $i = 0, \dots, d-1$.

A.1. **Quasiprimitive structures of degree 4.** We describe, firstly, following [6], the $\mathscr{O}_L$-module structure of $\mathscr{O}_Z$. The CM filtration has, in this case, four steps:

$$\mathscr{O}_Z \supset \mathscr{I}_1 \supset \mathscr{I}_2 \supset \mathscr{I}_3 \supset (0)\,.$$

Moreover, there exists an epimorphism of filtered $\mathscr{O}_L$-algebras $\varepsilon : \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}/\mathscr{I}_L^4 \twoheadrightarrow \mathscr{O}_Z$. Recall that, as an $\mathscr{O}_L$-module,

$$\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}/\mathscr{I}_L^4 \simeq \mathscr{O}_L \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_L(-1) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_L(-2) \oplus 4\mathscr{O}_L(-3)\,.$$

Now, $\mathscr{I}_1/\mathscr{I}_2 \simeq \mathscr{O}_L(l)$, for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since there exists an epimorphism $2\mathscr{O}_L(-1) \simeq \mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_L^2 \to \mathscr{I}_1/\mathscr{I}_2$ it follows that $l \geq -1$. Since the multiplication morphisms:

$$\mathscr{I}_1/\mathscr{I}_2 \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_L} \mathscr{I}_1/\mathscr{I}_2 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_2/\mathscr{I}_3\,, \quad \mathscr{I}_1/\mathscr{I}_2 \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_L} \mathscr{I}_2/\mathscr{I}_3 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_3$$

are nonzero (due to the local structure of the $\mathscr{O}_L$-algebra $\mathscr{O}_Z$) it follows that $\mathscr{I}_2/\mathscr{I}_3 \simeq \mathscr{O}_L(2l+m)$ with $m \geq 0$ and $\mathscr{I}_3 \simeq \mathscr{O}_L(3l+m+n)$ with $n \geq 0$. The exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_3 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_2 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_2/\mathscr{I}_3 \longrightarrow 0$$

splits in the category of $\mathscr{O}_L$-modules, hence $\mathscr{I}_2 \simeq \mathscr{O}_L(2l+m) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(3l+m+n)$ as $\mathscr{O}_L$-modules. The exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_2 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_1 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_1/\mathscr{I}_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

splits in the category of $\mathscr{O}_L$-modules if $l \geq 0$. It splits, also, for $l = -1$ because, in this case, the epimorphism ε considered above induces a composite epimorphism:

$$2\mathscr{O}_L(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_1 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_1/\mathscr{I}_2 \simeq \mathscr{O}_L(-1)\,.$$

The same kind of argument shows that $\mathscr{O}_Z/\mathscr{I}_1 = \mathscr{O}_L$ is a direct summand of the $\mathscr{O}_L$-module $\mathscr{O}_Z$ hence:

$$\mathscr{O}_Z \simeq \mathscr{O}_L \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(l) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(2l+m) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(3l+m+n)\,.$$

The *multiplicative structure* of $\mathscr{O}_Z$ is defined by two morphisms of $\mathscr{O}_L$-modules:

$$\mu_{11} : \mathscr{O}_L(l) \otimes \mathscr{O}_L(l) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} p \\ p' \end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix} \mathscr{O}_L(2l+m) \\ \oplus \\ \mathscr{O}_L(3l+m+n) \end{matrix}\ , \quad \mu_{12} : \mathscr{O}_L(l) \otimes \mathscr{O}_L(2l+m) \xrightarrow{q} \mathscr{O}_L(3l+m+n)\,,$$

with $0 \neq p \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(m))$, $p' \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(l+m+n))$ and $0 \neq q \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(n))$.

As a graded $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_L) = k[x_0, x_1]$-module, $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_Z)$ has a minimal set of generators $1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_Z)$, $e_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_Z(-l))$, $e_2 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_Z(-2l-m))$, $e_3 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_Z(-3l-m-n))$. The multiplicative structure of $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_Z)$ is defined by the relations:

$$\text{(A.1)} \qquad e_1^2 = pe_2 + p'e_3\,,\ e_1e_2 = qe_3\,,\ e_1e_3 = 0\,,\ e_2^2 = 0\,,\ e_2e_3 = 0\,,\ e_3^2 = 0\,.$$

The epimorphism $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \to \mathscr{O}_Z$ induces a morphism of graded $k[x_0, x_1]$-algebras $S = \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \to \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_Z)$ which is completely determined by the images of x, $y \in S$. Let's say that:

$$\text{(A.2)} \qquad x \mapsto ae_1 + a'e_2 + a''e_3\,,\quad y \mapsto be_1 + b'e_2 + b''e_3\,,$$

with a, $b \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(l+1))$, a', $b' \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(2l+m+1))$ and a'', $b'' \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(3l+m+n+1))$. The images of the other monomials in the indeterminates x and y can be deduced from the multiplicative structure of $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_Z)$. For example,

$$xy \mapsto (ae_1 + a'e_2 + a''e_3)(be_1 + b'e_2 + b''e_3) = pabe_2 + (p'ab + q(ab' + a'b))e_3\,.$$

One deduces that the epimorphism $\varepsilon_4 : \mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_L^4 \to \mathscr{I}_1$ induced by $\varepsilon : \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}/\mathscr{I}_L^4 \to \mathscr{O}_Z$ is defined by the matrix:

(A.3)

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a' & b' & pa^2 & pab & pb^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a'' & b'' & p'a^2 + 2qaa' & p'ab + q(ab' + a'b) & p'b^2 + 2qbb' & pqa^3 & pqa^2b & pqab^2 & pqb^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Consider the following two determinants :

$$\Delta_1 := \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ a' & b' \end{vmatrix} \, , \ \Delta_2 := \begin{vmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ a' & b' & p \\ a'' & b'' & p' \end{vmatrix} \tag{A.4}$$

One has $\Delta_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(3l+m+2))$ and $\Delta_2 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(4l+2m+n+2))$. One can easily prove the following :

Lemma A.1. *The* 3×9 *matrix* (A.3) *considered above defines an epimorphism*:

$$\varepsilon_4 : 2\mathscr{O}_L(-1) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_L(-2) \oplus 4\mathscr{O}_L(-3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_L(l) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(2l+m) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(3l+m+n)$$

if and only if the following three conditions are satisfied:

(i) a *and* b *have no common zero on* L ;
(ii) Δ_1 *and* p *have no common zero on* L ;
(iii) Δ_2 *and* q *have no common zero on* L.

Proof. It is helpful to notice the following relations :

$$-b(p'a^2 + 2qaa') + a(p'ab + q(ab' + a'b)) = aq\Delta_1 \, ,$$
$$-b(p'ab + q(ab' + a'b)) + a(p'b^2 + 2qbb') = bq\Delta_1 \, . \qquad \square$$

Our method of finding a system of generators (and, actually, even a free resolution) for the homogeneous ideal $I(Z) \subset S$ is based on the following observation : one has an exact sequence $0 \to \mathscr{I}_L^4 \to \mathscr{I}_Z \to \mathscr{I}_Z/\mathscr{I}_L^4 \to 0$, $\mathscr{I}_Z/\mathscr{I}_L^4$ is the kernel of the epimorphism $\varepsilon_4 : \mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_L^4 \to \mathscr{I}_1$ and $\mathrm{H}^1_*(\mathscr{I}_L^4) = 0$, whence an exact sequence of graded S-modules :

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L)^4 \longrightarrow I(Z) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathrm{Ker}\, \varepsilon_4) \longrightarrow 0 \, . \tag{A.5}$$

It follows that if one knows the structure of $\mathrm{Ker}\, \varepsilon_4$ as an $\mathscr{O}_L$-module (i.e., its Grothendieck decomposition as a direct sum of invertible sheaves $\mathscr{O}_L(i)$) and one can lift the generators of the graded $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_L) = k[x_0, x_1]$-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathrm{Ker}\, \varepsilon_4)$ to elements of $I(Z)$ then one can complete the system of generators of $I(L)^4$ to a system of generators of $I(Z)$.

In order to describe the kernel of ε_4, we take advantage of the fact that ε_4 is a morphism of filtered $\mathscr{O}_L$-modules. More precisely, we describe, firstly, the kernels of the epimorphisms $\varepsilon_2 : \mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_L^2 \to \mathscr{I}_1/\mathscr{I}_2$ and $\varepsilon_3 : \mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_L^3 \to \mathscr{I}_1/\mathscr{I}_3$ induced by ε_4. We use the algorithmic procedure explained in the next remark.

Remark A.2. (a) Assume that one wants to describe the kernel of an epimorphism :

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 & 0 \\ \phi_{21} & \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{matrix} A_1 \\ \oplus \\ A_2 \end{matrix} \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} B_1 \\ \oplus \\ B_2 \end{matrix}$$

(in an abelian category). ϕ_1 is an epimorphism and ϕ_2 factorizes as :

$$A_2 \xrightarrow{\pi_2} A_2'' \xrightarrow{\phi_2''} B_2$$

with π_2 an epimorphism and ϕ_2'' a monomorphism. Consider the kernels of ϕ_1 and ϕ_2, that is, consider exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow A_1' \xrightarrow{\mu_1} A_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} B_1 \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow A_2' \xrightarrow{\mu_2} A_2 \xrightarrow{\pi_2} A_2'' \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Assume that one can describe the kernel of the epimorphism:

$$\phi'' = (\phi_{21} \circ \mu_1\,,\, \phi_2'') : A_1' \oplus A_2'' \longrightarrow B_2\,,$$

that is, assume that one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow K'' \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix}\psi_1''\\ \psi_2''\end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix}A_1'\\ \oplus\\ A_2''\end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\phi''} B_2 \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

and that we have at our disposal a commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & K' \arrow[r, "\sigma"] \arrow[d, "\psi_2'"] & K \arrow[r, "\rho"] \arrow[d, "\psi_2"] & K'' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\psi_2''"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & A_2' \arrow[r, "\mu_2"] & A_2 \arrow[r, "\pi_2"] & A_2'' \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then one gets an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow K' \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix}\sigma\\ -\psi_2'\end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix}K\\ \oplus\\ A_2'\end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix}\mu_1 \circ \psi_1'' \circ \rho & 0\\ \psi_2 & \mu_2\end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix}A_1\\ \oplus\\ A_2\end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{matrix}B_1\\ \oplus\\ B_2\end{matrix} \longrightarrow 0$$

which *resolves the kernel of* ϕ.

Indeed, it suffices to notice that $\mu_1 \oplus \mathrm{id}_{A_2}$ maps the kernel of

$$\phi' = (\phi_{21} \circ \mu_1\,,\, \phi_2) : A_1' \oplus A_2 \longrightarrow B_2$$

isomorphically onto the kernel of ϕ and to recall the fact that if one has a commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & X' \arrow[r, "u"] \arrow[d, "f'"] & X \arrow[r, "p"] \arrow[d, "f"] & X'' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f''"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & Y' \arrow[r, "v"] & Y \arrow[r, "q"] & Y'' \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

then the sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow X' \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix}u\\ -f'\end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix}X\\ \oplus\\ Y'\end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix}p & 0\\ f & v\end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix}X''\\ \oplus\\ Y\end{matrix} \xrightarrow{(-f'',\, q)} Y'' \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

(b) It may happen that the morphism $\psi_2'' : K'' \to A_2''$ defined above lifts itself to a morphism $\psi_2 : K'' \to A_2$ (that is, $\pi_2 \circ \psi_2 = \psi_2''$). Then the above exact sequence resolving $\operatorname{Ker}\phi$ becomes:

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} K'' \\ \oplus \\ A_2' \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \circ \psi_1'' & 0 \\ \psi_2 & \mu_2 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix} A_1 \\ \oplus \\ A_2 \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{matrix} B_1 \\ \oplus \\ B_2 \end{matrix} \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Description of Ker ε_2. The morphism $\varepsilon_2 : 2\mathscr{O}_L(-1) \to \mathscr{O}_L(l)$ is defined by the matrix $(a\,,\,b)$ and one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_L(-l-2) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -b \\ a \end{pmatrix}} 2\mathscr{O}_L(-1) \xrightarrow{(a\,,\,b)} \mathscr{O}_L(l) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{A.6}$$

hence $\operatorname{Ker}\varepsilon_2 \simeq \mathscr{O}_L(-l-2)$. Let us denote by ν_2 the morphism $(-b\,,\,a)^{\mathrm{t}} : \mathscr{O}_L(-l-2) \to 2\mathscr{O}_L(-1)$.

Description of Ker ε_3. The morphism $\varepsilon_3 : 2\mathscr{O}_L(-1) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_L(-2) \to \mathscr{O}_L(l) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(2l+m)$ is defined by the matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a' & b' & pa^2 & pab & pb^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We apply Remark A.2 with $A_1 = 2\mathscr{O}_L(-1)$, $A_2 = 3\mathscr{O}_L(-2)$, $B_1 = \mathscr{O}_L(l)$, $B_2 = \mathscr{O}_L(2l+m)$ and with $\phi = \varepsilon_3$. The kernel of the component $\phi_1 : 2\mathscr{O}_L(-1) \to \mathscr{O}_L(l)$ of ε_3 is described by the exact sequence (A.6) (because $\phi_1 = \varepsilon_2$), the component $\phi_2 : 3\mathscr{O}_L(-2) \to \mathscr{O}_L(2l+m)$ of ε_3 decomposes as:

$$3\mathscr{O}_L(-2) \xrightarrow{(a^2,\,ab\,,\,b^2)} \mathscr{O}_L(2l) \xrightarrow{p} \mathscr{O}_L(2l+m)$$

and its kernel is described by the exact sequence:

$$0 \to 2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -b & 0 \\ a & -b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}} 3\mathscr{O}_L(-2) \xrightarrow{(a^2,\,ab,\,b^2)} \mathscr{O}_L(2l) \to 0\,. \tag{A.7}$$

The morphism $\varepsilon_3'' : \mathscr{O}_L(-l-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(2l) \to \mathscr{O}_L(2l+m)$ associated to ε_3 as in Remark A.2 (where it is denoted by ϕ'') is defined by the matrix $(\Delta_1\,,\,p)$ and its kernel is described by the exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathscr{O}_L(-l-m-2) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} p \\ -\Delta_1 \end{pmatrix}} \mathscr{O}_L(-l-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(2l) \xrightarrow{(\Delta_1\,,\,p)} \mathscr{O}_L(2l+m) \to 0\,.$$

It follows, from the exact sequence (A.7), that, for $t \geq 3l+2$, any element of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(t))$ can be expressed as a combination of a^2, ab, b^2. Since $\Delta_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(3l+m+2))$ one deduces that there exist polynomials v_0, v_1, $v_2 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(l+m))$ such that:

$$-\Delta_1 = v_0a^2 + v_1ab + v_2b^2\,. \tag{A.8}$$

It follows that the morphism $\psi_2'' : \mathscr{O}_L(-l-m-2) \to \mathscr{O}_L(2l)$ defined by $-\Delta_1$ lifts to the morphism $\psi_2 : \mathscr{O}_L(-l-m-2) \to 3\mathscr{O}_L(-2)$ defined by $(v_0\,,\,v_1\,,\,v_2)^{\mathrm{t}}$. Applying, now, Remark A.2(b) one gets an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c}\mathscr{O}_L(-l-m-2)\\ \oplus\\ 2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3)\end{array} \xrightarrow{\nu_3} \begin{array}{c}2\mathscr{O}_L(-1)\\ \oplus\\ 3\mathscr{O}_L(-2)\end{array} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_3} \begin{array}{c}\mathscr{O}_L(l)\\ \oplus\\ \mathscr{O}_L(2l+m)\end{array} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{A.9}$$

with ν_3 defined by the matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -pb & 0 & 0\\ pa & 0 & 0\\ v_0 & -b & 0\\ v_1 & a & -b\\ v_2 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Description of Ker ε_4. Recall the definition of ε_4 from Lemma A.1. We apply Remark A.2 with $A_1 = 2\mathscr{O}_L(-1) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_L(-2)$, $A_2 = 4\mathscr{O}_L(-3)$, $B_1 = \mathscr{O}_L(l) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(2l+m)$, $B_2 = \mathscr{O}_L(3l+m+n)$ and with $\phi = \varepsilon_4$. The kernel of the component $\phi_1 : \mathscr{O}_L(-2) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_L(-3) \to \mathscr{O}_L(l) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(2l+m)$ of ε_4 is described by the exact sequence (A.9) (because $\phi_1 = \varepsilon_3$), the component $\phi_2 : 4\mathscr{O}_L(-3) \to \mathscr{O}_L(3l+m+n)$ of ε_4 decomposes as:

$$4\mathscr{O}_L(-3) \xrightarrow{(a^3,\,a^2b\,,\,ab^2,\,b^3)} \mathscr{O}_L(3l) \xrightarrow{pq} \mathscr{O}_L(3l+m+n)$$

and its kernel is described by the exact sequence:

$$0 \to 3\mathscr{O}_L(-l-4) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -b & 0 & 0\\ a & -b & 0\\ 0 & a & -b\\ 0 & 0 & a\end{pmatrix}} 4\mathscr{O}_L(-3) \xrightarrow{(a^3,\,a^2b\,,\,ab^2,\,b^3)} \mathscr{O}_L(3l) \to 0\,. \tag{A.10}$$

Now, the epimorphism $\varepsilon_4'' : \mathscr{O}_L(-l-m-2) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(3l) \to \mathscr{O}_L(3l+m+n)$ associated to ε_4 as in Remark A.2 (where it is denoted by ϕ'') is defined by the matrix $(-\Delta_2 + qv\,,\, aq\Delta_1\,,\, bq\Delta_1\,,\, pq)$, where:

$$v := 2v_0aa' + v_1(ab' + a'b) + 2v_2bb'\,. \tag{A.11}$$

At this point, we have to provide the

- *Description of* Ker ε_4''. We apply Remark A.2 with $A_1 = \mathscr{O}_L(-l-m-2)$, $A_2 = 2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(3l)$, $B_1 = 0$ and $B_2 = \mathscr{O}_L(3l+m+n)$. The component $2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(3l) \to \mathscr{O}_L(3l+m+n)$ of ε_4'' factorizes as:

$$2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(3l) \xrightarrow{\pi_2''} \mathscr{O}_L(3l+m) \xrightarrow{q} \mathscr{O}_L(3l+m+n)$$

where π_2'' is defined by the matrix $(a\Delta_1\, ,\, b\Delta_1\, ,\, p)$. One has a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3)\oplus\mathscr{O}_L(-m-2) \arrow[r, "{(a,b,p)}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}\oplus\mathrm{id}\oplus\Delta_1"'] & \mathscr{O}_L(-2) \arrow[d, "\Delta_1"] \\
2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3)\oplus\mathscr{O}_L(3l) \arrow[r, "\pi_2''"] & \mathscr{O}_L(3l+m)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since p and Δ_1 are coprime, it follows that $\mathrm{id}\oplus\mathrm{id}\oplus\Delta_1$ maps isomorphically the kernel of $(a,b,p) : 2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3)\oplus\mathscr{O}_L(-m-2)\to\mathscr{O}_L(-2)$ onto $\mathrm{Ker}\,\pi_2''$. One needs, now, the following easy:

Lemma A.3. *Let K be the kernel of an epimorphism:*

$$(a_1\, ,\, a_2\, ,\, a_3) : \mathscr{O}_L(-i_1)\oplus\mathscr{O}_L(-i_2)\oplus\mathscr{O}_L(-i_3)\longrightarrow\mathscr{O}_L\, .$$

Assume that $i_1\leq i_2$ and that $a_3\neq 0$. Then $K\simeq\mathscr{O}_L(-j_1)\oplus\mathscr{O}_L(-j_2)$ with $i_1\leq j_1\leq j_2\leq i_2+i_3$. In particular, $\mathrm{H}^1(K(t))=0$ for $t\geq i_2+i_3-1$.

Proof. K is locally free of rank 2 hence one can write $K\simeq\mathscr{O}_L(-j_1)\oplus\mathscr{O}_L(-j_2)$ with $j_1\leq j_2$. It follows from our hypothesis that $\mathrm{H}^0(K(-i_1+1))=0$, hence $j_1\geq i_1$. On the other hand, $c_1(K)=-i_1-i_2-i_3$ hence $j_2=i_1+i_2+i_3-j_1\leq i_2+i_3$. $\square$

According to this lemma, one has an exact sequence:

$$0\to\mathscr{O}_L(-l_1)\oplus\mathscr{O}_L(-l_2)\xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f_1 & f_2\\ g_1 & g_2\\ u_1 & u_2\end{pmatrix}} 2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-1)\oplus\mathscr{O}_L(-m)\xrightarrow{(a\, ,\, b\, ,\, p)}\mathscr{O}_L\to 0\, , \tag{A.12}$$

with $l+1\leq l_1\leq l_2\leq l+m+1$ and $l_1+l_2=2l+m+2$. One derives an exact sequence:

$$0\longrightarrow \begin{matrix}\mathscr{O}_L(-l_1-2)\\ \oplus\\ \mathscr{O}_L(-l_2-2)\end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f_1 & f_2\\ g_1 & g_2\\ u_1\Delta_1 & u_2\Delta_1\end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix}2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3)\\ \oplus\\ \mathscr{O}_L(3l)\end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\pi_2''}\mathscr{O}_L(3l+m)\longrightarrow 0\, , \tag{A.13}$$

which describes the kernel of the component $2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3)\oplus\mathscr{O}_L(3l)\to\mathscr{O}_L(3l+m+n)$ of ε_4''.

Now, the kernel of the epimorphism $(-\Delta_2+qv\, ,\, q) : \mathscr{O}_L(-l-m-2)\oplus\mathscr{O}_L(3l+m)\to\mathscr{O}_L(3l+m+n)$ associated to ε_4'' as in Remark A.2 (where it is denoted by ϕ'') is described by the exact sequence:

$$0\to\mathscr{O}_L(-l-m-n-2)\xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} q\\ \Delta_2-qv\end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix}\mathscr{O}_L(-l-m-2)\\ \oplus\\ \mathscr{O}_L(3l+m)\end{matrix} \xrightarrow{(-\Delta_2+qv\, ,\, q)}\mathscr{O}_L(3l+m+n)\to 0\, .$$

Since $\Delta_2-qv\in\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(4l+2m+n+2))$ and since

$$-l_i-2+(l+m+n+2)\geq -(l+m+1)-2+(l+m+n+2)=n-1\geq -1\, ,\ i=1,\, 2\, ,$$

the exact sequence (A.13) shows that there exist polynomials f, $g \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(m+n-1))$ and $w \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(4l+m+n+2))$ such that:

$$\Delta_2 - qv = fa\Delta_1 + gb\Delta_1 + wp \tag{A.14}$$

(v has been defined in (A.11)). One gets that the morphism $\mathscr{O}_L(-l-m-n-2) \to \mathscr{O}_L(3l+m)$ defined by $\Delta_2 - qv$ (corresponding to ψ_2'' in Remark A.2) lifts to the morphism $\mathscr{O}_L(-l-m-n-2) \to 2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(3l)$ defined by the matrix $(f\,,\, g\,,\, w)^{\mathrm{t}}$ (corresponding to ψ_2 in Remark A.2(b)). Remark A.2(b) implies, now, that one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} \mathscr{O}_L(-l-m-n-2) \\ \oplus \\ \mathscr{O}_L(-l_1-2) \\ \oplus \\ \mathscr{O}_L(-l_2-2) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\nu_4''} \begin{matrix} \mathscr{O}_L(-l-m-2) \\ \oplus \\ 2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3) \\ \oplus \\ \mathscr{O}_L(3l) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_4''} \mathscr{O}_L(3l+m+n) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{A.15}$$

with ν_4'' defined by the matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} q & 0 & 0 \\ f & f_1 & f_2 \\ g & g_1 & g_2 \\ w & u_1\Delta_1 & u_2\Delta_1 \end{pmatrix} .$$

The description of $\operatorname{Ker} \varepsilon_4''$ *is complete.*

Now, coming back to the description of $\operatorname{Ker} \varepsilon_4$, the component:

$$\psi_2'' : \mathscr{O}_L(-l-m-n-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(-l_1-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(-l_2-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_L(3l)$$

of the morphism ν_4'' from (A.15) is defined by the matrix $(w\,,\, u_1\Delta_1\,,\, u_2\Delta_1)$. We would like, in order to apply the most favourable case (b) of Remark A.2, to lift ψ_2'' to a morphism:

$$\psi_2 : \mathscr{O}_L(-l-m-n-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(-l_1-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(-l_2-2) \longrightarrow 4\mathscr{O}_L(-3)\,,$$

that is, to express w, $u_1\Delta_1$, $u_2\Delta_1$ as combinations of $a^3, \dots, b^3$. It follows, from the exact sequence (A.10), that, for $t \geq 4l+3$, any element of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(t))$ can be expressed as a combination of $a^3, \dots, b^3$.

Since $u_i \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(l_i - m))$, $\Delta_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(3l+m+2))$ and $(l_i - m) + (3l+m+2) \geq (l+1-m) + (3l+m+2) = 4l+3$, $i = 1, 2$, one derives the existence of polynomials $v_{ij} \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(l_i - 1))$, $j = 0, \dots, 3$, such that:

$$u_i\Delta_1 = v_{i0}a^3 + v_{i1}a^2b + v_{i2}ab^2 + v_{i3}b^3\,,\ i = 1, 2\,. \tag{A.16}$$

On the other hand, it might happen that w, which belongs to $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(4l+m+n+2))$, cannot be expressed as a combination of $a^3, \dots, b^3$. Consequently, one has to consider two cases. The case where w can be expressed as such a combination is dealt with in Lemma A.4 below. For the opposite case, see Remark A.5 and the proof of Prop. A.12 below.

Lemma A.4. *If the polynomial* $w \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(4l+m+n+2))$ (*defined in* (A.14)) *can be written as a combination*:

$$w = w_0a^3 + w_1a^2b + w_2ab^2 + w_3b^3$$

with $w_0, \dots, w_3 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(l+m+n-1))$ *then one has an exact sequence*:

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} \mathscr{O}_L(-l-m-n-2) \\ \oplus \\ \mathscr{O}_L(-l_1-2) \\ \oplus \\ \mathscr{O}_L(-l_2-2) \\ \oplus \\ 3\mathscr{O}_L(-l-4) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\nu_4} \begin{matrix} 2\mathscr{O}_L(-1) \\ \oplus \\ 3\mathscr{O}_L(-2) \\ \oplus \\ 4\mathscr{O}_L(-3) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_4} \begin{matrix} \mathscr{O}_L(l) \\ \oplus \\ \mathscr{O}_L(2l+m) \\ \oplus \\ \mathscr{O}_L(3l+m) \end{matrix} \longrightarrow 0$$

with ν_4 *defined by the matrix*:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -pbq & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ paq & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ v_0q-bf & -bf_1 & -bf_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ v_1q+af-bg & af_1-bg_1 & af_2-bg_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ v_2q+ag & ag_1 & ag_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ w_0 & v_{10} & v_{20} & -b & 0 & 0 \\ w_1 & v_{11} & v_{21} & a & -b & 0 \\ w_2 & v_{12} & v_{22} & 0 & a & -b \\ w_3 & v_{13} & v_{23} & 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix} .$$

Proof. The component $\psi_2'' : \mathscr{O}_L(-l-m-n-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(-l_1-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(-l_2-2) \to \mathscr{O}_L(3l)$ of the morphism ν_4'' from the exact sequence (A.15) lifts to the morphism:

$$\psi_2 : \mathscr{O}_L(-l-m-n-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(-l_1-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(-l_2-2) \longrightarrow 4\mathscr{O}_L(-3)$$

defined by the matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} w_0 & v_{10} & v_{20} \\ w_1 & v_{11} & v_{21} \\ w_2 & v_{12} & v_{22} \\ w_3 & v_{13} & v_{23} \end{pmatrix} .$$

One can apply, now, Remark A.2(b). □

Remark A.5. If $m \neq 0$ or if $n \neq 0$ then $4l+m+n+2 \geq 4l+3$ hence, according to the discussion before Lemma A.4, w can be written as a combination of a^3, a^2b, ab^2, b^3. This is also true when $m = n = 0$ and $l = -1$ because, in this case, $w \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(-2)) = 0$.

Proposition A.6. *Assume that the polynomial* w (*defined in* (A.14)) *can be written as a combination of* $a^3, \dots, b^3$ *as in Lemma A.4* (*which happens automatically if* $m \neq 0$ *or if* $n \neq 0$ *or if* $m = n = 0$ *and* $l = -1$ *according to Remark A.5*). *Consider the polynomials* (*in* S):

$$F_2 = \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ x & y \end{vmatrix} , \; F_3 = pF_2 + v_0x^2 + v_1xy + v_2y^2 .$$

with v_0, v_1, v_2 *defined in* (A.8). *Then the homogeneous ideal* $I(Z) \subset S$ *of* Z *is generated by the following polynomials* :

$$\begin{gathered} F_4 = qF_3 + (fx + gy)F_2 + w_0x^3 + w_1x^2y + w_2xy^2 + w_3y^3\,, \\ G_1 = (f_1x + g_1y)F_2 + v_{10}x^3 + v_{11}x^2y + v_{12}xy^2 + v_{13}y^3\,, \\ G_2 = (f_2x + g_2y)F_2 + v_{20}x^3 + v_{21}x^2y + v_{22}xy^2 + v_{23}y^3\,, \\ x^2F_2,\,,\ xyF_2\,,\ y^2F_2\,,\ x^4\,,\ x^3y\,,\ x^2y^2\,,\ xy^3\,,\ y^4\,. \end{gathered}$$

with f, g *defined in* (A.14), *the* f_i*'s and the* g_i*'s defined in* (A.12) *and the* v_{ij}*'s defined in* (A.16).

Notice that $\deg F_2 = l + 2$, $\deg F_3 = l + m + 2$, $\deg F_4 = l + m + n + 2$, $\deg G_1 = l_1 + 2$ and $\deg G_2 = l_2 + 2$, with $l + 1 \leq l_1 \leq l_2 \leq l + m + 1$ and $l_1 + l_2 = 2l + m + 2$.

The case where w cannot be written as a combination of $a^3, \dots, b^3$ will be analysed in Prop. A.12 below.

Proof. One uses Lemma A.4, the exact sequence (A.5) and the fact that :

$$\mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_L^4 = \mathscr{O}_L(-1)x \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(-1)y \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(-2)x^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(-3)y^3\,. \qquad \square$$

Remark A.7. We want to emphasize two relations between the polynomials v_0, v_1, $v_2 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(l+m))$ defined by relation (A.8) and the polynomials $v_{ij} \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(l_i-1))$, $i = 1, 2$, $0 \leq j \leq 3$, defined by relation (A.16). They are needed if one wants to write down a complete system of relations between the generators of $I(Z)$ from Prop. A.6.

Firstly, we notice that, by considering the Eagon-Northcott complex associated to the matrix appearing on the left side of the exact sequence (A.12) as in the proof of the Hilbert-Burch theorem, one may assume that :

$$a = \begin{vmatrix} g_1 & g_2 \\ u_1 & u_2 \end{vmatrix}\,,\ b = -\begin{vmatrix} f_1 & f_2 \\ u_1 & u_2 \end{vmatrix}\,,\ p = \begin{vmatrix} f_1 & f_2 \\ g_1 & g_2 \end{vmatrix}\,. \tag{A.17}$$

Now, since $a = -g_2u_1 + g_1u_2$ then, multiplying relation (A.8) by a and the two relations in (A.16) by $-g_2$ and g_1, respectively, one gets :

$$a(v_0a^2 + v_1ab + v_2b^2) - g_2(v_{10}a^3 + \ldots + v_{13}b^3) + g_1(v_{20}a^3 + \ldots + v_{23}b^3) = 0\,.$$

Using the exact sequence (A.10), one derives the existence of polynomials α_0, α_1, $\alpha_2 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(m-1))$ such that :

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_0 \\ v_1 \\ v_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - g_2 \begin{pmatrix} v_{10} \\ v_{11} \\ v_{12} \\ v_{13} \end{pmatrix} + g_1 \begin{pmatrix} v_{20} \\ v_{21} \\ v_{22} \\ v_{23} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -b & 0 & 0 \\ a & -b & 0 \\ 0 & a & -b \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}\,. \tag{A.18}$$

Similarly, using the relation $b = f_2u_1 - f_1u_2$, one derives the existence of polynomials $\beta_0,\, \beta_1,\, \beta_2 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(m-1))$ such that :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_0 \\ v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} + f_2 \begin{pmatrix} v_{10} \\ v_{11} \\ v_{12} \\ v_{13} \end{pmatrix} - f_1 \begin{pmatrix} v_{20} \\ v_{21} \\ v_{22} \\ v_{23} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -b & 0 & 0 \\ a & -b & 0 \\ 0 & a & -b \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{A.19}$$

Now, using the determinantal expression of p from (A.17), one gets the relations :

$$xp - g_2(f_1x + g_1y) + g_1(f_2x + g_2y) = 0\, ,$$
$$yp + f_2(f_1x + g_1y) - f_1(f_2x + g_2y) = 0\, .$$

On the other hand, multiplying to the left the matrix relation (A.18) by $(x^3,\, x^2y,\, xy^2,\, y^3)$ one obtains :

$$x(v_0x^2 + v_1xy + v_2y^2) - g_2(v_{10}x^3 + \cdots + v_{13}y^3) + g_1(v_{20}x^3 + \cdots + v_{23}y^3) =$$
$$(\alpha_0x^2 + \alpha_1xy + \alpha_2y^2)(-bx + ay)\, .$$

One deduces, similarly, from the matrix relation (A.19), the following polynomial relation :

$$y(v_0x^2 + v_1xy + v_2y^2) + f_2(v_{10}x^3 + \cdots + v_{13}y^3) - f_1(v_{20}x^3 + \cdots + v_{23}y^3) =$$
$$(\beta_0x^2 + \beta_1xy + \beta_2y^2)(-bx + ay)\, .$$

One thus obtains the following relations :

$$xF_3 - g_2G_1 + g_1G_2 - \alpha_0x^2F_2 - \alpha_1xyF_2 - \alpha_2y^2F_2 = 0\, ,$$
$$yF_3 + f_2G_1 - f_1G_2 - \beta_0x^2F_2 - \beta_1xyF_2 - \beta_2y^2F_2 = 0\, .$$

A graded free resolution of $I(Z)$ under the hypothesis of Prop. A.6. One has a filtration by homogeneous ideals :

$$I(Z) \supset J_2 \supset J_3 \supset I(L)^4 \supset (0)$$

where J_2 is the ideal generated by $G_1,\, G_2,\, x^2F_2,\, xyF_2,\, y^2F_2,\, x^4, \ldots,\, y^4$ and J_3 is the ideal generated by $x^2F_2,\, xyF_2,\, y^2F_2,\, x^4, \ldots,\, y^4$. Notice that :

$$(x,\, y)I(Z) \subseteq J_2,\;\; (x,\, y)J_2 \subseteq J_3,\;\; (x,\, y)J_3 \subseteq I(L)^4\, .$$

If ν_4 is the morphism from Lemma A.4 then $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\nu_4)$ induces isomorphisms :

$$\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_L(-l_1-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(-l_2-2) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_L(-l-4)) \xrightarrow{\sim} J_2/I(L)^4\, ,$$
$$\mathrm{H}^0_*(3\mathscr{O}_L(-l-4)) \xrightarrow{\sim} J_3/I(L)^4\, .$$

One deduces isomorphisms of S-modules :

$$I(Z)/J_2 \simeq S(L)(-l-m-n-2),\;\; J_2/J_3 \simeq S(L)(-l_1-2) \oplus S(L)(-l_2-2),$$
$$J_3/I(L)^4 \simeq 3S(L)(-l-4)\, ,$$

where $S(L) := S/I(L)$. Now, using the well-known resolutions:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(-2) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix}-y\\ x\end{pmatrix}} 2S(-1) \xrightarrow{(x\,,\,y)} S \longrightarrow S(L) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \to 4S(-5) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix}-y & 0 & 0 & 0\\ x & -y & 0 & 0\\ 0 & x & -y & 0\\ 0 & 0 & x & -y\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x\end{pmatrix}} 5S(-4) \xrightarrow{(x^4,\, x^3y\,,\, x^2y^2,\, xy^3,\, y^4)} I(L)^4 \to 0$$

one deduces that $I(Z)$ has a (not necessarily minimal) graded free resolution of the form:

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} S(-l-m-n-4)\\ \oplus\\ S(-l_1-4)\\ \oplus\\ S(-l_2-4)\\ \oplus\\ 3S(-l-6)\end{matrix} \xrightarrow{d_2} \begin{matrix} 2S(-l-m-n-3)\\ \oplus\\ 2S(-l_1-3)\\ \oplus\\ 2S(-l_2-3)\\ \oplus\\ 6S(-l-5)\\ \oplus\\ 4S(-5)\end{matrix} \xrightarrow{d_1} \begin{matrix} S(-l-m-n-2)\\ \oplus\\ S(-l_1-2)\\ \oplus\\ S(-l_2-2)\\ \oplus\\ 3S(-l-4)\\ \oplus\\ 5S(-4)\end{matrix} \xrightarrow{d_0} I(Z) \longrightarrow 0$$

with d_0 defined by the generators of $I(Z)$ enumerated in the statement of Prop. A.6 and with the linear parts of d_1 and d_2 deduced from the above resolutions of $S/I(L)$ and $I(L)^4$. The rest of the matrices defining d_1 and d_2 can be easily guessed. For example, d_1 is defined by the matrix of relations between the generators of $I(Z)$. The module of these relations is generated by the relations of the following form: one multiplies each generator of $I(Z)$ by x and by y and one expresses the results as combinations of the next generators. One gets the following matrix for d_1:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
x & y & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
-qg_2 & qf_2 & x & y & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
qg_1 & -qf_1 & 0 & 0 & x & y & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
-f-q\alpha_0 & -q\beta_0 & -f_1 & 0 & -f_2 & 0 & x & y & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
-g-q\alpha_1 & -f-q\beta_1 & -g_1 & -f_1 & -g_2 & -f_2 & 0 & 0 & x & y & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
-q\alpha_2 & -g-q\beta_2 & 0 & -g_1 & 0 & -g_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x & y & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
-w_0 & 0 & -v_{10} & 0 & v_{20} & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -y & 0 & 0 & 0\\
-w_1 & -w_0 & -v_{11} & -v_{10} & -v_{21} & -v_{20} & -a & b & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & x & -y & 0 & 0\\
-w_2 & -w_1 & -v_{12} & -v_{11} & -v_{22} & -v_{21} & 0 & -a & -a & b & b & 0 & 0 & x & -y & 0\\
-w_3 & -w_2 & -v_{13} & -v_{12} & -v_{23} & -v_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -a & -a & b & 0 & 0 & x & -y\\
0 & -w_3 & 0 & -v_{13} & 0 & -v_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -a & 0 & 0 & 0 & x
\end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that the first two columns of this matrix correspond to the relations between the generators of $I(Z)$ from Prop. A.6 deduced after multiplying by q the relations at the end of Remark A.7.

The matrix of d_2 is the matrix of relations between the columns of the matrix of d_1. It looks like this:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
-y & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
x & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
-qf_2 & -y & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
-qg_2 & x & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
qf_1 & 0 & -y & 0 & 0 & 0\\
qg_1 & 0 & x & 0 & 0 & 0\\
q\beta_0 & 0 & 0 & -y & 0 & 0\\
-f-q\alpha_0 & -f_1 & -f_2 & x & 0 & 0\\
f+q\beta_1 & f_1 & f_2 & 0 & -y & 0\\
-g-q\alpha_1 & -g_1 & -g_2 & 0 & x & 0\\
g+q\beta_2 & g_1 & g_2 & 0 & 0 & -y\\
-q\alpha_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x\\
w_0 & v_{10} & v_{20} & -b & 0 & 0\\
w_1 & v_{11} & v_{21} & a & -b & 0\\
w_2 & v_{12} & v_{22} & 0 & a & -b\\
w_3 & v_{13} & v_{23} & 0 & 0 & a
\end{pmatrix}$$

Again, one needs to motivate only the fact that the first column of this matrix is a relation between the columns of the matrix of d_1. For example, if one multiplies the $9th$ row of the matrix of d_1 against the first column of the matrix of d_2 one gets:

$$(*)\qquad qf_2v_{12}+qg_2v_{11}-qf_1v_{22}-qg_1v_{21}+qa\alpha_0-qa\beta_1-qb\alpha_1+qb\beta_2\,.$$

But identifying the coefficient of x^2y in the polynomial relation deduced in Remark A.7 from the matrix relation (A.18) and the coefficient of xy^2 in the polynomial relation deduced in Remark A.7 from (A.19) one obtains:

$$v_1-g_2v_{11}+g_1v_{21}=-b\alpha_1+a\alpha_0$$
$$v_1+f_2v_{12}-f_1v_{22}=a\beta_0-b\beta_2$$

from which one deduces that $(*)=0$.

Proposition A.8. *No matter whether the polynomial* w *(defined in* (A.14)*) is a combination of* $a^3,\dots,b^3$ *as in Lemma A.4 or not, the graded* S*-module* $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_Z)$ *has a graded* *free resolution of the form*:

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-2) \\ \oplus \\ S(2l+m-2) \\ \oplus \\ S(3l+m+n-2) \end{array} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{array}{c} 2S(-1) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l-1) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(2l+m-1) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(3l+m+n-1) \end{array} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{array}{c} S \\ \oplus \\ S(l) \\ \oplus \\ S(2l+m) \\ \oplus \\ S(3l+m+n) \end{array} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_Z) \longrightarrow 0$$

with δ_0 *defined by the generators* 1, e_1, e_2, e_3 *of* $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_Z)$ *considered at the beginning of this subsection and with* δ_1, δ_2 *defined by the matrices*:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & y & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -a & -b & x & y & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -a' & -b' & -pa & -pb & x & y & 0 & 0 \\ -a'' & -b'' & -p'a-qa' & -p'b-qb' & -qa & -qb & x & y \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -y & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b & -y & 0 & 0 \\ -a & x & 0 & 0 \\ b' & pb & -y & 0 \\ -a' & -pa & x & 0 \\ b'' & p'b+qb' & qb & -y \\ -a'' & -p'a-qa' & -qa & x \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof. $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_Z)$ admits a filtration by graded S-submodules with the successive quotiens isomorphic to $S(L) := S/I(L)$, $S(L)(l)$, $S(L)(2l+m)$ and $S(L)(3l+m+n)$, respectively. Using the well-known graded free resolution of $S(L)$ over S one deduces that $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_Z)$ has a graded free resolution of the numerical shape from the statement. Moreover, the linear parts of the differentials δ_1 and δ_2 can be deduced from the resolution of $S(L)$. The rest of the matrices defining δ_1 and δ_2 can be easily guessed. For example, δ_1 is defined by the matrix of relations between the generators 1, e_1, e_2, e_3 of $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_Z)$. The module of these relations is generated by the relations of the following form: one multiplies each generator of $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_Z)$ by x and by y and one expresses the results as combinations of the next generators. □

Remark A.9. We recall, for completeness, an algorithmic procedure for getting a minimal free resolution of a graded S-module M from a non-minimal one. Consider a complex: $F_\bullet \; : \; \cdots F_{s+1} \xrightarrow{d_{s+1}} F_s \xrightarrow{d_s} F_{s-1} \xrightarrow{d_{s-1}} F_{s-2} \cdots$ of graded free S-modules of finite rank. Assume that the (i,j) entry of the matrix of d_s is a non-zero constant $c \in k$. Performing elementary operations on the columns of the matrix of d_s, one can turn into 0 all the other entries of its ith row. One gets, in this way, a new morphism $d_s' : F_s \to F_{s-1}$. Then:

(i) deleting the jth rank 1 direct summand of F_s and the ith rank 1 direct summand of F_{s-1},
(ii) deleting the ith row and the jth column of the matrix of d_s',
(iii) deleting the ith column of the matrix of d_{s-1},
(iv) deleting the jth row of the matrix of d_{s+1},

one obtains a complex $F'_\bullet$ such that $F_\bullet$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of $F'_\bullet$ and of a complex of the form : $\cdots 0 \longrightarrow S(-a) \xrightarrow{c} S(-a) \longrightarrow 0 \cdots$.

A.2. **Primitive structures of degree 4.** We assume, in this subsection, using the notation introduced in the previous one, that $m = n = 0$ hence that p, $q \in k$. Since $p \neq 0$ and $q \neq 0$ one can assume that $e_2 = e_1^2$, $e_3 = e_1^3$ (and $e_1^4 = 0$), i.e., that $p = 1$, $p' = 0$ and $q = 1$. Since $\Delta_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(3l+2))$, the polynomials v_0, v_1, $v_2 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(l))$ defined by relation (A.8) :

$$-\Delta_1 = v_0a^2 + v_1ab + v_2b^2$$

are *uniquely determined* (taking into account the exact sequence (A.7)) and the relations (A.16) become :

$$\begin{aligned} -a\Delta_1 &= v_0a^3 + v_1a^2b + v_2ab^2\,, \\ -b\Delta_1 &= v_0a^2b + v_1ab^2 + v_2b^3\,. \end{aligned}$$

In relation (A.14) one must have $f = g = 0$, and this relation becomes :

$$\Delta_2 - 2v_0aa' - v_1(ab' + a'b) - 2v_2bb' = w\,, \tag{A.20}$$

with $w \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(4l+2))$. The exact sequence (A.12) becomes :

$$0 \longrightarrow 2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-1) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ -a & -b \end{pmatrix}} 2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L \xrightarrow{(a\,,\,b\,,\,1)} \mathscr{O}_L \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

In particular, $l_1 = l_2 = l+1$. The exact sequence (A.15) becomes :

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} \mathscr{O}_L(-l-2) \\ \oplus \\ 2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\nu_4''} \begin{matrix} \mathscr{O}_L(-l-2) \\ \oplus \\ 2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3) \\ \oplus \\ \mathscr{O}_L(3l) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_4''} \mathscr{O}_L(3l) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{A.21}$$

with ε_4'' and ν_4'' defined by the matrices :

$$(-w\,,\, a\Delta_1\,,\, b\Delta_1\,,\, 1)\ ,\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ w & -a\Delta_1 & -b\Delta_1 \end{pmatrix}\,,$$

respectively. Prop. A.6 becomes, in this special case :

Corollary A.10. *Assume that* $m = n = 0$ *and that* w (*defined in* (A.20)) *can be written as a combination* $w = w_0a^3 + w_1a^2b + w_2ab^2 + w_3b^3$ *with* $w_0, \dots, w_3 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(l-1))$ (*uniquely determined, taking into account the exact sequence* (A.10)). *Recalling the notation* :

$$F_2 = \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ x & y \end{vmatrix}\ ,\ F_3 = F_2 + v_0x^2 + v_1xy + v_2y^2\,,$$

the homogeneous ideal $I(Z) \subset S$ is generated by:

$$F_4 = F_3 + w_0x^3 + w_1x^2y + w_2xy^2 + w_3y^3\ ,\ x^4\ ,\ x^3y\ ,\ x^2y^2\ ,\ xy^3\ ,\ y^4$$

hence Z is the divisor $4L$ on the surface $F_4 = 0$, which is nonsingular along L.

Proof. It suffices to notice that the polynomials G_1 and G_2 occuring in the statement of Prop. A.6 are equal, in the particular case under consideration, to xF_3 and yF_3, respectively, and that these polynomials toghether with the polynomials x^2F_2, xyF_2, y^2F_2 belong to the ideal generated by the polynomials from the statement of the corollary. $\square$

Remark A.11. It follows, in particular, from Corollary A.10 and Remark A.5, that if $m = n = 0$ and $l = -1$ then Z is the divisor $4L$ on the plane $H \supset L$ of equation $-bx + ay = 0$.

A graded free resolution of $I(Z)$ under the hypothesis of Cor. A.10. Using Remark A.9 to cancel redundant direct summands of the terms of the resolution of $I(Z)$ described after Prop. A.6 and taking into account that the polynomials α_i, β_i, $i = 0,\ 1,\ 2$, introduced in Remark A.7 are all zero, one gets that the ideal $I(Z)$ has, under the hypothesis of Cor. A.10, a free resolution of the form:

$$0 \longrightarrow 3S(-l-6) \xrightarrow{d_2'} \begin{matrix} 4S(-l-5) \\ \oplus \\ 4S(-5) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{d_1'} \begin{matrix} S(-l-2) \\ \oplus \\ 5S(-4) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{d_0'} I(Z) \longrightarrow 0$$

with d_1' and d_2' defined by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x^3 & x^2y & xy^2 & y^3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b-v_0x-w_0x^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -y & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -a-v_1x-w_1x^2 & b-v_0x-w_0x^2 & -w_0xy & -w_0y^2 & x & -y & 0 & 0 \\ -v_2x-w_2x^2 & -a-v_1x-w_1x^2 & b-v_0x-w_1xy & -v_0y-w_1y^2 & 0 & x & -y & 0 \\ -w_3x^2 & -v_2x-w_2x^2 & -a-v_1x-w_2xy & b-v_1y-w_2y^2 & 0 & 0 & x & -y \\ 0 & -w_3x^2 & -v_2x-w_3xy & -a-v_2y-w_3y^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -y & 0 & 0 \\ x & -y & 0 \\ 0 & x & -y \\ 0 & 0 & x \\ -b + v_0x + w_0x^2 & 0 & 0 \\ a + v_1x + w_1x^2 & -b + v_0x & 0 \\ v_2x + w_2x^2 & a + v_1x & -b \\ w_3x^2 & v_2x & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

The case where w cannot be written as a combination of $a^3, \ldots, b^3$. Assume that $m = n = 0$, $l \geq 0$ and that the polynomial $w \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(4l + 2))$, defined in (A.20), cannot be written as a combination of $a^3, \ldots, b^3$. Since $x_iw \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(4l + 3))$, $i = 0,\ 1$, it follows, using the exact sequence (A.10), that there exist uniquely determined polynomials

$w_{ij} \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(l))$, $i = 0, 1$, $j = 0, \dots, 3$, such that :

$$x_i w = w_{i0}a^3 + w_{i1}a^2b + w_{i2}ab^2 + w_{i3}b^3\, ,\ i = 0, 1\, . \tag{A.22}$$

Proposition A.12. *Assume that* $m = n = 0$, $l \geq 0$ *and that the polynomial* w (*defined in* (A.20)) *cannot be written as a combination of* $a^3, \dots, b^3$ *as in Cor. A.10. Then the homogeneous ideal* $I(Z) \subset S$ *is generated by the polynomials*:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{40} &= x_0F_3 + w_{00}x^3 + w_{01}x^2y + w_{02}xy^2 + w_{03}y^3\, , \\ F_{41} &= x_1F_3 + w_{10}x^3 + w_{11}x^2y + w_{12}xy^2 + w_{13}y^3\, , \\ G_1 &= xF_3\, ,\ G_2 = yF_3\, ,\ x^4\, ,\ x^3y\, ,\ x^2y^2\, ,\ xy^3\, ,\ y^4 \end{aligned}$$

with the w_{ij}*'s as in* (A.22).

Proof. We describe, firstly, under the hypothesis of the proposition, the kernel of the epimorphism $\varepsilon_4 : 2\mathscr{O}_L(-1) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_L(-2) \oplus 4\mathscr{O}_L(-3) \to \mathscr{O}_L(l) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(2l) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(3l)$. We intend to apply, for this purpose, Remark A.2. The component $\psi_2'' : \mathscr{O}_L(-l-2) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3) \to \mathscr{O}_L(3l)$ of the morphism ν_4'' from the exact sequence (A.21) is defined by the matrix $(w\, ,\, -a\Delta_1\, , -b\Delta_1)$. One has a commutative diagram with exact rows :

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathscr{O}_L(-l-4) \arrow[r, "\sigma"] \arrow[d, "\psi_2'"] & \begin{array}{c} 2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3) \\ \oplus \\ 2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3) \end{array} \arrow[r, "\rho"] \arrow[d, "\psi_2"] & \begin{array}{c} \mathscr{O}_L(-l-2) \\ \oplus \\ 2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3) \end{array} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\psi_2''"] & 0 \\ 0 \arrow[r] & 3\mathscr{O}_L(-l-4) \arrow[r] & 4\mathscr{O}_L(-3) \arrow[r] & \mathscr{O}_L(3l) \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

with the exact sequence (A.10) as bottom row, with ρ, σ, ψ_2 defined, respectively, by the matrices :

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\, ,\ \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 \\ x_0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\, ,\ \begin{pmatrix} w_{00} & w_{10} & v_0 & 0 \\ w_{01} & w_{11} & v_1 & v_0 \\ w_{02} & w_{12} & v_2 & v_1 \\ w_{03} & w_{13} & 0 & v_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and with ψ_2' defined by a matrix of the form $(\gamma_0\, , \gamma_1\, , \gamma_2)^{\mathrm{t}}$, with γ_0, γ_1, $\gamma_2 \in k$. The commutativity of the left square of the above diagram is equivalent to the matrix relation :

$$-\, x_1 \begin{pmatrix} w_{00} \\ w_{01} \\ w_{02} \\ w_{03} \end{pmatrix} + x_0 \begin{pmatrix} w_{10} \\ w_{11} \\ w_{12} \\ w_{13} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -b & 0 & 0 \\ a & -b & 0 \\ 0 & a & -b \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 \\ \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 \end{pmatrix}\, . \tag{A.23}$$

It follows that at least one of the constants γ_0, γ_1, γ_2 must be non-zero because, otherwise, x_0 would divide each of the polynomials $w_{00}, \dots, w_{03}$ and this would contradict our assumption that w cannot be written as a combination of $a^3, \dots, b^3$.

Applying, now, Remark A.2(a) one gets an exact sequence:

$$(\mathrm{A}.24)\qquad 0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_L(-l-4) \xrightarrow{\kappa_4} \begin{matrix} 2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3) \\ \oplus \\ 2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3) \\ \oplus \\ 3\mathscr{O}_L(-l-4) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\nu_4} \begin{matrix} 2\mathscr{O}_L(-1) \\ \oplus \\ 3\mathscr{O}_L(-2) \\ \oplus \\ 4\mathscr{O}_L(-3) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_4} \begin{matrix} \mathscr{O}_L(l) \\ \oplus \\ \mathscr{O}_L(2l) \\ \oplus \\ \mathscr{O}_L(3l) \end{matrix} \longrightarrow 0$$

with ν_4 and κ_4 defined, repectively, by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -bx_0 & -bx_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ ax_0 & ax_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ v_0x_0 & v_0x_1 & -b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ v_1x_0 & v_1x_1 & a & -b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ v_2x_0 & v_2x_1 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ w_{00} & w_{10} & v_0 & 0 & -b & 0 & 0 \\ w_{01} & w_{11} & v_1 & v_0 & a & -b & 0 \\ w_{02} & w_{12} & v_2 & v_1 & 0 & a & -b \\ w_{03} & w_{13} & 0 & v_2 & 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 \\ x_0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -\gamma_0 \\ -\gamma_1 \\ -\gamma_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that the columns of the matrix defining ν_4 generate the graded $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_L)$-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\operatorname{Ker}\varepsilon_4)$.

Now, using the exact sequence (A.5) and the fact that:

$$\mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_L^4 = \mathscr{O}_L(-1)x \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(-1)y \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(-2)x^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(-3)y^3$$

one deduces that $I(Z)$ is generated by the polynomials from the statement and by x^2F_2, xyF_2, y^2F_2. The latter ones, however, belong to the ideal generated by G_1, G_2, $x^4, \dots, y^4$. □

A graded free resolution of $I(Z)$ under the hypothesis of Prop. A.12. The morphism ν_4 from the exact sequence (A.24) maps $2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_L(-l-4)$ into $3\mathscr{O}_L(-2) \oplus 4\mathscr{O}_L(-3)$ and the induced morphism $\overline{\nu}_4 : 2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_L(-l-4) \to 3\mathscr{O}_L(-2) \oplus 4\mathscr{O}_L(-3)$ is defined by the matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & -b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ v_0 & 0 & -b & 0 & 0 \\ v_1 & v_0 & a & -b & 0 \\ v_2 & v_1 & 0 & a & -b \\ 0 & v_2 & 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Applying the Snake Lemma to the diagram :

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \begin{array}{c} 2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3) \\ \oplus \\ 3\mathscr{O}_L(-l-4) \end{array} \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "\text{incl}"] & \begin{array}{c} 2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3) \\ \oplus \\ 3\mathscr{O}_L(-l-4) \end{array} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\overline{\nu}_4"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathscr{O}_L(-l-4) \arrow[r, "\kappa_4"] & \begin{array}{c} 4\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3) \\ \oplus \\ 3\mathscr{O}_L(-l-4) \end{array} \arrow[r, "\nu_4"] & \operatorname{Ker} \varepsilon_4 \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

one gets an exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow 2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_L(-l-4) \xrightarrow{\overline{\nu}_4} \operatorname{Ker} \varepsilon_4 \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathscr{O}_L(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{A.25}$$

where η maps the elements :

$$(-bx_i,\, ax_i,\, v_0x_i,\, v_1x_i,\, v_2x_i,\, w_{i0},\, w_{i1},\, w_{i2},\, w_{i3})^{\mathrm{t}},\ i = 0,\, 1\,,$$

of $\mathrm{H}^0((\operatorname{Ker} \varepsilon_4)(l+3))$ into the elements x_0, x_1 of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(1))$.

It is easy to check that the morphism $\overline{\nu}_4$ considered above can be identified with the morphism :

$$F_3 \cdot - : (\mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_L^3)(-l-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_L^2/\mathscr{I}_L^4\,.$$

Applying, now, $\mathrm{H}^0_*(-)$ to the exact sequence (A.25) one gets an exact sequence of graded S-modules :

$$0 \longrightarrow (I(L)/I(L)^3)(-l-2) \xrightarrow{F_3 \cdot -} I(Z)/I(L)^4 \longrightarrow S(L)_+(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{A.26}$$

where $S(L) = S/I(L)$ and $S(L)_+ = x_0S(L) + x_1S(L) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} S(L)_i$.

We provide, now, explicit minimal free resolutions of the graded S-modules $S(L)_+$ and $I(L)/I(L)^3$. Using the exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L)(-2) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_1 \\ x_0 \end{pmatrix}} 2S(L)(-1) \xrightarrow{(x_0\,,\, x_1)} S(L)_+ \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

one sees that the tensor product of the complexes :

$$S(-2) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_1 \\ x_0 \end{pmatrix}} 2S(-1)\,,\ S(-2) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -y \\ x \end{pmatrix}} 2S(-1) \xrightarrow{(x\,,\, y)} S$$

is the following minimal free resolution of $S(L)_+$:

$$0 \to S(-4) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -y \\ x \\ -x_1 \\ x_0 \end{pmatrix}} 4S(-3) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x & y & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & 0 & -y & 0 \\ 0 & x_1 & x & 0 \\ -x_0 & 0 & 0 & -y \\ 0 & -x_0 & 0 & x \end{pmatrix}} 5S(-2) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_1 & x & y & 0 & 0 \\ x_0 & 0 & 0 & x & y \end{pmatrix}} 2S(-1)$$

As for $I(L)/I(L)^3$, one uses the standard free resolutions of $I(L)/I(L)^2 \simeq 2S(L)(-1)$ and of $I(L)^2/I(L)^3 \simeq 3S(L)(-2)$ to get a non-minimal free resolution of $I(L)/I(L)^3$ over S and then, cancelling redundant terms (see Remark A.9), one gets the following minimal free resolution :

$$0 \to 3S(-4) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -y^2 \\ -y & 0 & 0 \\ x & -y & 0 \\ 0 & x & -y \\ 0 & 0 & x \end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix} S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ 4S(-3) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -y & x^2 & xy & y^2 & 0 \\ x & 0 & 0 & 0 & y^2 \end{pmatrix}} 2S(-1) \xrightarrow{(x\,,\, y)} \frac{I(L)}{I(L)^3}$$

One deduces, now, from the exact sequences (A.5) and (A.26), that $I(Z)$ admits a non-minimal free resolution of the form :

$$0 \longrightarrow S(-l-6) \xrightarrow{d_3} \begin{matrix} 4S(-l-5) \\ \oplus \\ 3S(-l-6) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{d_2} \begin{matrix} 6S(-l-4) \\ \oplus \\ 4S(-l-5) \\ \oplus \\ 4S(-5) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{d_1} \begin{matrix} 4S(-l-3) \\ \oplus \\ 5S(-4) \end{matrix} \longrightarrow I(Z) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

This resolution has a filtration with successive quotients the above minimal free resolutions of $S(L)_+(-l-2)$, $(I(L)/I(L)^3)(-l-2)$ and $I(L)^4$, respectively. Consequently, large parts of the matrices of d_1, d_2 and d_3 are known. In order to get the entire matrix of d_1 one has to extend the known parts of its columns to relations between the generators of $I(Z)$ from Prop. A.12. This presents some difficulty only for the first column. Multiplying to the left the matrix relation (A.23) by $(x^3,\, x^2y,\, xy^2,\, y^3)$ one gets the following relation :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(A.27)} \quad & -x_1(w_{00}x^3 + w_{01}x^2y + w_{02}xy^2 + w_{03}y^3) + x_0(w_{10}x^3 + w_{11}x^2y + w_{12}xy^2 + w_{13}y^3) \\ & \qquad = (\gamma_0x^2 + \gamma_1xy + \gamma_2y^2)(-bx + ay)\,, \end{aligned}$$

from which one deduces that :

$$-x_1F_{40} + x_0F_{41} - (\gamma_0x^2 + \gamma_1xy + \gamma_2y^2)F_3 + (\gamma_0x^2 + \gamma_1xy + \gamma_2y^2)(v_0x^2 + v_1xy + v_2y^2) = 0$$

Now, the matrices of d_1, d_2 and d_3 are the following ones :

$$\begin{pmatrix}
-x_1 & x & y & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_0 & 0 & 0 & x & y & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\gamma_0x-\gamma_1y & -x_0 & 0 & -x_1 & 0 & -y & x^2 & xy & y^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\gamma_2y & 0 & -x_0 & 0 & -x_1 & x & 0 & 0 & 0 & y^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\theta_0 & -w_{00} & 0 & -w_{10} & 0 & 0 & b-v_0x & 0 & 0 & 0 & -y & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\theta_1 & -w_{01} & -w_{00} & -w_{11} & -w_{10} & 0 & -a-v_1x & b-v_0x & 0 & 0 & x & -y & 0 & 0 \\
\theta_2 & -w_{02} & -w_{01} & -w_{12} & -w_{11} & 0 & -v_2x & -a-v_1x & b-v_0x & -v_0y & 0 & x & -y & 0 \\
\theta_3 & -w_{03} & -w_{02} & -w_{13} & -w_{12} & 0 & 0 & -v_2x & -a-v_1x & b-v_1y & 0 & 0 & x & -y \\
\theta_4 & 0 & -w_{03} & 0 & -w_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -v_2x & -a-v_2y & 0 & 0 & 0 & x
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & y & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & 0 & -y & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_1 & x & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_0 & 0 & 0 & -y & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_0 & 0 & x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma_2 y & 0 & x_0 & x_1 & 0 & 0 & -y^2 \\ \gamma_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -y & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma_1 & \gamma_0 & 0 & 0 & x & -y & 0 \\ \gamma_2 & \gamma_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x & -y \\ 0 & \gamma_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & \gamma_0 v_0 & w_{00} & w_{10} & -b+v_0x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_0v_1+\gamma_1v_0 & w_{01} & w_{11} & a+v_1x & -b+v_0x & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_0v_2+\gamma_1v_1 & w_{02} & w_{12} & v_2x & a+v_1x & -b \\ 0 & \gamma_1v_2 & w_{03} & w_{13} & 0 & v_2x & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -y \\ x \\ -x_1 \\ x_0 \\ -\gamma_0 \\ -\gamma_1 \\ -\gamma_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\theta_0, \dots, \theta_4$ are defined by:

$$(\gamma_0x^2+\gamma_1xy+\gamma_2y^2)(v_0x^2+v_1xy+v_2y^2) = \theta_0x^4+\theta_1x^3y+\theta_2x^2y^2+\theta_3xy^3+\theta_4y^4$$

In order to check that $d_1d_2 = 0$ and $d_2d_3 = 0$ one has to use the above polynomial relation (A.27). Using Remark A.9, one can cancel the redundant terms of the above free resolution of $I(Z)$. One has to consider three cases: (I) $\gamma_0 \neq 0$, (II) $\gamma_0 = 0$ and $\gamma_1 \neq 0$, (III) $\gamma_0 = \gamma_1 = 0$ and $\gamma_2 \neq 0$. In all the cases, one gets a free resolution of $I(Z)$ having the following shape:

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} 2S(-l-5) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(-l-6) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{d_2'} \begin{matrix} 6S(-l-4) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(-l-5) \\ \oplus \\ 4S(-5) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{d_1'} \begin{matrix} 4S(-l-3) \\ \oplus \\ 5S(-4) \end{matrix} \longrightarrow I(Z) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

A.3. **Thick structures of degree 4.** According to Bănică and Forster [6, § 4], if W is such a structure supported on the line L then $\mathscr{I}_L^3 \subset \mathscr{I}_W \subset \mathscr{I}_L^2$ and one has an exact sequence of the form:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_W/\mathscr{I}_L^3 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_L^2/\mathscr{I}_L^3 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathscr{O}_L(l) \longrightarrow 0$$

for some integer l. Since $\mathscr{I}_L^2/\mathscr{I}_L^3 \simeq 3\mathscr{O}_L(-2)$, one must have $l \geq -2$. The epimorphism ε is defined by three polynomials p_0, p_1, $p_2 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(l+2))$ having no common zero on L. One deduces an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_L(l) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_W \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L^{(1)}} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{A.28}$$

where $L^{(1)}$ is the first infinitesimal neighbourhood of L in $\mathbb{P}^3$, defined by the ideal $\mathscr{I}_L^2$. It follows that, as an $\mathscr{O}_L$-module:

$$\mathscr{O}_W \simeq \mathscr{O}_L \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_L(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(l)\,.$$

The graded $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_L) = k[x_0, x_1]$-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_W)$ has a system of generators consisting of $1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_W)$, e_0, $e_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_W(1))$ and $e_2 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_W(-l))$. The multiplicative structure of $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_W)$ is defined by:

$$e_0^2 = p_0e_2\,,\ e_0e_1 = p_1e_2\,,\ e_1^2 = p_2e_2\,,\ e_0e_2 = 0\,,\ e_1e_2 = 0\,,\ e_2^2 = 0\,.$$

The canonical epimorphism $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \to \mathscr{O}_W$ induces a morphism of graded $k[x_0, x_1]$-algebras $S = \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \to \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_W)$ which is completely determined by the fact that $x \mapsto e_0$ and $y \mapsto e_1$. One has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_L(-m) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(-n) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f_0 & g_0 \\ f_1 & g_1 \\ f_2 & g_2 \end{pmatrix}} 3\mathscr{O}_L \xrightarrow{(p_0\,,\, p_1\,,\, p_2)} \mathscr{O}_L(l+2) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{A.29}$$

with $0 \leq m \leq n$ and $m + n = l + 2$. Since $\mathscr{I}_W/\mathscr{I}_L^3$ is annihilated by $\mathscr{I}_L$ it is already an $\mathscr{O}_L$-module. Using the above exact sequence, it follows that, actually:

$$\mathscr{I}_W/\mathscr{I}_L^3 \simeq \mathscr{O}_L(-m-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(-n-2)\,.$$

Since $\mathrm{H}^1_*(\mathscr{I}_L^3) = 0$, one deduces an exact sequence of graded S-modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L)^3 \longrightarrow I(W) \longrightarrow S(L)(-m-2) \oplus S(L)(-n-2) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $S(L) = S/I(L)$, from which one gets immediately:

Proposition A.13. *The homogeneous ideal $I(W) \subset S$ of W is generated by the following polynomials:*

$$F = f_0x^2 + f_1xy + f_2y^2\,,\ G = g_0x^2 + g_1xy + g_2y^2\,,\ x^3\,,\ x^2y\,,\ xy^2\,,\ y^3\,.$$

Moreover, one also gets that $I(W)$ has a graded free resolution of the form:

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} S(-m-4) \\ \oplus \\ S(-n-4) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{d_2} \begin{matrix} 2S(-m-3) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(-n-3) \\ \oplus \\ 3S(-4) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{d_1} \begin{matrix} S(-m-2) \\ \oplus \\ S(-n-2) \\ \oplus \\ 4S(-3) \end{matrix} \longrightarrow I(W) \longrightarrow 0$$

with d_1 and d_2 defined by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & y & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x & y & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -f_0 & 0 & -g_0 & 0 & -y & 0 & 0 \\ -f_1 & -f_0 & -g_1 & -g_0 & x & -y & 0 \\ -f_2 & -f_1 & -g_2 & -g_1 & 0 & x & -y \\ 0 & -f_2 & 0 & -g_2 & 0 & 0 & x \end{pmatrix}\,, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -y & 0 \\ x & 0 \\ 0 & -y \\ 0 & x \\ f_0 & g_0 \\ f_1 & g_1 \\ f_2 & g_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Proposition A.14. *Assume that W is properly locally CM everywhere, i.e., it is not l.c.i. except at finitely many points. Then l is even, $l = 2l'$ with $l' \geq -1$, and there exist two polynomials q_0, $q_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(l'+1))$ having no common zero on L such that $I(W)$ is generated by:*

$$x\begin{vmatrix} q_0 & q_1 \\ x & y \end{vmatrix}\, ,\ y\begin{vmatrix} q_0 & q_1 \\ x & y \end{vmatrix}\, ,\ x^3\, ,\ x^2y\, ,\ xy^2\, ,\ y^3\, .$$

Notice that if X is the double structure on L defined by the epimorphism $(q_0,\, q_1) : \mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_L^2 \to \mathscr{O}_L(l')$ (see Subsection A.4 below) then $I(W) = I(L)I(X)$.

Proof. By [6, Prop. 4.3], the hypothesis of the proposition implies that $p_1^2 = p_0p_2$. Since p_0, p_1, p_2 have no common zero on L, it follows that p_0 and p_2 have no common zero on L. One deduces, now, that p_0 and p_2 must be "perfect squares", i.e., that there exist polynomials q_0 and q_1 such that $p_0 = q_0^2$ and $p_2 = q_1^2$. Then $p_1 = q_0q_1$ and the exact sequence (A.29) becomes:

$$0 \longrightarrow 2\mathscr{O}_L(-l'-1) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -q_1 & 0 \\ q_0 & -q_1 \\ 0 & q_0 \end{pmatrix}} 3\mathscr{O}_L \xrightarrow{(q_0^2\, ,\ q_0q_1\, ,\ q_1^2)} \mathscr{O}_L(l+2) \longrightarrow 0\, .$$

One can apply, now, Prop. A.13. □

Applying $\mathrm{H}^0_*(-)$ to the exact sequence (A.28) one gets an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L)(l) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_W) \longrightarrow S/I(L)^2 \longrightarrow 0$$

from which one gets readily the following:

Proposition A.15. *The graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_W)$ admits the following free resolution:*

$$0 \to \begin{matrix} 2S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(-l-2) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -y & 0 & 0 \\ x & -y & 0 \\ 0 & x & 0 \\ p_1 & p_2 & -y \\ -p_0 & -p_1 & x \end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix} 3S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(-l-1) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x^2 & xy & y^2 & 0 & 0 \\ -p_0 & -p_1 & -p_2 & x & y \end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix} S \\ \oplus \\ S(-l) \end{matrix} \to \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_W)$$

□

We record, finally, for reference, the similar but easier results concerning multiple structures of degree 2 or 3 on the line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^3$.

A.4. **Primitive structures of degree 2.** Let X be a locally CM curve of degree 2 supported by the line L. The CM filtration of $\mathscr{O}_X$ has two steps $\mathscr{O}_X \supset \mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_X \supset (0)$ with $\mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_X \simeq \mathscr{O}_L(l)$ for some $l \geq -1$. One has an isomorphism of $\mathscr{O}_L$-modules $\mathscr{O}_X \simeq \mathscr{O}_L \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(l)$ and if $1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_X)$ and $e_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_X(-l))$ are the corresponding generators of the graded $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_L) = k[x_0, x_1]$-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_X)$ then the multiplicative structure of $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_X)$ is defined by $e_1^2 = 0$. The epimorphism $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \to \mathscr{O}_X$ induces a morphism of graded

$k[x_0, x_1]$-algebras $S = \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \to \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_X)$ which is uniquely determined by the images of x, $y \in S$. Let's say that:

$$x \mapsto ae_1\,,\ \ y \mapsto be_1\,,$$

with a, $b \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(l+1))$. The epimorphism $\mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_L^2 \to \mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_X$ can be identified with the morphism $\varepsilon_2 : 2\mathscr{O}_L(-1) \to \mathscr{O}_L(l)$ defined by the matrix $(a\,,\,b)$ and the surjectivity of ε_2 is equivalent to a and b being coprime.

Now, under these hypotheses, the homogeneous ideal $I(X) \subset S$ is generated by $F_2 := -bx + ay$, x^2, xy, y^2 (i.e., X is the divisor $2L$ on the surface $F_2 = 0$, which is nonsingular along L) and one has graded free resolutions:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(-l-4) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -y \\ x \\ -b \\ a \end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix} 2S(-l-3) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(-3) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x & y & 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 & -y & 0 \\ -a & b & x & -y \\ 0 & -a & 0 & x \end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix} S(-l-2) \\ \oplus \\ 3S(-2) \end{matrix} \longrightarrow I(X) \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-2) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -y & 0 \\ x & 0 \\ b & -y \\ -a & x \end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix} 2S(-1) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l-1) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x & y & 0 & 0 \\ -a & -b & x & y \end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix} S \\ \oplus \\ S(l) \end{matrix} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_X) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Moreover, by a general result of Ferrand [15], one has $\omega_X \simeq \mathscr{O}_X(-l-2)$.

Remark A.16. We want to describe, for later use, the successive quotients of the descending chain of ideal sheaves $\mathscr{I}_L \supset \mathscr{I}_X \supset \mathscr{I}_L^2 \supset \mathscr{I}_L\mathscr{I}_X \supset \mathscr{I}_L^3$.

(i) Of course, $\mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_X \simeq \mathscr{O}_L(l)$ whence an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_X \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_L \xrightarrow{\ \pi\ } \mathscr{O}_L(l) \longrightarrow 0$$

where π maps x (resp., y) $\in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_L(1))$ to a (resp., b) $\in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(l+1))$. Moreover, by Bănică and Forster [6, Prop. 2.2], the canonical morphism $(\mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_X)^{\otimes j} \to \mathscr{I}_L^j/\mathscr{I}_L^{j-1}\mathscr{I}_X$ is an isomorphism, $\forall\, j \geq 1$, hence $\mathscr{I}_L^2/\mathscr{I}_L\mathscr{I}_X \simeq \mathscr{O}_L(2l)$.

(ii) The exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_X/\mathscr{I}_L^2 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_L^2 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_X \longrightarrow 0$$

can be identified with the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_L(-l-2) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -b \\ a \end{pmatrix}} 2\mathscr{O}_L(-1) \xrightarrow{(a\,,\,b)} \mathscr{O}_L(l) \longrightarrow 0$$

whence an isomorphism $\mathscr{I}_X/\mathscr{I}_L^2 \simeq \mathscr{O}_L(-l-2)$. One deduces an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_L^2 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_X \xrightarrow{\ \eta\ } \mathscr{O}_L(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{A.30}$$

where η maps $F_2 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_X(l+2))$ to $1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L)$.

(iii) The exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_L\mathscr{I}_X/\mathscr{I}_L^3 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_L^2/\mathscr{I}_L^3 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_L^2/\mathscr{I}_L\mathscr{I}_X \longrightarrow 0$$

can be identified with the sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow 2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -b & 0 \\ a & -b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}} 3\mathscr{O}_L(-2) \xrightarrow{(a^2,\ ab\,,\ b^2)} \mathscr{O}_L(2l) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

One deduces an isomorphism $\mathscr{I}_L\mathscr{I}_X/\mathscr{I}_L^3 \simeq 2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3)$, whence an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_L^3 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_L\mathscr{I}_X \xrightarrow{\eta_1} 2\mathscr{O}_L(-l-3) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{A.31}$$

where η_1 maps xF_2 (resp., yF_2) $\in \mathrm{H}^0((\mathscr{I}_L\mathscr{I}_X)(l+3))$ to $(1,0)$ (resp., $(0,1)$) $\in \mathrm{H}^0(2\mathscr{O}_L)$.

Notice that the ideal sheaf $\mathscr{I}_L\mathscr{I}_X$ defines a thick structure W of degree 4 on L which is l.c.i. at no point of L (see Prop. A.14).

(iv) In the exact sequence of $\mathscr{O}_L$-modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_L^2/\mathscr{I}_L\mathscr{I}_X \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_X/\mathscr{I}_L\mathscr{I}_X \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_X/\mathscr{I}_L^2 \longrightarrow 0$$

one has $\mathscr{I}_X/\mathscr{I}_L^2 \simeq \mathscr{O}_L(-l-2)$ and $\mathscr{I}_L^2/\mathscr{I}_L\mathscr{I}_X \simeq \mathscr{O}_L(2l)$. Since $l \geq -1$, it follows that this sequence splits. One gets an isomorphism:

$$\mathscr{I}_X/\mathscr{I}_L\mathscr{I}_X \simeq \mathscr{O}_L(-l-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(2l)\,.$$

Under this isomorphism, the image of $F_2 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_X(l+2))$ into $\mathrm{H}^0((\mathscr{I}_X/\mathscr{I}_L\mathscr{I}_X)(l+2))$ is identified with $(1,0)$ and the image of x^2 (resp., xy, resp., y^2) $\in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_X(2))$ is identified with $(0,a^2)$ (resp., $(0,ab)$, resp., $(0,b^2)$).

A.5. **Quasiprimitive structures of degree 3.** Let Y be a locally CM curve of degree 3 supported on the line L. Y is a quasiprimitive multiple structure on L unless it is the first infinitesimal neighbourhood $L^{(1)}$ of L (defined by the ideal sheaf $\mathscr{I}_L^2$). Assume, from now on, that Y is quasiprimitive. The CM filtration of $\mathscr{O}_Y$ has three steps $\mathscr{O}_Y \supset \mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_Y \supset \mathscr{I}_X/\mathscr{I}_Y \supset (0)$, where X is a double structure on L with $\mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_X \simeq \mathscr{O}_L(l)$ for some $l \geq -1$, and where $\mathscr{I}_X/\mathscr{I}_Y \simeq \mathscr{O}_L(2l+m)$ for some $m \geq 0$. One has an isomorphism of $\mathscr{O}_L$-modules:

$$\mathscr{O}_Y \simeq \mathscr{O}_L \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(l) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(2l+m)$$

and if $1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_Y)$, $e_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_Y(-l))$, $e_2 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_Y(-2l-m))$ are the corresponding generators of the graded $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_L) = k[x_0,x_1]$-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_Y)$ then the multiplicative structure of $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_Y)$ is defined by:

$$e_1^2 = pe_2\,,\ e_1e_2 = 0\,,\ e_2^2 = 0$$

with $0 \neq p \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(m))$. The canonical epimorphism $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \to \mathscr{O}_Y$ induces a morphism of graded $k[x_0,x_1]$-algebras $S = \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \to \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_Y)$ uniquely determined by the images of x, $y \in S$. Let's say that:

$$x \mapsto ae_1 + a'e_2\,,\ y \mapsto be_1 + b'e_2$$

with a, $b \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(l+1))$ and a', $b' \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(2l+m+1))$. The canonical epimorhism $\mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_L^3 \to \mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_Y$ can be identified, in the category of $\mathscr{O}_L$-modules, with the morphism $\varepsilon_3 : 2\mathscr{O}_L(-1) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_L(-2) \to \mathscr{O}_L(l) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(2l+m)$ defined by the matrix :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a' & b' & pa^2 & pab & pb^2 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{A.32}$$

The surjectivity of ε_3 is equivalent to the fact that a and b, on one hand, and $\Delta_1 := ab' - a'b$ and p, on the other hand, are coprime. Moreover, there exist elements v_0, v_1, $v_2 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(l+m))$ such that :

$$\Delta_1 + v_0a^2 + v_1ab + v_2b^2 = 0$$

(one uses the exact sequence (A.7)).

Now, recalling the notation $F_2 := -bx + ay$, the homogeneous ideal $I(Y) \subset S$ of Y is generated by :

$$F_3 = pF_2 + v_0x^2 + v_1xy + v_2y^2\,,\ xF_2\,,\ yF_2\,,\ x^3\,,\ x^2y\,,\ xy^2\,,\ y^3\,.$$

$I(Y)$ admits a graded free resolution over S of the form :

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} S(-l-m-4) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(-l-5) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{d_2} \begin{matrix} 2S(-l-m-3) \\ \oplus \\ 4S(-l-4) \\ \oplus \\ 3S(-4) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{d_1} \begin{matrix} S(-l-m-2) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(-l-3) \\ \oplus \\ 4S(-3) \end{matrix} \longrightarrow I(Y) \longrightarrow 0$$

with d_1 and d_2 defined by the matrices :

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & y & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -p & 0 & x & y & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -p & 0 & 0 & x & y & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -v_0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & -y & 0 & 0 \\ -v_1 & -v_0 & -a & b & b & 0 & x & -y & 0 \\ -v_2 & -v_1 & 0 & -a & -a & b & 0 & x & -y \\ 0 & -v_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -a & 0 & 0 & x \end{pmatrix} , \quad \begin{pmatrix} -y & 0 & 0 \\ x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -y & 0 \\ -p & x & 0 \\ p & 0 & -y \\ 0 & 0 & x \\ v_0 & -b & 0 \\ v_1 & a & -b \\ v_2 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix} .$$

Notice that in the *primitive case*, i.e., when $m = 0$ hence $p = 1$, $I(Y)$ is generated only by F_3, x^3, x^2y, xy^2, y^3 (i.e., Y is the divisor $3L$ on the surface $F_3 = 0$, which is nonsingular along L) and one can cancel a number of direct summands of the terms of the above free resolution of $I(Y)$.

The graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_Y)$ admits the following free resolution :

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-2) \\ \oplus \\ S(2l+m-2) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{matrix} 2S(-1) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l-1) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(2l+m-1) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{matrix} S \\ \oplus \\ S(l) \\ \oplus \\ S(2l+m) \end{matrix} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_Y) \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

with δ_1 and δ_2 defined by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & y & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -a & -b & x & y & 0 & 0 \\ -a' & -b' & -pa & -pb & x & y \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -y & 0 & 0 \\ x & 0 & 0 \\ b & -y & 0 \\ -a & x & 0 \\ b' & pb & -y \\ -a' & -pa & x \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remark A.17. Keeping the above hypotheses and notation, we want to describe, for later use, the successive quotients of the descending chain of ideal sheaves $\mathscr{I}_X \supset \mathscr{I}_Y \supset \mathscr{I}_L\mathscr{I}_X$.

The right square of the commutative diagram of $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$-modules:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathscr{I}_Y/\mathscr{I}_L^3 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_L^3 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & \mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_Y \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathscr{I}_X/\mathscr{I}_L^3 \arrow[r] & \mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_L^3 \arrow[r] & \mathscr{I}_L/\mathscr{I}_X \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

can be identified, in the category of $\mathscr{O}_L$-modules, with:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
2\mathscr{O}_L(-1) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_L(-2) \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_3"] \arrow[d, equal] & \mathscr{O}_L(l) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(2l+m) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{pr}_1"] \\
2\mathscr{O}_L(-1) \oplus 3\mathscr{O}_L(-2) \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\varepsilon}_2"] & \mathscr{O}_L(l)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_2$ is defined by the matrix $(a\,,\, b\,,\, 0\,,\, 0\,,\, 0)$ and ε_3 by the matrix (A.32). One deduces an explicit isomorphism $\mathscr{O}_L(2l+m) \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathscr{I}_X/\mathscr{I}_Y$, whence an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Y \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_X \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathscr{O}_L(2l+m) \longrightarrow 0$$

where ρ maps $F_2 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_X(l+2))$ to $\Delta_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(3l+m+2))$ and x^2 (resp., xy, resp., y^2) $\in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_X(2))$ to pa^2 (resp., pab, resp., pb^2) $\in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(2l+m+2))$.

Now, the last exact sequence induces an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Y/\mathscr{I}_L\mathscr{I}_X \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_X/\mathscr{I}_L\mathscr{I}_X \xrightarrow{\overline{\rho}} \mathscr{O}_L(2l+m) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Taking into account Remark A.16(iv), this exact sequence can be identified with the sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_L(-l-m-2) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} p \\ -\Delta_1 \end{pmatrix}} \mathscr{O}_L(-l-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(2l) \xrightarrow{(\Delta_1\,,\, p)} \mathscr{O}_L(2l+m) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

One deduces an isomorphism $\mathscr{I}_Y/\mathscr{I}_L\mathscr{I}_X \simeq \mathscr{O}_L(-l-m-2)$, whence an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_L\mathscr{I}_X \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Y \xrightarrow{\eta_2} \mathscr{O}_L(-l-m-2) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{A.33}$$

where η_2 maps $F_3 := pF_2 + v_0x^2 + v_1xy + v_2y^2 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Y(l+m+2))$ to $1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L)$ (recall that $v_0a^2 + v_1ab + v_2b^2 = -\Delta_1$).

Appendix B. Curves with a multiple line as a component

We shall denote, in this appendix, the projective coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^3$ by x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, hence the projective coordinate ring of $\mathbb{P}^3$ is $S = k[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]$. We consider the following points and lines in $\mathbb{P}^3$:

$$P_0 = (1:0:0:0)\,,\ P_1 = (0:1:0:0)\,,\ P_2 = (0:0:1:0)\,,\ P_3 = (0:0:0:1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= \overline{P_0P_1} = \{x_2 = x_3 = 0\}\,,\ L_1' = \overline{P_2P_3} = \{x_0 = x_1 = 0\} \\ L_2 &= \overline{P_0P_2} = \{x_1 = x_3 = 0\}\,,\ L_2' = \overline{P_1P_3} = \{x_0 = x_2 = 0\} \\ L_3 &= \overline{P_0P_3} = \{x_1 = x_2 = 0\}\,,\ L_3' = \overline{P_1P_2} = \{x_0 = x_3 = 0\}\,. \end{aligned}$$

We shall need the following easy results :

Lemma B.1. *Let Z and W be closed subschemes of $\mathbb{P}^3$ such that $Z \cap W = \emptyset$. Assume that Z is arithmetically CM of pure codimension 2. Consider minimal graded free resolutions*:

$$0 \longrightarrow A_1 \longrightarrow A_0 \longrightarrow I(Z) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow B_2 \longrightarrow B_1 \longrightarrow B_0 \longrightarrow I(W) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Then: (a) *$A_\bullet \otimes_S B_\bullet$ is a minimal graded free resolution of the ideal $I(Z)I(W)$.*

(b) *$I(Z \cup W) = I(Z)I(W)$ if, moreover, W is arithmetically CM of pure codimension 2.*

Proof. (a) Tensorizing by $\mathscr{I}_W$ the exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow \widetilde{A}_1 \longrightarrow \widetilde{A}_0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_Z \longrightarrow 0$$

one gets an exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow \widetilde{A}_1 \otimes \mathscr{I}_W \longrightarrow \widetilde{A}_0 \otimes \mathscr{I}_W \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_W \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_Z \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

But Ker $(\mathscr{I}_W \to \mathscr{O}_Z) = \mathscr{I}_{Z\cup W}$, whence an exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow \widetilde{A}_1 \otimes \mathscr{I}_W \longrightarrow \widetilde{A}_0 \otimes \mathscr{I}_W \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z\cup W} \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Applying $\mathrm{H}^0_*(-)$ to this exact sequence one gets an exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow A_1 \otimes_S I(W) \longrightarrow A_0 \otimes_S I(W) \longrightarrow I(Z \cup W)\,.$$

The image of $A_0 \otimes_S I(W) \to I(Z \cup W)$ is $I(Z)I(W)$.

(b) Since $\mathrm{H}^1_*(\mathscr{I}_W) = 0$ it follows that $A_0 \otimes_S I(W) \to I(Z \cup W)$ is surjective. Notice that, under the hypothesis of (b), one has $B_2 = 0$. □

Remark B.2. Let $R = k[x_0, x_1]$ and let $J \subset R$ be a homogeneous, R_+-primary ideal. Then JS is the homogeneous ideal of an arithmetically CM subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^3$ supported on the line of equations $x_0 = x_1 = 0$.

Indeed, x_2, x_3 is an S/JS-regular sequence because $S/JS \simeq (R/J)[x_2, x_3]$.

Remark B.3. (a) If I (resp., J) is the ideal of S generated by the monomials $m_1, \dots, m_s$ (resp., $n_1, \dots, n_t$) then $I \cap J$ is generated by the monomials $[m_i, n_j]$, $1 \leq i \leq s$, $1 \leq j \leq t$, where $[f, g]$ denotes the least common multiple of f and g.

(b) If I is the ideal of S generated by the monomials $m_1, \dots, m_s$ then :

$$((m_1, m_2) : I) = \bigcap_{i=3}^{s} \left(S\frac{[m_i, m_1]}{m_i} + S\frac{[m_i, m_2]}{m_i} \right) .$$

Remark B.4. We recall, from Lazarsfeld and Rao [23], the notion of *basic double linkage* (which is a particular case of the notion of *liaison addition* introduced by Schwartau [30]).

Let Y be a locally CM subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^3$, of pure codimension 2, and let f, $h \in S_+$ be coprime homogeneous polynomials, of degree a and c, respectively, such that $f \in I(Y)$. Let Z be the closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^3$ defined by the homogeneous ideal $J := Sf + I(Y)h$. Set theoretically, one has $Z = Y \cup \{f = h = 0\}$. Consider a graded free resolution of the homogeneous ideal $I(Y) \subset S$:

$$0 \longrightarrow K_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} K_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} K_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} I(Y) \longrightarrow 0 .$$

One has an exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow S(-a-c) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -h \\ f \end{pmatrix}} S(-a) \oplus I(Y)(-c) \xrightarrow{(f\ ,\ h)} J \longrightarrow 0 .$$

The morphism $S(-a) \to I(Y)$ defined by f lifts to a morphism $\phi : S(-a) \to K_0$. One deduces that the sequence :

$$0 \to K_2(-c) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ d_2(-c) \end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix} S(-a-c) \\ \oplus \\ K_1(-c) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -h & 0 \\ \phi(-c) & d_1(-c) \end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix} S(-a) \\ \oplus \\ K_0(-c) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{(f\ ,\ hd_0(-c))} J \to 0$$

is exact hence Z is locally CM and $J = I(Z)$.

Lemma B.5. *Let $X \subseteq Y \subseteq Z$ be closed subschemes of a scheme P and let T be another closed subscheme of P. Let us denote by ϕ the composite morphism $\mathscr{I}_{Y \cup T} := \mathscr{I}_Y \cap \mathscr{I}_T \hookrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Y \twoheadrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Y / \mathscr{I}_Z$. Recall that $\mathscr{O}_{Z \cup T}$ embeds into $\mathscr{O}_Z \oplus \mathscr{O}_T$. Then:*

(a) *One has exact sequences:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z \cup T} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y \cup T} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathscr{I}_Y / \mathscr{I}_Z ,$$
$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Im} \phi \times \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Z \cup T} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y \cup T} \longrightarrow 0 .$$

(b) *If $Z \cap T = \emptyset$ then ϕ is an epimorphism.*

(c) *If $\mathscr{I}_X \mathscr{I}_Y \subseteq \mathscr{I}_Z$ then* Coker ϕ *is an $\mathscr{O}_{X \cap T}$-module.*

Proof. (a) One has $\operatorname{Ker} \phi = \mathscr{I}_Z \cap (\mathscr{I}_Y \cap \mathscr{I}_T) = \mathscr{I}_Z \cap \mathscr{I}_T =: \mathscr{I}_{Z \cup T}$ whence the first exact sequence. For the second exact sequence, notice that the image of the composite morphism $\mathscr{I}_{Y \cup T} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{O}_P \to \mathscr{O}_Z$ coincides with $\operatorname{Im} \phi$, and that the composite morphism $\mathscr{I}_{Y \cup T} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{O}_P \to \mathscr{O}_T$ is 0 (because $\mathscr{I}_{Y \cup T} \subseteq \mathscr{I}_T$).

(b) $\mathrm{Supp}(\mathscr{I}_Y/\mathscr{I}_Z) \subseteq Z$ and ϕ is surjective on the open neighbourhood $P \setminus T$ of Z.

(c) $\mathrm{Coker}\,\phi \simeq \mathscr{I}_Y/(\mathscr{I}_Z + (\mathscr{I}_Y \cap \mathscr{I}_T))$ is annihilated by $\mathscr{I}_X + \mathscr{I}_T =: \mathscr{I}_{X\cap T}$. □

B.1. **A double line union a line.** Let X be a (primitive) double structure on the line $L_1 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$. We use the results and notation from Subsection A.4 (with x replaced by x_2, y by x_3 and L by L_1).

Recall that $\mathscr{O}_X \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L_1} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l)$ as an $\mathscr{O}_{L_1}$-module, for some $l \geq -1$, and that if $1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_X)$ and $e_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_X(-l))$ are the corresponding generators of the graded $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}) = k[x_0, x_1]$-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_X)$ then the multiplicative structure of $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_X)$ is defined by $e_1^2 = 0$ and the morphism of $k[x_0,x_1]$-algebras $S = \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \to \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_X)$ maps x_2 to ae_1 and x_3 to be_1, for some coprime a, $b \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l+1))$.

Recall also, from Remark A.16, that one has exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_X \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1} \xrightarrow{\ \pi\ } \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l) \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1}^2 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_X \xrightarrow{\ \eta\ } \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

where π maps x_2, $x_3 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{L_1}(1))$ to a, $b \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l+1))$, respectively, and where η maps $F_2 := -bx_2 + ax_3 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_X(l+2))$ to $1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$. It follows that $I(X) = SF_2 + I(L_1)^2$.

Consider, now, another line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ and let ϕ (resp., ψ) denote the composite morphism:

$$\mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L} \to \mathscr{I}_{L_1} \xrightarrow{\ \pi\ } \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l)$$

$$(\text{resp.,}\ \ \mathscr{I}_{X\cup L} \to \mathscr{I}_X \xrightarrow{\ \eta\ } \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2))\,.$$

It follows, from Lemma B.5, that one has exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)}\cup L} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X\cup L} \xrightarrow{\ \psi\ } \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)\,,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Im}\,\phi \times \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X\cup L} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup L} \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Moreover, $\mathrm{Coker}\,\psi$ is an $\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cap L}$-module. Lemma B.1 implies that:

Lemma B.6. *The homogeneous ideal $I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1')$ of $L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1'$ admits the following graded free resolution:*

$$0 \longrightarrow 2S(-5) \xrightarrow{\ d_2\ } 7S(-4) \xrightarrow{\ d_1\ } 6S(-3) \xrightarrow{\ d_0\ } I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1') \longrightarrow 0$$

with the differentials d_0, d_1, d_2 defined by the matrices:

$$(x_0x_2^2\,,\ x_0x_2x_3\,,\ x_0x_3^2\,,\ x_1x_2^2\,,\ x_1x_2x_3\,,\ x_1x_3^2)\,,$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_1 & 0 & 0\\ x_2 & -x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_1 & 0\\ 0 & x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_1\\ 0 & 0 & -x_3 & 0 & x_0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & x_2 & -x_3 & 0 & x_0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_2 & 0 & 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 & 0\\ 0 & -x_1\\ x_0 & 0\\ 0 & x_0\\ x_3 & 0\\ -x_2 & x_3\\ 0 & -x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Lemma B.7. *If $l = -1$ (hence $a, b \in k$ and $F_2 = -bx_2 + ax_3$ is a linear form) then X is the divisor $2L_1$ on the plane $H \supset L_1$ of equation $F_2 = 0$. Actually, if $b \neq 0$ then $kF_2 + kx_3 = kx_2 + kx_3$ and $I(X) = (F_2,\, x_3^2)$.* $\square$

Proposition B.8. *If $l \geq 0$ then $I(X \cup L_1') = SF_2 + (x_0,\, x_1)(x_2,\, x_3)^2$.*

Proof. By what has been said at the beginning of the subsection, one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1'} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X \cup L_1'} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Our hypothesis implies that $F_2 \in I(X \cup L_1')$ from which one deduces that the sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1') \longrightarrow I(X \cup L_1') \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi)} S(L_1)(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Lemma B.6 implies that $I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1') = (x_0,\, x_1)(x_2,\, x_3)^2$. Notice that the last exact sequence allows one to get a graded free resolution of $I(X \cup L_1')$. $\square$

Lemma B.9. *Let L be a line contained in the plane $x_3 = 0$ and different from L_1. It is given by equations of the form $\ell = x_3 = 0$, where $\ell = c_0x_0 + c_1x_1 + c_2x_2$ and at least one of the coefficients c_0, c_1 is non-zero. Using a linear change of coordinates invariating x_2, x_3 and the vector space $kx_0 + kx_1$, one can assume that $\ell = x_1 + cx_2$, $c \in k$. Then the homogeneous ideal $I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L)$ of $L_1^{(1)} \cup L$ admits the following graded free resolution:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(-4) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_3 & -\ell x_2 \\ x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix} 2S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ S(-3) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{(x_2x_3\,,\ x_3^2\,,\ \ell x_2^2)} I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Proof. One has $I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L) = (x_2^2,\, x_2x_3,\, x_3^2) \cap (\ell,\, x_3) = (x_2x_3,\, x_3^2,\, \ell x_2^2)$. If Z' is the curve directly linked to $L_1^{(1)} \cup L$ by the complete intersection defined by x_3^2 and ℓx_2^2 then $I(Z') = (x_3,\, \ell x_2)$ hence $Z' = L_1 \cup L$. One can apply, now, Ferrand's result about liaison. $\square$

Proposition B.10. *Let X be the double structure on the line L_1 considered at the beginning of this subsection and let L be the line of equations $\ell = x_3 = 0$, $\ell := x_1 + cx_2$, considered in Lemma B.9.*

(a) *If $x_1 \mid b$, i.e., if $b = x_1b_1$ then $I(X \cup L) = (F_2 - cb_1x_2^2,\, x_2x_3,\, x_3^2,\, \ell x_2^2)$.*

(b) *If $x_1 \nmid b$ then $I(X \cup L) = (\ell F_2,\, x_2x_3,\, x_3^2,\, \ell x_2^2)$.*

Proof. By what has been said at the beginning of the subsection, one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)} \cup L} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X \cup L} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)\,.$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{Coker}\psi$ is an $\mathscr{O}_{L_1 \cap L} = \mathscr{O}_{\{P_0\}}$-module. Actually, since $\ell F_2 \in I(X \cup L)$ and $\psi(\ell F_2) = \eta(\ell F_2) = x_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(1))$, it follows that $x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}\psi \subseteq \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$.

(a) Since $b = x_1b_1$, $F_2 - cb_1x_2^2$ vanishes on L hence belongs to $I(X \cup L)$. Since $\psi(F_2 - cb_1x_2^2) = \eta(F_2 - cb_1x_2^2) = 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$, it follows that ψ is an epimorphism and that one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L) \longrightarrow I(X \cup L) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi)} S(L_1)(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0\,. \tag{B.1}$$

(b) We prove, firstly, the following:

Claim. $\operatorname{Im}\psi = x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)$.

Indeed, assume that $\operatorname{Im}\psi = \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$. Since $\mathrm{H}^1_*(\mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)} \cup L}) = 0$ by Lemma B.9, there exists an element $f \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X\cup L}(l+2))$ such that $\psi(f) = 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$. But $\psi(f) = \eta(f)$ hence $f = F_2 + f_0x_2^2 + f_1x_2x_3 + f_2x_3^2$, with $f_0,\ f_1,\ f_2 \in S_l$. Since $f \mid L = 0$ it follows that:

$$-(b \mid L)x_2 + (f_0 \mid L)x_2^2 = 0\,. \tag{$*$}$$

The composite map $k[x_0, x_2] \hookrightarrow S \twoheadrightarrow S(L)$ is bijective and, with respect to this identification, $b \mid L = b(x_0, -cx_2)$. Since $x_1 \nmid b$ it follows that $x_2 \nmid b(x_0, -cx_2)$ which *contradicts* relation $(*)$. The claim is proven.

Now, according to the Claim, ψ can be written as a composite morphism $\mathscr{I}_{X\cup L} \xrightarrow{\psi'} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3) \xrightarrow{x_1} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$ with $\psi'(\ell F_2) = 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$. One deduces the existence of an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L) \longrightarrow I(X \cup L) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi')} S(L_1)(-l-3) \longrightarrow 0\,. \tag{B.2}$$

Notice that the exact sequences (B.1) and (B.2) can be used not only to describe a system of generators of $I(X \cup L)$ but also to get a graded free resolution of this ideal. ☐

Proposition B.11. *Under the hypothesis of Prop. B.10*:

(a) *If* $x_1 \mid b$ *then* $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L})$ *admits the following graded free resolution*:

$$0 \to \begin{matrix} S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-3) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -\ell x_2 & 0 \\ x_3 & 0 \\ -\ell b_1 & -x_3 \\ a & x_2 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix} S(-1) \\ \oplus \\ S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l-2) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x_3 & \ell x_2 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_1 & -a & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix} S \\ \oplus \\ S(l-1) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{(1\,,\ x_1e_1)} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L}) \to 0$$

(b) *If* $x_1 \nmid b$ *then* $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L})$ *admits the following graded free resolution*:

$$0 \to \begin{matrix} S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-2) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -\ell x_2 & 0 \\ x_3 & 0 \\ -\ell b & -x_3 \\ x_1a & x_2 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix} S(-1) \\ \oplus \\ S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l-1) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x_3 & \ell x_2 & 0 & 0 \\ -b & -x_1a & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix} S \\ \oplus \\ S(l) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{(1\,,\ e_1)} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L}) \to 0\,.$$

Proof. By what has been said at the beginning of this subsection, one has an exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Im}\phi \times \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X\cup L} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup L} \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Since $I(L_1 \cup L) = (x_3,\, \ell x_2)$, the image of ϕ coincides with the image of the composite morphism :

$$\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2) \xrightarrow{(x_3\,,\,\ell x_2)} \mathscr{I}_{L_1} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l)$$

which, in turn, coincides with the image of the composite morphism :

$$\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-2) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} 2\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-1) \xrightarrow{(a\,,\,b)} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l)$$

i.e., with the image of the morphism $(b\,,\,x_1a) : \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-2) \to \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l)$.

(a) In this case $\operatorname{Im}\phi = x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l-1)$. Since the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup L})$ is generated by $1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup L})$, the morphism $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L}) \to \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup L})$ is surjective. One deduces the existence of an exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L_1)(l-1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup L}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the left morphism maps $1 \in S(L_1)$ to the element of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L}(-l+1))$ whose image into $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_X(-l+1)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(-l+1))$ is $(x_1e_1, 0)$.

(b) In this case $\operatorname{Im}\phi = \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l)$ and one deduces, as in (a), the existence of an exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L_1)(l) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup L}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the left morphism maps $1 \in S(L_1)$ to the element of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L}(-l))$ whose image into $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_X(-l)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_L(-l))$ is $(e_1, 0)$. □

B.2. **A triple line union a line.** Let Y be a quasiprimitive triple structure on the line $L_1 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$. We use the results and notation from Subsection A.5 (with x replaced by x_2, y by x_3 and L by L_1).

Recall that, as an $\mathscr{O}_{L_1}$-module,

$$\mathscr{O}_Y \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L_1} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(2l+m)$$

for some integers $l \geq -1$ and $m \geq 0$, and that if $1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_Y)$, $e_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_Y(-l))$, $e_2 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_Y(-2l-m))$ are the corresponding generators of the $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}) = k[x_0, x_1]$-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_Y)$ then the multiplicative structure of $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_Y)$ is defined by $e_1^2 = pe_2$, $e_1e_2 = 0$, $e_2^2 = 0$ for some $0 \neq p \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(m))$ and that the morphism of graded $k[x_0, x_1]$-algebras $S = \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \to \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_Y)$ maps x_2 to $ae_1 + a'e_2$ and x_3 to $be_1 + b'e_2$, for some a, $b \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l+1))$, a', $b' \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(2l+m+1))$. a and b, on one hand, and $\Delta_1 := ab' - a'b$ and p, on the other hand, must be coprime. Moreover, there exist v_0, v_1, $v_2 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l+m))$ such that

$$\Delta_1 + v_0a^2 + v_1ab + v_2b^2 = 0\,.$$

Y contains, as a subscheme, the double structure X on L_1 defined by the exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_X \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathscr{O}_L(l) \longrightarrow 0$$

where π maps x_2, $x_3 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{L_1}(1))$ to a, $b \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l+1))$, respectively, and is contained in the quadruple thick structure W on L_1 defined by the ideal sheaf $\mathscr{I}_{L_1}\mathscr{I}_X$ (see Prop. A.14). Recall that the polynomial $F_2 := -bx_2 + ax_3$ belongs to $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_X(l+2))$ and that the polynomial $F_3 := pF_2 + v_0x_2^2 + v_1x_2x_3 + v_2x_3^2$ belongs to $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Y(l+m+2))$. Then, according to Remark A.16(iii) and to Remark A.17, one has exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1}^3 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_W \xrightarrow{\eta_1} 2\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3) \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_W \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Y \xrightarrow{\eta_2} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-m-2) \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Y \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_X \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(2l+m) \longrightarrow 0$$

where η_1 maps x_2F_2 (resp., x_3F_2) $\in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_W(l+3))$ to $(1,0)$ (resp., $(0,1)$) $\in \mathrm{H}^0(2\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$, where η_2 maps $F_3 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_Y(l+m+2))$ to $1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$, and where ρ maps $F_2 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_X(l+2))$ to $\Delta_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(3l+m+2))$ and x_2^2, x_2x_3, $x_3^2 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_X(2))$ to pa^2, pab, $pb^2 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(2l+m+2))$. It follows, in particular, that $I(W) = I(L_1)I(X)$ and that $I(Y) = SF_3 + I(W)$.

Consider, now, another line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^3$. Let us denote by ψ_1, ψ_2 and ϕ the following composite morphisms:

$$\mathscr{I}_{W\cup L} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_W \xrightarrow{\eta_1} 2\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)\,,$$

$$\mathscr{I}_{Y\cup L} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Y \xrightarrow{\eta_2} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-m-2)\,,$$

$$\mathscr{I}_{X\cup L} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_X \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(2l+m)\,.$$

It follows from Lemma B.5 that one has exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(2)}\cup L} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{W\cup L} \xrightarrow{\psi_1} 2\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)\,,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{W\cup L} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y\cup L} \xrightarrow{\psi_2} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-m-2)\,,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Im}\phi \times \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y\cup L} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X\cup L} \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{Coker}\psi_1$, $\operatorname{Coker}\psi_2$ and $\operatorname{Coker}\phi$ are $\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cap L}$-modules.

The following lemma follows easily from Lemma B.1.

Lemma B.12. *Let $L_1^{(2)}$ denote the second infinitesimal neighbourhood of L_1 in $\mathbb{P}^3$ defined by the ideal sheaf $\mathscr{I}_{L_1}^3$. Then the homogeneous ideal $I(L_1^{(2)} \cup L_1')$ of $L_1^{(2)} \cup L_1'$ admits the following graded free resolution:*

$$0 \longrightarrow 3S(-6) \xrightarrow{d_2} 10S(-5) \xrightarrow{d_1} 8S(-4) \xrightarrow{d_0} I(L_1^{(2)} \cup L_1') \longrightarrow 0$$

with d_0, d_1, d_2 defined by the matrices:

$$(x_0x_2^3\,,\ x_0x_2^2x_3\,,\ x_0x_2x_3^2\,,\ x_0x_3^3\,,\ x_1x_2^3\,,\ x_1x_2^2x_3\,,\ x_1x_2x_3^2\,,\ x_1x_3^3)\,,$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ x_2 & -x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & x_2 & -x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_1\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_3 & 0 & 0 & x_0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_2 & -x_3 & 0 & 0 & x_0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_2 & -x_3 & 0 & 0 & x_0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -x_1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -x_1\\ x_0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & x_0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & x_0\\ x_3 & 0 & 0\\ -x_2 & x_3 & 0\\ 0 & -x_2 & x_3\\ 0 & 0 & -x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Lemma B.13. *Assume that $l = -1$ and $b \neq 0$. Recall Lemma B.7. Then:*

(a) *One can assume that $v_0 = v_1 = 0$ hence that $F_3 = pF_2 + v_2x_3^2$ with p and $v_2 := -\Delta_1/b^2$ coprime;*

(b) *$I(W) = (F_2^2,\, x_3F_2,\, x_3^3)$ and W is directly linked to X by the complete intersection of type $(2,3)$ defined by F_2^2 and x_3^3 hence W is arithmetically CM and $I(W)$ admits the following graded free resolution:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} S(-3)\\ \oplus\\ S(-4)\end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_3 & 0\\ F_2 & -x_3^2\\ 0 & F_2\end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix} 2S(-2)\\ \oplus\\ S(-3)\end{matrix} \xrightarrow{(F_2^2\,,\ x_3F_2\,,\ x_3^3)} I(W) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Lemma B.14. *If $l = -1$ and $m = 1$ then Y is directly linked to L_1 by a complete intersection of type $(2,2)$ hence it is arithmetically CM. Actually, Y is the Weil divisor $3L_1$ on the quadric cone $F_3 = 0$.*

Proof. Assume that $b \neq 0$. Using the notation from Lemma B.13, p is a linear form and v_2 is a constant. Since p and v_2 are coprime, one has $v_2 \neq 0$. It follows that $I(Y) = SF_3 + I(W) = (F_3,\, F_2^2, x_3F_2)$ hence Y is directly linked to L_1 by the complete intersection defined by F_3 and F_2^2. One gets, consequently, the following graded free resolution:

$$0 \longrightarrow 2S(-3) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} F_2 & 0\\ -p & -x_3\\ -v_2x_3 & F_2\end{pmatrix}} 3S(-2) \longrightarrow I(Y) \longrightarrow 0\,. \qquad \square$$

Proposition B.15. (a) *If $l = -1$ and $m \geq 2$ then:*

$$I(Y \cup L_1') = SF_3 + (x_0,\, x_1)(x_2,\, x_3)I(X)\,.$$

(b) *If $l \geq 0$ then:*

$$I(Y \cup L_1') = SF_3 + Sx_2F_2 + Sx_3F_2 + (x_0,\, x_1)(x_2,\, x_3)^3\,.$$

except when $l = m = 0$ *and* L_1' *is not contained in the quadric surface* Q *of equation* $F_3 = 0$ (*which means that at least one of the constants* v_0, v_1, v_2 *is non-zero*) *in which case*:

$$I(Y \cup L_1') = Sx_0F_3 + Sx_1F_3 + Sx_2F_2 + Sx_3F_2 + (x_0,\, x_1)(x_2,\, x_3)^3\,.$$

Proof. (a) One uses the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{W\cup L_1'} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y\cup L_1'} \xrightarrow{\psi_2} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-m-1) \longrightarrow 0$$

(look at the beginning of this subsection). W is arithmetically CM (by Lemma B.13(b)) hence $I(W \cup L_1') = I(W)I(L_1')$ (by Lemma B.1). Since $m \geq 2$ it follows that F_3 vanishes on L_1' hence $F_3 \in I(Y \cup L_1')$ hence $\psi_2(F_3) = \eta_2(F_3) = 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$. One deduces the exactness of the sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(W \cup L_1') \longrightarrow I(Y \cup L_1') \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi_2)} S(L_1)(-m-1) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

(b) As we saw at the beginnig of this subsection, one has exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(2)}\cup L_1'} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{W\cup L_1'} \xrightarrow{\psi_1} 2\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{W\cup L_1'} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y\cup L_1'} \xrightarrow{\psi_2} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-m-2) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Since $l \geq 0$, F_2 vanishes on L_1' hence x_2F_2, $x_3F_2 \in I(W \cup L_1')$ hence the sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(2)} \cup L_1') \longrightarrow I(W \cup L_1') \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi_1)} 2S(L_1)(-l-3) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

On the other hand, if $l \geq 1$, or if $l = 0$ and $m \geq 1$ or if $l = m = 0$ and $v_0 = v_1 = v_2 = 0$ then F_3 vanishes on L_1' hence $F_3 \in I(Y \cup L_1')$ hence the sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(W \cup L_1') \longrightarrow I(Y \cup L_1') \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi_2)} S(L_1)(-l-m-2) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Finally, if $l = m = 0$ and at least one of the constants v_0, v_1, v_2 is non-zero then F_3 doesn't vanish on L_1' hence $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{Y\cup L_1'}(2)) = 0$. On the other hand, x_0F_3 and x_1F_3 vanish on L_1' hence they belong to $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{Y\cup L_1'}(3))$ and $\psi_2(x_iF_3) = \eta_2(x_iF_3) = x_i \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(1))$, $i = 0, 1$. One deduces the exactness of the sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(W \cup L_1') \longrightarrow I(Y \cup L_1') \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi_2)} S(L_1)_+(-2) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Notice that the exact sequences appearing in this proof can be used to get (easily) a concrete graded free resolution of $I(Y \cup L_1')$. (A minimal graded free resolution of $S(L_1)_+$ can be found in the discussion following the proof of Prop. A.12.) $\square$

Lemma B.16. *The homogeneous ideal* $I(L_1^{(2)} \cup L_2)$ *of* $L_1^{(2)} \cup L_2$ *admits the following graded free resolution*:

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} 2S(-4) \\ \oplus \\ S(-5) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_3 & 0 & -x_1x_2 \\ x_2 & -x_3 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix} 3S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(-4) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{(x_2^2x_3\,,\ x_2x_3^2\,,\ x_3^3\,,\ x_1x_2^3)} I(L_1^{(2)} \cup L_2) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Proof. Using Remark B.3, one gets:

$$I(L_1^{(2)} \cup L_2) = (x_2^3,\, x_2^2x_3,\, x_2x_3^2,\, x_3^3) \cap (x_1,\, x_3) = (x_2^2x_3,\, x_2x_3^2,\, x_3^3,\, x_1x_2^3)\,.$$

If Z' is the curve directly linked to $L_1^{(2)} \cup L_2$ by the complete intersection defined by x_3^3 and $x_1x_2^3$ then, using Remark B.3,

$$I(Z') = (x_3^2,\, x_1x_2) \cap (x_3,\, x_1x_2^2) = (x_3^2,\, x_1x_2^2,\, x_1x_2x_3)\,.$$

If Z'' is the curve directly linked to Z' by the complete intersection defined by x_3^2 and $x_1x_2^2$ then $I(Z'') = (x_2,\, x_3)$, i.e., $Z'' = L_1$. Using Ferrand's result about linkage one deduces that the free resolution of $I(L_1^{(2)} \cup L_2)$ has the numerical shape from the statement and its differentials are easy to guess. □

Lemma B.17. *Using the notation recalled at the beginning of this subsection*:

(a) *If* $x_1 \mid b$ *then* $x_1 \mid b'$ *if and only if* $x_1 \mid v_0$.

(b) *If* $x_1 \nmid b$ *then one can assume that* $x_1 \mid b'$.

(c) *If* $x_1 \nmid b$ *and* $m \geq 1$ *then one can assume that* $x_1 \mid v_0$.

Proof. (a) This follows from relation (A.8): $ab' - a'b + v_0a^2 + v_1ab + v_2b^2 = 0$ (recalled at the beginning of this subsection).

(b) Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l+m))$. Replacing the generators 1, e_1, e_2 of the $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}) = k[x_0, x_1]$-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_Y)$ by the generators 1, $e_1' = e_1 + \alpha e_2$, $e_2' = e_2$ one has $e_1'^2 = pe_2'$ and the morphism of graded $k[x_0, x_1]$ -algebras $S = \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \to \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_Y)$ maps x_2 to $ae_1' + (a' - \alpha a)e_2'$ and x_3 to $be_1' + (b' - \alpha b)e_2'$. Consequently, $(a,\, b,\, a' - \alpha a,\, b' - \alpha b,\, p)$ and $(a,\, b,\, a',\, b',\, p)$ define the same triple structure on L_1.

If $l = -1$ and $m = 0$ then $b' = 0$ because it belongs to $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-1)) = 0$. Assume, now, that $l = -1$ and $m \geq 1$ or that $l \geq 0$. If $x_1 \nmid b$ then, since b' has degree $2l + m + 1 \geq l + 1$, there exist $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l+m))$ and $b_1' \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(2l+m))$ such that $b' = \alpha b + b_1'x_1$.

(c) v_0, v_1, v_2 are (any) elements of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l+m))$ that satisfy relation (A.8) recalled in the proof of (a). If $x_1 \nmid b$ then x_1a and b are coprime. Since b' has degree $2l+m+1 \geq 2l+2$ (we used, here, the hypothesis $m \geq 1$), one can find elements $v_0' \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l+m-1))$ and $v_1' \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l+m))$ such that:

$$b' = v_0'x_1a + v_1'b\,.$$

One gets, similarly, elements $v_1'', v_2 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l+m))$ such that $a' = v_1''a + v_2b$. One may take $v_0 = -x_1v_0'$ and $v_1 = -v_1' + v_1''$. □

Proposition B.18. *Using the notation recalled at the beginning of this subsection and assuming that the conditions from the conclusion of Lemma B.17 are fulfilled, one has:*

(a) *If* $x_1 \mid b$ *and* $x_1 \mid b'$ *then* $I(Y \cup L_2) = (F_3,\, x_2F_2,\, x_3F_2,\, x_2^2x_3,\, x_2x_3^2,\, x_3^3,\, x_1x_2^3)\,.$

(b) *If* $x_1 \mid b$ *and* $x_1 \nmid b'$ *then* $I(Y \cup L_2) = (x_1F_3,\, x_2F_2,\, x_3F_2,\, x_2^2x_3,\, x_2x_3^2,\, x_3^3,\, x_1x_2^3)\,.$

(c) *If* $x_1 \nmid b$ *and* $x_1 \mid p$ *then* $I(Y \cup L_2) = (F_3,\, x_3F_2,\, x_1x_2F_2,\, x_2^2x_3,\, x_2x_3^2,\, x_3^3,\, x_1x_2^3)\,.$

(d) *If* $x_1 \nmid b$ *and* $x_1 \nmid p$ *then* $I(Y \cup L_2) = (x_1F_3,\, x_3F_2,\, x_1x_2F_2,\, x_2^2x_3,\, x_2x_3^2,\, x_3^3,\, x_1x_2^3)\,.$

Proof. We use the exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(2)} \cup L_2} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{W \cup L_2} \xrightarrow{\psi_1} 2\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)\,,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{W \cup L_2} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y \cup L_2} \xrightarrow{\psi_2} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-m-2)$$

introduced at the beginning of this subsection. Since $x_1x_2F_2$, x_3F_2 and x_1F_3 vanish on L_2, the first two of them belong to $I(W \cup L_2)$ and the third one to $I(Y \cup L_2)$ hence:

$$x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-4) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}\psi_1 \subseteq 2\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)\,,$$
$$\text{and } x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-m-3) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}\psi_2 \subseteq \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-m-2)\,.$$

Claim 1. $\operatorname{Im}\psi_1 = 2\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)$ *if and only if* $x_1 \mid b$.

Indeed, the "if" part is clear (because, in this case, x_2F_2 vanishes on L_2). For the "only if" part assume that $\operatorname{Im}\psi_1 = 2\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)$. Since, by Lemma B.16, $\mathrm{H}^1_*(\mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(2)} \cup L_2}) = 0$ it follows that there exists $f \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{W \cup L_2}(l+3))$ such that $\psi_1(f) = (1,0) \in \mathrm{H}^0(2\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$. Since $\psi_1(f) = \eta_1(f)$ it follows that f must be of the form:

$$f = x_2F_2 + f_0x_2^3 + f_1x_2^2x_3 + f_2x_2x_3^2 + f_3x_3^3$$

with $f_0, \dots, f_3 \in S_l$. One has:

$$0 = f \mid L_2 = -b(x_0,0)x_2^2 + f_0(x_0,0,x_2,0)x_2^3$$

hence $b(x_0,0) = 0$ hence $x_1 \mid b$. Claim 1 is proven.

One deduces, from Claim 1, that if $x_1 \mid b$ then one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(2)} \cup L_2) \longrightarrow I(W \cup L_2) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi_1)} 2S(L_1)(-l-3) \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

and if $x_1 \nmid b$ then ψ_1 factorizes as:

$$\mathscr{I}_{W \cup L_2} \xrightarrow{\psi_1'} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-4) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3) \xrightarrow{x_1 \oplus \mathrm{id}} 2\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)$$

with $\psi'(x_1x_2F_2) = (1,0) \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(1))$, $\psi'(x_3F_2) = (0,1) \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L_1})$ and one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(2)} \cup L_2) \longrightarrow I(W \cup L_2) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi_1')} S(L_1)(-l-4) \oplus S(L_1)(-l-3) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Claim 2. *If* $x_1 \mid b$ *then* $\operatorname{Im}\psi_2 = \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-m-2)$ *if and only if* $x_1 \mid b'$.

Indeed, the “if” part is clear (Lemma B.17(a) implies that $x_1 \mid v_0$ hence F_3 vanishes on L_2). For the “only if” part, assume that $\operatorname{Im}\psi_2 = \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-m-2)$. Using the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(2)}\cup L_2} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{W\cup L_2} \xrightarrow{\psi_1} 2\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3) \longrightarrow 0$$

and Lemma B.16 one gets that $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{I}_{W\cup L_2}(l+m+2)) = 0$. One deduces that there exists an element $f \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{Y\cup L_2}(l+m+2))$ such that $\psi_2(f) = 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$. Since $\psi_2(f) = \eta_2(f)$ it follows that f must have the form:

$$f = F_3 + f_0x_2F_2 + f_1x_3F_2 + g_0x_2^3 + g_1x_2^2x_3 + g_2x_2x_3^2 + g_3x_3^3$$

with $f_0,\ f_1 \in S_{m-1}$ and $g_0, \dots, g_3 \in S_{l+m-1}$. Taking into account that $F_2 \mid L_2 = 0$ (because $x_1 \mid b$), one deduces that:

$$0 = f \mid L_2 = v_0(x_0,0)x_2^2 + g_0(x_0,0,x_2,0)x_2^3\,.$$

It follows that $v_0(x_0,0) = 0$ hence $x_1 \mid v_0$ hence, by Lemma B.17(a), $x_1 \mid b'$.

(a) It follows from Claim 2 that one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(W\cup L_2) \longrightarrow I(Y\cup L_2) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi_2)} S(L_1)(-l-m-2) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

(b) It follows from Claim 2 that ψ_2 factorizes as:

$$\mathscr{I}_{Y\cup L_2} \xrightarrow{\psi_2'} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-m-3) \xrightarrow{x_1} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-m-2)$$

with $\psi_2'(x_1F_3) = 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$ and that one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(W\cup L_2) \longrightarrow I(Y\cup L_2) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi_2')} S(L_1)(-l-m-3) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

(c) Since $x_1 \mid p$ one has $m \geq 1$. Since $x_1 \nmid b$, Lemma B.17(c) implies that one can assume that $x_1 \mid v_0$. It follows that $F_3 \mid L_2 = 0$ hence $F_3 \in I(Y\cup L_2)$. One deduces that $\operatorname{Im}\psi_2 = \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-m-2)$ and that one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(W\cup L_2) \longrightarrow I(Y\cup L_2) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi_2)} S(L_1)(-l-m-2) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

(d) Any element $f \in I(Y)$ can be written as:

$$f = f_0F_3 + f_1x_2F_2 + f_2x_3F_2 + f_3x_2^3 + f_4x_2^2x_3 + f_5x_2x_3^2 + f_6x_3^3$$

with $f_0,\ f_1,\ f_2 \in k[x_0,x_1]$, $f_3 \in k[x_0,x_1,x_2]$ and $f_4,\ f_5,\ f_6 \in S$. One has:

$$\begin{aligned} f \mid L_2 = {} & -f_0(x_0,0)p(x_0,0)b(x_0,0)x_2 + f_0(x_0,0)v_0(x_0,0)x_2^2 - \\ & -f_1(x_0,0)b(x_0,0)x_2^2 + f_3(x_0,0,x_2)x_2^3\,. \end{aligned}$$

Since $x_1 \nmid b$ and $x_1 \nmid p$ it follows that $b(x_0,0) \neq 0$ and $p(x_0,0) \neq 0$. One deduces that if $f \in I(Y\cup L_2)$ (i.e., if $f \mid L_2 = 0$) then, firstly, $f_0(x_0,0) = 0$ then $f_1(x_0,0) = 0$ and, finally, $f_3(x_0,0,x_2) = 0$. This means that $x_1 \mid f_0$, $x_1 \mid f_1$ and $x_1 \mid f_3$. One derives that $I(Y\cup L_2)$

is generated by the polynomials indicated in the statement. Moreover, it follows that ψ_2 factorizes as:

$$\mathscr{I}_{Y\cup L_2} \xrightarrow{\psi_2'} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-m-3) \xrightarrow{x_1} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-m-2)$$

with $\psi_2'(x_1F_3) = 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$ and that one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(W\cup L_2) \longrightarrow I(Y\cup L_2) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi_2')} S(L_1)(-l-m-3) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Notice that the exact sequences appearing in the above proof can be used to get (easily) concrete graded free resolutions of $I(W\cup L_2)$ and of $I(Y\cup L_2)$. □

Proposition B.19. *With the notation recalled at the beginning of this subsection*:

(a) *If $x_1 \mid b$ and $x_1 \mid b'$, i.e., if $b = x_1b_1$ and $b' = x_1b_1'$, then $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{Y\cup L_2})$ admits the following graded free resolution*:

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} S(-3)\\ \oplus\\ S(l-3)\\ \oplus\\ S(2l+m-3)\end{array} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{array}{c} S(-1)\\ \oplus\\ S(-2)\\ \oplus\\ 2S(l-2)\\ \oplus\\ 2S(2l+m-2)\end{array} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{array}{c} S\\ \oplus\\ S(l-1)\\ \oplus\\ S(2l+m-1)\end{array} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{Y\cup L_2}) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\delta_0 = (1\,,\, x_1e_1\,,\, x_1e_2)$ and with δ_1 and δ_2 defined by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_3 & x_1x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ -b_1 & -a & x_2 & x_3 & 0 & 0\\ -b_1' & -a' & -pa & -pb & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}\,,\quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_1x_2 & 0 & 0\\ x_3 & 0 & 0\\ -b & -x_3 & 0\\ a & x_2 & 0\\ -b' & pb & -x_3\\ a' & -pa & x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(b) *If $x_1 \mid b$ and $x_1 \nmid b'$ then $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{Y\cup L_2})$ admits the following graded free resolution*:

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} S(-3)\\ \oplus\\ S(l-3)\\ \oplus\\ S(2l+m-2)\end{array} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{array}{c} S(-1)\\ \oplus\\ S(-2)\\ \oplus\\ 2S(l-2)\\ \oplus\\ 2S(2l+m-1)\end{array} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{array}{c} S\\ \oplus\\ S(l-1)\\ \oplus\\ S(2l+m)\end{array} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{Y\cup L_2}) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\delta_0 = (1\,,\, x_1e_1\,,\, e_2)$ *and with* δ_1 *and* δ_2 *defined by the matrices*:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_3 & x_1x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_1 & -a & x_2 & x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -b' & -x_1a' & -x_1pa & -x_1pb & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}\,,\quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_1x_2 & 0 & 0 \\ x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -b & -x_3 & 0 \\ a & x_2 & 0 \\ -x_1b' & x_1pb & -x_3 \\ x_1a' & -x_1pa & x_2 \end{pmatrix}\,.$$

(c) *If* $x_1 \nmid b$ *and* $x_1 \mid p$ *then* $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{Y\cup L_2})$ *admits the following graded free resolution*:

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-2) \\ \oplus \\ S(2l+m-3) \end{array} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{array}{c} S(-1) \\ \oplus \\ S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l-1) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(2l+m-2) \end{array} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{array}{c} S \\ \oplus \\ S(l) \\ \oplus \\ S(2l+m-1) \end{array} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{Y\cup L_2}) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\delta_0 = (1\,,\, e_1\,,\, x_1e_2)$ *and with* δ_1 *and* δ_2 *defined by the matrices*:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_3 & x_1x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -b & -x_1a & x_2 & x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_1' & -a' & -p_1a & -p_1b & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}\,,\quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_1x_2 & 0 & 0 \\ x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_1b & -x_3 & 0 \\ x_1a & x_2 & 0 \\ -b' & p_1b & -x_3 \\ a' & -p_1a & x_2 \end{pmatrix}\,.$$

(d) *If* $x_1 \nmid b$ *and* $x_1 \nmid p$ *then* $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{Y\cup L_2})$ *admits the following graded free resolution*:

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-2) \\ \oplus \\ S(2l+m-2) \end{array} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{array}{c} S(-1) \\ \oplus \\ S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l-1) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(2l+m-1) \end{array} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{array}{c} S \\ \oplus \\ S(l) \\ \oplus \\ S(2l+m) \end{array} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{Y\cup L_2}) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\delta_0 = (1\,,\, e_1\,,\, e_2)$ *and with* δ_1 *and* δ_2 *defined by the matrices*:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_3 & x_1x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -b & -x_1a & x_2 & x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -b' & -x_1a' & -pa & -pb & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}\,,\quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_1x_2 & 0 & 0 \\ x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_1b & -x_3 & 0 \\ x_1a & x_2 & 0 \\ -x_1b' & pb & -x_3 \\ x_1a' & -pa & x_2 \end{pmatrix}\,.$$

Proof. Recall, from the beginning of this subsection, that one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Im}\phi \times \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y\cup L_2} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2} \longrightarrow 0$$

with ϕ is the composite morphism $\mathscr{I}_{X\cup L_2} \to \mathscr{I}_X \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(2l+m)$, where the epimorphism $\rho : \mathscr{I}_X \to \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(2l+m)$ is characterized by:

$$\rho(F_2) = \Delta_1,\ \rho(x_2^2) = pa^2,\ \rho(x_2x_3) = pab,\ \rho(x_3^2) = pb^2\,.$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{Coker}\phi$ is an $\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cap L_2} = \mathscr{O}_{\{P_0\}}$-module which implies that $x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(2l+m-1) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}\phi \subseteq \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(2l+m)$.

It follows, from Prop. B.10, that if $x_1 \mid b$ then $I(X\cup L_2) = (F_2,\, x_2x_3,\, x_3^2,\, x_1x_2^2)$ hence $\operatorname{Im}\phi$ coincides with the image of the morphism:

$$(\Delta_1,\, pab,\, pb^2,\, px_1a^2) : \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(2l+m)$$

and if $x_1 \nmid b$ then $I(X\cup L_2) = (x_1F_2,\, x_2x_3,\, x_3^2,\, x_1x_2^2)$ hence $\operatorname{Im}\phi$ coincides with the image of the morphism:

$$(x_1\Delta_1,\, pab,\, pb^2,\, px_1a^2) : \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3) \oplus 2\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(2l+m)\,.$$

Recall, also, from Prop. B.11, the graded free resolution of $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2})$.

(a) In this case $x_1 \mid \Delta_1$ and $x_1 \mid b$ hence $\operatorname{Im}\phi = x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(2l+m-1)$. According to Prop. B.11(a), the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2})$ is generated by $1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2})$ and by $x_1e_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2}(-l+1))$. Since $\mathrm{H}^1((\operatorname{Im}\phi)(-l+1)) = 0$ it follows that the morphism $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{Y\cup L_2}) \to \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2})$ is surjective. One deduces an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L_1)(2l+m-1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{Y\cup L_2}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the left morphism maps $1 \in S(L_1)$ to the element of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{Y\cup L_2}(-2l-m+1))$ whose image into $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_Y(-2l-m+1)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_2}(-2l-m+1))$ is $(x_1e_2,\, 0)$.

(b) In this case, since $x_1 \nmid \Delta_1$ it follows that $\operatorname{Im}\phi = \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(2l+m)$. One derives, as in case (a), an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L_1)(2l+m) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{Y\cup L_2}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the left morphism maps $1 \in S(L_1)$ to the element of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{Y\cup L_2}(-2l-m))$ whose image into $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_Y(-2l-m)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_2}(-2l-m))$ is $(e_2,\, 0)$.

(c) In this case $x_1 \mid p$ hence $\operatorname{Im}\phi = x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(2l+m-1)$. By Prop. B.11(a), the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2})$ is generated by $1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2})$ and by $e_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2}(-l))$. Since $x_1 \mid p$ it follows that $m \geq 1$ which implies that $\mathrm{H}^1((\operatorname{Im}\phi)(-l)) = 0$. It follows that the morphism $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{Y\cup L_2}) \to \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2})$ is surjective. One deduces an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L_1)(2l+m-1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{Y\cup L_2}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the left morphism maps $1 \in S(L_1)$ to the element of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{Y\cup L_2}(-2l-m+1))$ whose image into $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_Y(-2l-m+1)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_2}(-2l-m+1))$ is $(x_1e_2,\, 0)$.

(d) In this case, since $x_1 \nmid pb^2$ it follows that $\operatorname{Im}\phi = \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(2l+m)$. One derives an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L_1)(2l+m) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{Y\cup L_2}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the left morphism maps $1 \in S(L_1)$ to the element of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{Y\cup L_2}(-2l-m))$ whose image into $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_Y(-2l-m)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_2}(-2l-m))$ is $(e_2,\, 0)$. □

B.3. **A double line union two lines.** Let X be a double structure on a line in $\mathbb{P}^3$ and Λ_1, Λ_2 two other lines in $\mathbb{P}^3$. We want to describe the homogeneous ideal and the graded structural algebra of the union $X \cup \Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2$. One has to consider the following configurations:

(1) $\Lambda_1 \cap X \neq \emptyset$, $\Lambda_2 \cap X \neq \emptyset$, $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 \neq \emptyset$, $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 \cap X = \emptyset$;
(2) $\Lambda_1 \cap X \neq \emptyset$, $\Lambda_2 \cap X \neq \emptyset$, $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 = \emptyset$;
(3) $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 \cap X \neq \emptyset$;
(4) $\Lambda_1 \cap X \neq \emptyset$, $\Lambda_2 \cap X = \emptyset$, $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 \neq \emptyset$;
(5) $\Lambda_1 \cap X \neq \emptyset$, $\Lambda_2 \cap X = \emptyset$, $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 = \emptyset$;
(6) $\Lambda_1 \cap X = \emptyset$, $\Lambda_2 \cap X = \emptyset$, $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 \neq \emptyset$;
(7) $\Lambda_1 \cap X = \emptyset$, $\Lambda_2 \cap X = \emptyset$, $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 = \emptyset$;

Up to a linear change of coordinates in $\mathbb{P}^3$ one can assume that X is a double structure on the line L_1 and it suffices to treat only the following cases:

(i) $X \cup L_2 \cup L_3'$;
(ii) $X \cup L_2 \cup L_2'$;
(iii) $X \cup L_2 \cup L_3$;
(iv) $X \cup L_2 \cup L_1'$;
(v) $X \cup L \cup L_1'$ where L is the line of equations $x_1 + cx_2 = x_3 = 0$, $c \in k \setminus \{0\}$;
(vi) $X \cup L_1' \cup L'$, where L' is the line of equations $x_0 + cx_2 = x_1 = 0$, $c \in k \setminus \{0\}$;
(vii) $X \cup L_1' \cup L_1''$ where L_1'' is the line of equations $x_0 - x_2 = x_1 - x_3 = 0$; L_1, L_1', L_1'' are mutually disjoint lines contained in the quadric surface $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ of equation $x_0x_3 - x_1x_2 = 0$.

For the double structure X on L_1 we use the notation from the beginning of Subsection B.1.

In case (i), just make $c = 0$ in the statements and proofs of Lemma B.46, Prop. B.47 and Prop. B.48 from Subsection B.4 below. The next four cases (ii)–(v) can be treated in an unitary manner as follows: notice, fistly, that if one takes $c = 0$ in the first equation of L one gets L_2. Let us denote $x_1 + cx_2$, $c \in k$, by ℓ. One has $I(L_1 \cup L) = (x_3,\, \ell x_2)$. Let us denote by Λ any of the lines L_1', L_2' and L_3. We have to consider two subcases.

(I) If $x_1 \mid b$, i.e., if $b = x_1 b_1$ then, according to the proof of Prop. B.10(a) and of Prop. B.11(a), $I(X \cup L) = (F_2 - cb_1x_2^2,\, x_2x_3,\, x_3^2,\, \ell x_2^2)$ and one has exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)}\cup L} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X\cup L} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X\cup L} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L} \xrightarrow{\phi'} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l-1) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\psi(F_2 - cb_1x_2^2) = 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$, and with $\phi'(x_3) = b_1$, $\phi'(\ell x_2) = a$. Let us denote by ψ_1 and ϕ_1' the composite morphisms:

$$\mathscr{I}_{X\cup L\cup\Lambda} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X\cup L} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2) \text{ and } \mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L\cup\Lambda} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L} \xrightarrow{\phi'} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l-1)\,.$$

Then, by Lemma B.5, one has exact sequences:

$$\begin{gathered} 0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)}\cup L\cup\Lambda} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X\cup L\cup\Lambda} \xrightarrow{\psi_1} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)\,, \\ 0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Im}\phi_1' \times \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X\cup L\cup\Lambda} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup L\cup\Lambda} \longrightarrow 0\,. \end{gathered}$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{Coker}\psi_1$ and $\operatorname{Coker}\phi_1'$ are $\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cap\Lambda}$-modules.

(II) If $x_1 \nmid b$ then, according to the proof of Prop. B.10(b) and of Prop. B.11(b), $I(X\cup L) = (\ell F_2,\, x_2x_3,\, x_3^2,\, \ell x_2^2)$ and one has exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)}\cup L} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X\cup L} \xrightarrow{\psi'} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X\cup L} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\psi'(\ell F_2) = 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$, and with $\phi(x_3) = b$, $\phi(\ell x_2) = x_1a$. Let us denote by ψ_1' and ϕ_1 the composite morphisms:

$$\mathscr{I}_{X\cup L\cup\Lambda} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X\cup L} \xrightarrow{\psi'} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3) \text{ and } \mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L\cup\Lambda} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l)\,.$$

Then, by Lemma B.5, one has exact sequences:

$$\begin{gathered} 0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)}\cup L\cup\Lambda} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X\cup L\cup\Lambda} \xrightarrow{\psi_1'} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)\,, \\ 0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Im}\phi_1 \times \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X\cup L\cup\Lambda} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup L\cup\Lambda} \longrightarrow 0\,. \end{gathered}$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{Coker}\psi_1'$ and $\operatorname{Coker}\phi_1$ are $\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cap\Lambda}$-modules.

Lemma B.20. *The homogeneous ideal $I(W) \subset S$ of $W = L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2 \cup L_2'$ is generated by x_2x_3, $x_0x_3^2$, $x_1x_2^2$ and admits the following graded free resolution:*

$$0 \longrightarrow 2S(-4) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_0x_3 & -x_1x_2 \\ x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}} S(-2) \oplus 2S(-3) \longrightarrow I(W) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Proof. $I(W)$ is, by definition:

$$(x_2^2,\, x_2x_3,\, x_3^2) \cap (x_1,\, x_3) \cap (x_0,\, x_2) = (x_2x_3,\, x_3^2,\, x_1x_2^2) \cap (x_0,\, x_2) = (x_2x_3,\, x_0x_3^2,\, x_1x_2^2)\,.$$

If W' is the curve directly linked to W by the complete intersection defined by $x_0x_3^2$ and $x_1x_2^2$ then $I(W') = (x_0x_3,\, x_1x_2)$. One can apply, now, Ferrand's result about liaison. $\square$

Proposition B.21. *Let X be the double structure on the line L_1 considered at the beginning of Subsection B.1.*

(a) *If $x_1 \mid b$ and $x_0 \mid a$ then $I(X \cup L_2 \cup L_2') = SF_2 + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2 \cup L_2')$.*

(b) *If $x_1 \mid b$ and $x_0 \nmid a$ then $I(X \cup L_2 \cup L_2') = Sx_0F_2 + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2 \cup L_2')$.*

(c) *If $x_1 \nmid b$ and $x_0 \mid a$ then $I(X \cup L_2 \cup L_2') = Sx_1F_2 + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2 \cup L_2')$.*

(d) *If $x_1 \nmid b$ and $x_0 \nmid a$ then $I(X \cup L_2 \cup L_2') = Sx_0x_1F_2 + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2 \cup L_2')$.*

Proof. We use the exact sequences defined at the beginning of this subsection. Since $L_1 \cap L_2' = \{P_1\}$ one deduces that:

$$x_0\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}\psi_1 \subseteq \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)\,,$$
$$x_0\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-4) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}\psi_1' \subseteq \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)\,.$$

Claim 1. *If $x_1 \mid b$ then $\operatorname{Im}\psi_1 = \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$ if and only if $x_0 \mid a$.*

Indeed, the "if" part is clear because if $x_0 \mid a$ then $F_2 \mid L_2' = 0$ hence $F_2 \in I(X \cup L_2 \cup L_2')$.

For the "only if" part, assume that $\operatorname{Im}\psi_1 = \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$. Since, by Lemma B.20, $\mathrm{H}^1_*(\mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2 \cup L_2'}) = 0$, it follows that there exists $f \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X \cup L_2 \cup L_2'}(l+2))$ such that $\psi_1(f) = 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$. Since $\psi_1(f) = \psi(f)$ one deduces that f must have the form:

$$f = F_2 + f_0x_2x_3 + f_1x_3^2 + f_2x_1x_2^2\,.$$

But $0 = f \mid L_2' = a(0,x_1)x_3 + f_1(0,x_1,0,x_3)x_3^2$ implies that $a(0,x_1) = 0$ hence $x_0 \mid a$.

Claim 2. *If $x_1 \nmid b$ then $\operatorname{Im}\psi_1' = \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)$ if and only if $x_0 \mid a$.*

Indeed, the "if" part is clear because if $x_0 \mid a$ then $F_2 \mid L_2' = 0$ hence $x_1F_2 \in I(X \cup L_2 \cup L_2')$.

For the "only if" part, assume that $\operatorname{Im}\psi_1' = \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)$. Since, by Lemma B.20, $\mathrm{H}^1_*(\mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2 \cup L_2'}) = 0$, it follows that there exists $f \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X \cup L_2 \cup L_2'}(l+3))$ such that $\psi_1'(f) = 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$. Since $\psi_1'(f) = \psi'(f)$ one deduces that f must have the form:

$$f = x_1F_2 + f_0x_2x_3 + f_1x_3^2 + f_2x_1x_2^2\,.$$

But $0 = f \mid L_2' = a(0,x_1)x_1x_3 + f_1(0,x_1,0,x_3)x_3^2$ implies that $a(0,x_1) = 0$ hence $x_0 \mid a$.

(a) One deduces, from Claim 1, the existence of an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2 \cup L_2') \longrightarrow I(X \cup L_2 \cup L_2') \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi_1)} S(L_1)(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

(b) Claim 1 implies that ψ_1 factorizes as:

$$\mathscr{I}_{X \cup L_2 \cup L_2'} \xrightarrow{\psi_2} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3) \xrightarrow{x_0} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$$

with $\psi_2(x_0F_2) = 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$. One deduces the existence of an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2 \cup L_2') \longrightarrow I(X \cup L_2 \cup L_2') \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi_2)} S(L_1)(-l-3) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

(c) One deduces, from Claim 2, the existence of an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2 \cup L_2') \longrightarrow I(X \cup L_2 \cup L_2') \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi_1')} S(L_1)(-l-3) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

(d) Claim 2 implies that ψ_1' factorizes as:

$$\mathscr{I}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_2'} \xrightarrow{\psi_2'} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-4) \xrightarrow{x_0} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)$$

with $\psi_2'(x_0x_1F_2) = 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$. One deduces the existence of an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2 \cup L_2') \longrightarrow I(X \cup L_2 \cup L_2') \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi_2')} S(L_1)(-l-4) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Notice that the exact sequences appearing in the above proof can be used to get a concrete graded free resolution of $I(X \cup L_2 \cup L_2')$. □

Proposition B.22. *Let X be the double structure on the line L_1 considered at the beginning of Subsection B.1.*

(a) *If $x_1 \mid b$ and $x_0 \mid a$, i.e., if $b = x_1b_1$ and $a = x_0a_0$ then the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_2'})$ admits the following graded free resolution:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} 2S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-4) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{matrix} 3S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l-3) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{matrix} S \\ \oplus \\ S(l-2) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_2'}) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\delta_0 = (1\,,\, x_0x_1e_1)$ and with δ_1 and δ_2 defined by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_0x_3 & x_1x_2 & x_2x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_1 & -a_0 & 0 & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}\,, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_3 & 0 \\ x_0 & x_1 & 0 \\ -b_1 & 0 & -x_3 \\ 0 & -a_0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}\,.$$

(b) *If $x_1 \mid b$ and $x_0 \nmid a$ then the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_2'})$ admits the following graded free resolution:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} 2S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-3) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{matrix} 3S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l-2) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{matrix} S \\ \oplus \\ S(l-1) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_2'}) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\delta_0 = (1\,,\, x_1e_1)$ and with δ_1 and δ_2 defined by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_0x_3 & x_1x_2 & x_2x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_0b_1 & -a & 0 & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}\,, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_3 & 0 \\ x_0 & x_1 & 0 \\ -x_0b_1 & 0 & -x_3 \\ 0 & -a & x_2 \end{pmatrix}\,.$$

(c) *If $x_1 \nmid b$ and $x_0 \mid a$ then the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_2'})$ admits the following graded free resolution:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} 2S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-3) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{matrix} 3S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l-2) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{matrix} S \\ \oplus \\ S(l-1) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_2'}) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\delta_0 = (1\,,\, x_0e_1)$ *and with* δ_1 *and* δ_2 *defined by the matrices:*

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_0x_3 & x_1x_2 & x_2x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -b & -x_1a_0 & 0 & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_3 & 0 \\ x_0 & x_1 & 0 \\ -b & 0 & -x_3 \\ 0 & -x_1a_0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(d) *If* $x_1 \nmid b$ *and* $x_0 \nmid a$ *then the graded* S*-module* $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_2'})$ *admits the following graded free resolution:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} 2S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-2) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{matrix} 3S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l-1) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{matrix} S \\ \oplus \\ S(l) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_2'}) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\delta_0 = (1\,,\, e_1)$ *and with* δ_1 *and* δ_2 *defined by the matrices:*

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_0x_3 & x_1x_2 & x_2x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_0b & -x_1a & 0 & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_3 & 0 \\ x_0 & x_1 & 0 \\ -x_0b & 0 & -x_3 \\ 0 & -x_1a & x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. $I(L_1\cup L_2\cup L_2') = (x_2,\, x_3)\cap(x_1,\, x_3)\cap(x_0,\, x_2) = (x_0x_3,\, x_1x_2,\, x_2x_3)$. $L_1\cup L_2\cup L_2'$ is directly linked by the complete intersection defined by x_0x_3 and x_1x_2 to the curve whose homogeneous ideal is $(x_0,\, x_1)$, i.e., to the line L_1'. Using Ferrand's result about liaison one gets a minimal graded free resolution:

$$0 \longrightarrow 2S(-3) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_3 \\ x_0 & x_1 \end{pmatrix}} 3S(-2) \longrightarrow I(L_1\cup L_2\cup L_2') \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

If $x_1 \mid b$ we use the exact sequence (defined at the beginning of this subsection):

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Im}\phi_1' \times \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_2'} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup L_2\cup L_2'} \longrightarrow 0$$

with ϕ_1' the composite morphism $\mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L_2\cup L_2'} \to \mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L_2} \xrightarrow{\phi'} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l-1)$. Recall, from the proof of Prop. B.11, that $\phi'(x_3) = b_1$ and $\phi'(x_1x_2) = a$ hence :

$$\phi_1'(x_0x_3) = x_0b_1,\ \phi_1'(x_1x_2) = a,\ \phi_1'(x_2x_3) = 0\,.$$

If $x_1 \nmid b$ we use the exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Im}\phi_1 \times \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_2'} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup L_2\cup L_2'} \longrightarrow 0$$

with ϕ_1 the composite morphism $\mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L_2\cup L_2'} \to \mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L_2} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l)$. Recall, from the proof of Prop. B.11, that $\phi(x_3) = b$ and $\phi(x_1x_2) = x_1a$ hence:

$$\phi_1(x_0x_3) = x_0b,\ \phi_1(x_1x_2) = x_1a,\ \phi_1(x_2x_3) = 0\,.$$

(a) In this case $\operatorname{Im}\phi_1' = x_0\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l-2)$. Since $L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_2'$ is arithmetically CM, the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup L_2\cup L_2'})$ is generated by $1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup L_2\cup L_2'})$. One deduces an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L_1)(l-2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_2'}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup L_2\cup L_2'}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the left morphism maps $1 \in S(L_1)$ to the element of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_2'}(-l+2))$ whose image into $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_X(-l+2)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_2}(-l+2)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_2'}(-l+2))$ is $(x_0x_1e_1,\, 0,\, 0)$.

(b) In this case $\operatorname{Im}\phi_1' = \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l-1)$. One deduces an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L_1)(l-1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_2'}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup L_2\cup L_2'}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the left morphism maps $1 \in S(L_1)$ to the element of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_2'}(-l+1))$ whose image into $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_X(-l+1)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_2}(-l+1)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_2'}(-l+1))$ is $(x_1e_1,\, 0,\, 0)$.

(c) In this case $\operatorname{Im}\phi_1 = x_0\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l-1)$. One deduces an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L_1)(l-1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_2'}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup L_2\cup L_2'}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the left morphism maps $1 \in S(L_1)$ to the element of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_2'}(-l+1))$ whose image into $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_X(-l+1)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_2}(-l+1)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_2'}(-l+1))$ is $(x_0e_1,\, 0,\, 0)$.

(d) In this case $\operatorname{Im}\phi_1 = \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l)$. One deduces an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L_1)(l) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_2'}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup L_2\cup L_2'}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the left morphism maps $1 \in S(L_1)$ to the element of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_2'}(-l))$ whose image into $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_X(-l)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_2}(-l)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_2'}(-l))$ is $(e_1,\, 0,\, 0)$. □

Lemma B.23. *The homogeneous ideal $I(W) \subset S$ of $W = L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2 \cup L_3$ is generated by x_2x_3, $x_1x_2^2$, $x_1x_3^2$ and admits the following graded free resolution:*

$$0 \longrightarrow 2S(-4) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_1x_2 & -x_1x_3 \\ x_3 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}} S(-2) \oplus 2S(-3) \longrightarrow I(W) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Proof. $I(W)$ is, by definition:

$$(x_2^2,\, x_2x_3,\, x_3^2) \cap (x_1,\, x_3) \cap (x_1,\, x_2) = (x_2x_3,\, x_3^2,\, x_1x_2^2) \cap (x_1,\, x_2) = (x_2x_3,\, x_1x_2^2,\, x_1x_3^2)\,.$$

If W' is the curve directly linked to W by the complete intersection defined by x_2x_3 and $x_1(x_2^2+x_3^2)$ then $I(W') = (x_2,\, x_3)$ (because $x_2 \cdot x_1x_2^2 = x_2 \cdot x_1(x_2^2+x_3^2) - x_1x_3 \cdot x_2x_3$), i.e., $W' = L_1$. One can apply, now, Ferrand's result about liaison. □

Proposition B.24. *Let X be the double structure on the line L_1 considered at the beginning of Subsection B.1. Then $I(X \cup L_2 \cup L_3) = Sx_1F_2 + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2 \cup L_3)$.*

Proof. If $x_1 \mid b$ then one has an exact sequence (look at the beginning of this subsection):

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)}\cup L_2\cup L_3} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_3} \xrightarrow{\psi_1} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$$

where ψ_1 is the composite morphism $\mathscr{I}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_3} \to \mathscr{I}_{X\cup L_2} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$. Since $L_1\cap L_3 = \{P_0\}$, $\operatorname{Coker}\psi_1$ is an $\mathscr{O}_{\{P_0\}}$-module hence $x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}\psi_1 \subseteq \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$.

Claim. $\operatorname{Im}\psi_1 = x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)$.

Indeed, assume that $\operatorname{Im}\psi_1 = \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$. Since $\mathrm{H}^1_*(\mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)}\cup L_2\cup L_3}) = 0$ (by Lemma B.23) it follows that there exists $f \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_3}(l+2))$ such that $\psi_1(f) = 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$. But $\psi_1(f) = \psi(f)$ hence, by the proof of Prop. B.10, f must have the form:

$$f = F_2 + f_0x_2x_3 + f_1x_3^2 + f_2x_1x_2^2\,.$$

Since $0 = f\,|\,L_3 = a(x_0,0)x_3 + f_1(x_0,0,0,x_3)x_3^2$ it follows that $a(x_0,0) = 0$ hence $x_1 \mid a$. But this *contradicts* the fact that a and b are coprime.

It follows from the Claim that ψ_1 factorizes as $\mathscr{I}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_3} \xrightarrow{\psi_2} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3) \xrightarrow{x_1} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$ with ψ_2 mapping $x_1F_2 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_3}(l+3))$ to $1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$. One deduces an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)}\cup L_2\cup L_3) \longrightarrow I(X\cup L_2\cup L_3) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi_2)} S(L_1)(-l-3) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

- If $x_1 \nmid b$ then one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)}\cup L_2\cup L_3} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_3} \xrightarrow{\psi_1'} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)$$

where ψ_1' is the composite morphism $\mathscr{I}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_3} \to \mathscr{I}_{X\cup L_2} \xrightarrow{\psi'} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)$. Since $x_1F_2\,|\,L_3 = 0$ it follows that $x_1F_2 \in I(X\cup L_2\cup L_3)$. But $\psi_1'(x_1F_2) = \psi'(x_1F_2) = 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$. One deduces that ψ_1' is an epimorphism and that, moreover, one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)}\cup L_2\cup L_3) \longrightarrow I(X\cup L_2\cup L_3) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi_1')} S(L_1)(-l-3) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Notice that one can use this exact sequence (and the similar one for the case $x_1 \mid b$) to get a concrete graded free resolution of $I(X\cup L_2\cup L_3)$. □

Proposition B.25. *Let X be the double structure on the line L_1 considered at the beginning of Subsection B.1. Then the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_3})$ admits the following graded free resolution*:

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} 2S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-3) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{matrix} 3S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l-2) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{matrix} S \\ \oplus \\ S(l-1) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_3}) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\delta_0 = (1\,,\, x_1e_1)$ *and with* δ_1 *and* δ_2 *defined by the matrices*:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1x_2 & x_1x_3 & x_2x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -a & -b & 0 & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}\,, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ x_2 & -x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & x_1 & 0 \\ b & -b & -x_3 \\ -a & 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. $I(L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3) = (x_2,\, x_3) \cap (x_1,\, x_3) \cap (x_1,\, x_2) = (x_1x_2,\, x_1x_3,\, x_2x_3)$. One deduces a minimal graded free resolution:

$$0 \longrightarrow 2S(-3) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_3 & 0 \\ x_2 & -x_2 \\ 0 & x_1 \end{pmatrix}} 3S(-2) \longrightarrow I(L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

• If $x_1 \mid b$, i.e., if $b = x_1b_1$ we use the exact sequence (defined at the beginning of this subsection):

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Im}\,\phi_1' \times \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X \cup L_2 \cup L_3} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3} \longrightarrow 0$$

with ϕ_1' the composite morphism $\mathscr{I}_{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3} \to \mathscr{I}_{L_1 \cup L_2} \xrightarrow{\phi'} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l-1)$. Recall, from the proof of Prop. B.11, that $\phi'(x_3) = b_1$ and $\phi'(x_1x_2) = a$ hence:

$$\phi_1'(x_1x_2) = a,\ \phi_1'(x_1x_3) = x_1b_1 = b,\ \phi_1'(x_2x_3) = 0\,.$$

It follows that ϕ_1' is an epimorphism. Moreover, since $L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$ is arithmetically CM the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3})$ is generated by $1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3})$. One deduces an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L_1)(l-1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X \cup L_2 \cup L_3}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the left morphism maps $1 \in S(L_1)$ to the element of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(-l+1))$ whose image into $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_X(-l+1)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_2}(-l+1)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_3}(-l+1))$ is $(x_1e_1,\, 0,\, 0)$.

• If $x_1 \nmid b$ we use the exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Im}\,\phi_1 \times \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X \cup L_2 \cup L_3} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3} \longrightarrow 0$$

with ϕ_1 the composite morphism $\mathscr{I}_{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3} \to \mathscr{I}_{L_1 \cup L_2} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l)$. Recall, from the proof of Prop. B.11, that $\phi(x_3) = b$ and $\phi(x_1x_2) = x_1a$ hence:

$$\phi_1(x_1x_2) = x_1a,\ \phi_1(x_1x_3) = x_1b,\ \phi_1(x_2x_3) = 0\,.$$

One deduces that $\mathrm{Im}\,\phi_1 = x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l-1)$ and that one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L_1)(l-1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X \cup L_2 \cup L_3}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the left morphism maps $1 \in S(L_1)$ to the element of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X \cup L_2 \cup L_3}(-l+1))$ whose image into $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_X(-l+1)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_2}(-l+1)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_3}(-l+1))$ is $(x_1e_1,\, 0,\, 0)$. □

Lemma B.26. *The homogeneous ideal* $I(W) \subset S$ *of* $W = L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2 \cup L_1'$ *is generated by* $x_0x_2x_3$, $x_0x_3^2$, $x_1x_2^2$, $x_1x_2x_3$, $x_1x_3^2$ *and admits the following graded free resolution*:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(-5) \xrightarrow{d_2} 5S(-4) \xrightarrow{d_1} 5S(-3) \xrightarrow{d_0} I(W) \longrightarrow 0$$

where d_1 *and* d_2 *are defined by the matrices*:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -x_3 & 0 & 0 & -x_1 & 0 \\ x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_1 \\ 0 & -x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 & -x_3 & x_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_2 & 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 \\ 0 \\ x_0 \\ x_3 \\ -x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. By definition:

$$I(W) = (x_2^2,\, x_2x_3,\, x_3^2) \cap (x_1,\, x_3) \cap (x_0,\, x_1) =$$
$$(x_2x_3,\, x_3^2,\, x_1x_2^2) \cap (x_0,\, x_1) = (x_0x_2x_3,\, x_0x_3^2,\, x_1x_2^2,\, x_1x_2x_3,\, x_1x_3^2)\,.$$

Since $I(L_1 \cup L_1') = (x_0x_2,\, x_0x_3,\, x_1x_2,\, x_1x_3)$, it follows that:

$$I(W) = Sx_1x_2^2 + I(L_1 \cup L_1')x_3\,.$$

Moreover, by Lemma B.1, $I(L_1 \cup L_1')$ admits a graded free resolution of the form:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(-4) \longrightarrow 4S(-3) \longrightarrow 4S(-2) \longrightarrow I(L_1 \cup L_1') \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

One can apply, now, Remark B.4. Actually, by the main property of the basic double linkage, $L_1 \cup L_1'$ can be obtained from W by two direct linkages. Concretely, these two linkages are the following ones: if W' is the curve directly linked to W by the complete intersection defined by $x_0x_3^2$ and $x_1x_2^2$ then, by Remark B.3(b),

$$I(W') = (x_3,\, x_1x_2) \cap (x_2,\, x_0x_3) \cap (x_0,\, x_2^2) = (x_0x_3,\, x_0x_1x_2,\, x_1x_2^2,\, x_2^2x_3)\,.$$

If W'' is the curve directly linked to W' by the complete intersection defined by x_0x_3 and $x_1x_2^2$ then, by the same remark, $I(W'') = (x_2,\, x_3) \cap (x_0,\, x_1)$ hence $W'' = L_1 \cup L_1'$. $\square$

Proposition B.27. *Let* X *be the double structure on the line* L_1 *considered at the beginning of Subsection B.1.*

(a) *If* $l = -1$ *and* $b = 0$ *then* $I(X \cup L_2 \cup L_1') = (x_0x_3,\, x_1x_3,\, x_1x_2^2)$.

(b) *If* $l \geq 0$ *and* $x_1 \mid b$ *then* $I(X \cup L_2 \cup L_1') = SF_2 + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2 \cup L_1')$.

(c) *If* $x_1 \nmid b$ *then* $I(X \cup L_2 \cup L_1') = Sx_1F_2 + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2 \cup L_1')$.

Proof. (a) In this case $F_2 = x_3$ hence $I(X) = (x_3,\, x_2^2)$ hence $I(X \cup L_2 \cup L_1') = (x_3,\, x_2^2) \cap (x_1,\, x_3) \cap (x_0,\, x_1) = (x_0x_3,\, x_1x_3,\, x_1x_2^2)$.

(b) We use the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2 \cup L_1'} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X \cup L_2 \cup L_1'} \xrightarrow{\psi_1} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$$

defined at the beginning of this subsection. Since $L_1 \cap L_1' = \emptyset$ it follows that ψ_1 is an epimorphism. Moreover, since $l \geq 0$ it follows that $F_2 \mid L_1' = 0$ hence $F_2 \in I(X \cup L_2 \cup L_1')$. Since $\psi_1(F_2) = \psi(F_2) = 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$ one deduces an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2 \cup L_1') \longrightarrow I(X \cup L_2 \cup L_1') \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi_1)} S(L_1)(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

(c) We use the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2 \cup L_1'} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X \cup L_2 \cup L_1'} \xrightarrow{\psi_1'} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)$$

defined at the beginning of this subsection. Since $L_1 \cap L_1' = \emptyset$ it follows that ψ_1' is an epimorphism. Moreover, since $x_1F_2 \mid L_1' = 0$ it follows that $x_1F_2 \in I(X \cup L_2 \cup L_1')$. Since $\psi_1'(x_1F_2) = \psi'(x_1F_2) = 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$ one deduces an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2 \cup L_1') \longrightarrow I(X \cup L_2 \cup L_1') \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi_1')} S(L_1)(-l-3) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Notice that the exact sequences deduced in the above proof can be used to get a concrete graded free resolution of $I(X \cup L_2 \cup L_1')$. $\square$

Proposition B.28. *Let X be the double structure on the line L_1 considered at the beginning of Subsection B.1.*

(a) *If $x_1 \mid b$, i.e., if $b = x_1b_1$ then the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X \cup L_2 \cup L_1'})$ admits the following free resolution:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} 2S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-3) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{matrix} 3S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l-2) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{matrix} S \\ \oplus \\ S(l-1) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X \cup L_2 \cup L_1'}) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\delta_0 = (1\,,\, x_1e_1)$ and δ_1, δ_2 defined by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_0x_3 & x_1x_2 & x_1x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_0b_1 & -a & -b & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_3 & 0 \\ x_0 & x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & b & -x_3 \\ 0 & -a & x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(b) *If $x_1 \nmid b$ then the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X \cup L_2 \cup L_1'})$ admits the following free resolution:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} 2S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-2) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{matrix} 3S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l-1) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{matrix} S \\ \oplus \\ S(l) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X \cup L_2 \cup L_1'}) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\delta_0 = (1\,,\, e_1)$ and δ_1, δ_2 defined by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_0x_3 & x_1x_2 & x_1x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_0b & -x_1a & -x_1b & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_3 & 0 \\ x_0 & x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & x_1b & -x_3 \\ 0 & -x_1a & x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. The homogeneous ideal of $L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_1'$ is :

$$(x_2,\, x_3) \cap (x_1,\, x_3) \cap (x_0,\, x_1) = (x_0x_3,\, x_1x_2,\, x_1x_3)\, .$$

One deduces that $L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_1'$ is directly linked by the complete intersection defined by x_0x_3 and x_1x_2 to the line L_2' of equations $x_0 = x_2 = 0$. Using Ferrand's result about liaison, one gets the following graded free resolution of $I(L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_1')$:

$$0 \longrightarrow 2S(-3) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_3 \\ x_0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}} 3S(-2) \longrightarrow I(L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_1') \longrightarrow 0\, .$$

It results that $L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_1'$ is arithmetically CM, hence the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup L_2 \cup L_1'})$ is generated by $1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup L_2 \cup L_1'})$.

(a) We use the exact sequence (look at the beginning of this subsection) :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Im}\, \phi_1' \times \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_1'} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup L_2\cup L_1'} \longrightarrow 0$$

with ϕ_1' the composite morphism $\mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L_2\cup L_1'} \to \mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L_2} \xrightarrow{\phi'} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l-1)$. Since $L_1 \cap L_1' = \emptyset$, ϕ_1' is an epimorphism. One deduces an exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L_1)(l-1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_1'}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup L_2\cup L_1'}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the left morphism maps $1 \in S(L_1)$ to the element of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_1'}(-l+1))$ whose image into $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_X(-l+1)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_2}(-l+1)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1'}(-l+1))$ is $(x_1e_1,\, 0,\, 0)$.

(b) We use the exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Im}\, \phi_1 \times \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_1'} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup L_2\cup L_1'} \longrightarrow 0$$

with ϕ_1 the composite morphism $\mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L_2\cup L_1'} \to \mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L_2} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l)$. Since $L_1 \cap L_1' = \emptyset$, ϕ_1 is an epimorphism. One deduces an exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L_1)(l) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_1'}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup L_2\cup L_1'}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the left morphism maps $1 \in S(L_1)$ to the element of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2\cup L_1'}(-l))$ whose image into $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_X(-l)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_2}(-l)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1'}(-l))$ is $(e_1,\, 0,\, 0)$. □

Lemma B.29. *Let $L \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the line of equations $\ell = x_3 = 0$, where $\ell = x_1 + cx_2$, $c \neq 0$. Then the homogeneous ideal $I(W) \subset S$ of $W = L_1^{(1)} \cup L \cup L_1'$ is generated by $x_0x_2x_3$, $x_0x_3^2$, $x_1x_2x_3$, $x_1x_3^2$, $x_0\ell x_2^2$, $x_1\ell x_2^2$ and admits the following graded free resolution*:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(-5) \oplus S(-6) \xrightarrow{d_2} 4S(-4) \oplus 3S(-5) \xrightarrow{d_1} 4S(-3) \oplus 2S(-4) \xrightarrow{d_0} I(W) \longrightarrow 0$$

with d_1 and d_2 defined by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -x_1 & 0 & -x_3 & 0 & 0 & -\ell x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_1 & x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_0 & 0 & 0 & -x_3 & 0 & 0 & -\ell x_2 \\ 0 & x_0 & 0 & x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_1 & x_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 & 0 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_3 & -\ell x_2 \\ x_2 & 0 \\ x_1 & 0 \\ -x_0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_3 \\ 0 & x_1 \\ 0 & -x_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. According to Lemma B.9, $L_1^{(1)} \cup L$ is arithmetically CM. It follows, now, from Lemma B.1, that $I(W) = I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L)I(L_1')$ and that the tensor product of the minimal graded free resolutions of $I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L)$ and of $I(L_1')$ is a minimal graded free resolution of $I(W)$. □

Proposition B.30. *Let X be the double structure on the line L_1 considered at the beginning of Subsection B.1 and let $L \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the line of equations $\ell = x_3 = 0$, where $\ell = x_1 + cx_2$, $c \neq 0$.*

(a) *If $x_1 \mid b$ and $l = -1$ then $I(X \cup L \cup L_1') = (x_0,\, x_1)(x_3,\, \ell x_2^2)$.*

(b) *If $x_1 \mid b$ and $l = 0$ then $I(X \cup L \cup L_1') = (x_0,\, x_1)(-\ell x_2 + ax_3,\, x_2x_3,\, x_3^2)$.*

(c) *If $x_1 \mid b$, i.e., if $b = x_1b_1$, and $l \geq 1$ then $I(X \cup L \cup L_1') = S(F_2 - cb_1x_2^2) + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L \cup L_1')$.*

(d) *If $x_1 \nmid b$ and $l = -1$ then $I(X \cup L \cup L_1') = (x_0,\, x_1)(\ell F_2,\, x_2x_3,\, x_3^2)$.*

(e) *If $x_1 \nmid b$ and $l \geq 0$ then $I(X \cup L \cup L_1') = S\ell F_2 + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L \cup L_1')$.*

Proof. (a) If $l = -1$ the condition $x_1 \mid b$ means that $b = 0$, hence $F_2 = x_3$, hence $I(X) = (x_3,\, x_2^2)$. One deduces that $I(X \cup L) = (x_3,\, \ell x_2^2)$ and one can apply, now, Lemma B.1.

(b) If $l = 0$ and $x_1 \mid b$ then one can assume that $b = x_1$, hence $F_2 = -x_1x_2 + ax_3$. It follows, from Prop. B.10, that:

$$I(X \cup L) = (-x_1x_2 + ax_3 - cx_2^2,\, x_2x_3,\, x_3^2,\, \ell x_2^2) = (-\ell x_2 + ax_3,\, x_2x_3,\, x_3^2)\,.$$

One deduces that $X \cup L$ is directly linked by the complete intersection defined by $-\ell x_2 + ax_3$ and x_3^2 to the line L, hence it is arithmetically CM. One can apply, now, Lemma B.1.

(c) We use the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)} \cup L \cup L_1'} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X \cup L \cup L_1'} \xrightarrow{\psi_1} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$$

defined at the beginning of this subsection. $L_1 \cap L_1' = \emptyset$ implies that ψ_1 is an epimorphism. Since $l \geq 1$, the element $F_2 - cb_1x_2^2$ of $I(X \cup L)$ belongs to $I(X \cup L \cup L_1')$ and this implies that the sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L \cup L_1') \longrightarrow I(X \cup L \cup L_1') \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi_1)} S(L_1)(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

(d) If $l = -1$ the condition $x_1 \nmid b$ is equivalent to $b \neq 0$. One can assume that $b = -1$, hence $F_2 = x_2 + ax_3$, hence $I(X) = (x_2 + ax_3,\, x_3^2)$. It follows that $I(X \cup L) = ((x_1 + cx_2)(x_2 + ax_3),\, x_2x_3,\, x_3^2)$. One deduces that $X \cup L$ is directly linked by the complete intersection defined by $(x_1 + cx_2)(x_2 + ax_3)$ and x_3^2 to L, hence it is arithmetically CM. One can apply, now, Lemma B.1.

(e) If $x_1 \nmid b$ one gets, as in the proof of (c), an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)} \cup L \cup L_1'} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X \cup L \cup L_1'} \xrightarrow{\psi_1'} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Since $l \geq 0$, the generator ℓF_2 of $I(X \cup L)$ (see Prop. B.10) belongs to $I(X \cup L \cup L_1')$ and this implies that the sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L \cup L_1') \longrightarrow I(X \cup L \cup L_1') \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi_1')} S(L_1)(-l-3) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Notice that using the exact sequences from the above proof one can get a concrete graded free resolution of $I(X \cup L \cup L_1')$. □

The next lemma follows immediately from Lemma B.1.

Lemma B.31. *Let $L' \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the line of equations $\ell_0 = x_1 = 0$, where $\ell_0 = x_0 + cx_2$, $c \neq 0$. Then the homogeneous ideal $I(W) \subset S$ of $W = L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1' \cup L'$ is generated by $x_1x_2^2$, $x_1x_2x_3$, $x_1x_3^2$, $x_0\ell_0x_2^2$, $x_0\ell_0x_2x_3$, $x_0\ell_0x_3^2$ and admits the following minimal graded free resolution:*

$$0 \longrightarrow 2S(-6) \xrightarrow{d_2} 2S(-4) \oplus 5S(-5) \xrightarrow{d_1} 3S(-3) \oplus 3S(-4) \xrightarrow{d_0} I(W) \longrightarrow 0$$

with d_1 and d_2 defined by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_0\ell_0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_2 & -x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_0\ell_0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_0\ell_0 \\ 0 & 0 & -x_3 & 0 & x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_2 & -x_3 & 0 & x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_2 & 0 & 0 & x_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} x_0\ell_0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_0\ell_0 \\ -x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_1 \\ -x_3 & 0 \\ x_2 & -x_3 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proposition B.32. *Let X be the double structure on the line L_1 considered at the beginning of Subsection B.1 and let $L' \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the line of equations $\ell_0 = x_1 = 0$, where $\ell_0 = x_0 + cx_2$, $c \neq 0$.*

(a) *If $l = -1$ then $I(X \cup L_1' \cup L') = I(X)I(L_1' \cup L')$.*

(b) *If $l = 0$ then $I(X \cup L_1' \cup L') = S\ell_0F_2 + Sx_1F_2 + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1' \cup L')$.*

(c) *If $l \geq 1$ then, writting $F_2 = x_0^2F_2' + x_1F_2''$, one has*

$$I(X \cup L_1' \cup L') = S(F_2 + cx_0x_2F_2') + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1' \cup L')\,.$$

Proof. Tensorizing by $\mathscr{I}_{L_1'\cup L'}$ the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_X \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0$$

one gets an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)}\cup L_1'\cup L'} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X\cup L_1'\cup L'} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

It follows from Lemma B.31 that $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)}\cup L_1'\cup L'}(i)) = 0$ for $i \geq 3$ hence $\mathrm{H}^0(\psi(i))$ is surjective for $i \geq 3$.

Recall, also, from Prop. B.8, that if $l \geq 0$ then $I(X \cup L_1') = SF_2 + (x_0,\, x_1)(x_2,\, x_3)^2$.

(a) In this case, by Lemma B.7, X is the divisor $2L_1$ on the plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ of equation $F_2 = 0$ and the result follows from Lemma B.1. By the same lemma, one can get a graded free resolution of $I(X \cup L_1' \cup L')$.

(b) In this case $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X\cup L_1'\cup L'}(2)) = 0$. *Indeed,* $F_2 = -bx_2 + ax_3$ (with $a,\, b \in k[x_0, x_1]_1$) does not vanish identically on the plane $\{x_1 = 0\}$ because a and b are coprime. On the other hand, F_2 vanishes on $L_1' \subset \{x_1 = 0\}$ and in $P_0 \in \{x_1 = 0\} \setminus L'$ hence it cannot vanish on L'.

One deduces, now, an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1' \cup L') \longrightarrow I(X \cup L_1' \cup L') \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi)} S(L_1)_+(-2) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

It remains to notice that $\ell_0 F_2$ and $x_1 F_2$ belong to $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X\cup L_1'\cup L'}(3))$ and that they are mapped by ψ to $x_0 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(1))$ and $x_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(1))$, respectively. Notice, also, that using the above exact sequence and the resolution of $S(L_1)_+$ that can be found in the discussion following Prop. A.12, one can get a graded free resolution of $I(X \cup L_1' \cup L')$.

(c) In this case one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1' \cup L') \longrightarrow I(X \cup L_1' \cup L') \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi)} S(L_1)(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

It remains to notice that, by Prop. B.8, $F_2 + cx_0x_2F_2' = x_0\ell_0F_2' + x_1F_2''$ belongs to $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X\cup L_1'\cup L'}(l+2))$ and that it is mapped by ψ to $1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$. Notice, also, that using the above exact sequence one can get a graded free resolution of $I(X \cup L_1' \cup L')$. □

Lemma B.33. *Let* $L_1'' \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ *be the line of equations* $\ell_0 = \ell_1 = 0$*, where* $\ell_0 = x_0 - x_2$ *and* $\ell_1 = x_1 - x_3$*.* L_1*,* L_1' *and* L_1'' *are mutually disjoint and are contained in the quadric* $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ *of equation* $x_0x_3 - x_1x_2 = 0$*. Then the homogeneous ideal* $I(Y)$ *of* $Y = L_1 \cup L_1' \cup L_1''$ *is generated by* $x_0x_3 - x_1x_2$*,* $x_0\ell_0x_2$*,* $x_0\ell_0x_3$*,* $x_1\ell_1x_2$ *and* $x_1\ell_1x_3$ *and admits the following graded free resolution:*

$$0 \longrightarrow 2S(-5) \xrightarrow{d_2} 6S(-4) \xrightarrow{d_1} S(-2) \oplus 4S(-3) \longrightarrow I(Y) \longrightarrow 0$$

where d_1 *and* d_2 *are defined by the matrices*:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -x_0\ell_0 & x_0x_1 & -x_1\ell_1 & 0 & \ell_0x_3+x_1x_2 & 0 \\ -x_1 & 0 & 0 & -x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ x_0 & -x_1 & 0 & x_2 & -x_3 & 0 \\ 0 & x_0 & -x_1 & 0 & x_2 & -x_3 \\ 0 & 0 & x_0 & 0 & 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_3 & 0 \\ x_2 & -x_3 \\ 0 & x_2 \\ x_1 & 0 \\ -x_0 & x_1 \\ 0 & -x_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Tensorizing by $\mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L_1'}$ the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix}-\ell_1\\ \ell_0\end{pmatrix}} 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \xrightarrow{(\ell_0\,,\,\ell_1)} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L_1''} \longrightarrow 0$$

one gets an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L_1'}(-2) \longrightarrow 2\mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L_1'}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_Y \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Since $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L_1'}(i)) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$ it follows that $I(Y)$ coincides with $I(L_1\cup L_1')I(L_1'')$ in degrees ≥ 3. On the other hand, it is well known that $I(Y)_2 = k(x_0x_3 - x_1x_2)$. Consequenly:

$$I(Y) = S(x_0x_3 - x_1x_2) + (x_0x_2,\, x_0x_3,\, x_1x_2,\, x_1x_3)(\ell_0,\, \ell_1)\,.$$

Noticing that $q := x_0x_3 - x_1x_2 = \ell_0x_3 - \ell_1x_2$ one gets:

$$\ell_0x_1x_2 = x_0\ell_0x_3 - \ell_0q\,,\ \ \ell_0x_1x_3 = x_1\ell_1x_2 + x_1q\,,$$
$$x_0\ell_1x_2 = x_0\ell_0x_3 - x_0q\,,\ \ x_0\ell_1x_3 = x_1\ell_1x_2 + \ell_1q\,.$$

It follows that $I(Y)$ is (minimally) generated by the elements from the statement.

Let, now, X' be the divisor $2L_1'$ on Q. Using the Segre isomorphism $\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} Q$ one gets exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2) \xrightarrow{q} \mathscr{I}_Y \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_Q(-3,0) \longrightarrow 0\,,$$
$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2) \xrightarrow{q} \mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup X'} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_Q(-3,0) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

One deduces that $I(Y)$ and $I(L_1\cup X')$ admit graded free resolutions of the same numerical shape. Using Prop. B.8, the last exact sequence from its proof, and Remark A.9, it follows that $I(L_1\cup X')$ is generated by $x_0x_3-x_1x_2$, $x_0^2x_2$, $x_0^2x_3$, $x_1^2x_2$, $x_1^2x_3$ and admits the following graded free resolution:

$$0 \longrightarrow 2S(-5) \xrightarrow{d_2'} 6S(-4) \xrightarrow{d_1'} S(-2)\oplus 4S(-3) \longrightarrow I(L_1\cup X') \longrightarrow 0$$

where d_1' and d_2' are defined by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -x_0^2 & x_0x_1 & -x_1^2 & 0 & x_0x_3+x_1x_2 & 0 \\ -x_1 & 0 & 0 & -x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ x_0 & -x_1 & 0 & x_2 & -x_3 & 0 \\ 0 & x_0 & -x_1 & 0 & x_2 & -x_3 \\ 0 & 0 & x_0 & 0 & 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_3 & 0 \\ x_2 & -x_3 \\ 0 & x_2 \\ x_1 & 0 \\ -x_0 & x_1 \\ 0 & -x_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can easily guess, now, a similar graded free resolution of $I(Y)$, which is the one from the statement. □

Lemma B.34. *Using the notation from the statement of Lemma B.33, the homogeneous ideal $I(W) \subset S$ of $W = L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1' \cup L_1''$ is generated by*:

$$x_2(x_0x_3 - x_1x_2)\,,\ x_3(x_0x_3 - x_1x_2)\,,\ x_0\ell_0x_2^2\,,\ x_0\ell_0x_2x_3\,,\ x_0\ell_0x_3^2\,,\ x_1\ell_1x_2x_3\,,\ x_1\ell_1x_3^2$$

and admits the following graded free resolution:

$$0 \longrightarrow 3S(-6) \xrightarrow{d_2} S(-4) \oplus 8S(-5) \xrightarrow{d_1} 2S(-3) \oplus 5S(-4) \longrightarrow I(W) \longrightarrow 0$$

where d_1 and d_2 are defined by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_0\ell_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_2 & 0 & 0 & \ell_0x_3+x_1x_2 & 0 & 0 & -x_0\ell_0 & x_0x_1 & -x_1\ell_1 \\ 0 & -x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 & -x_3 & 0 & 0 & x_0 & -x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_2 & -x_3 & 0 & 0 & x_0 & -x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_2 & -x_3 & 0 & 0 & x_0 & -x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} x_0\ell_0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_0 & x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_0 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & -x_0 \\ -x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ x_2 & -x_3 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 & -x_3 \\ 0 & 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Tensorizing by $\mathscr{I}_{L_1' \cup L_1''}$ the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_3 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}} 2\mathscr{I}_{L_1}(-1) \xrightarrow{(x_2\,,\ x_3)} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L_1^{(1)}} \longrightarrow 0$$

one gets an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1' \cup L_1''}(-2) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_3 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}} 2\mathscr{I}_{L_1 \cup L_1' \cup L_1''}(-1) \xrightarrow{(x_2\,,\ x_3)} \mathscr{I}_W \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Since $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{I}_{L_1' \cup L_1''}(i)) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$ and since $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_W(2)) = 0$ it follows that:

$$I(W) = I(L_1)I(L_1 \cup L_1' \cup L_1'')\,.$$

Recall that $x_0x_3 - x_1x_2 = \ell_0x_3 - \ell_1x_2$. Using the relation:

$$x_1\ell_1x_2^2 = x_0\ell_0x_3^2 - x_1 \cdot x_2(\ell_0x_3 - \ell_1x_2) - \ell_0 \cdot x_3(x_0x_3 - x_1x_2)$$

one derives that $I(W)$ is (minimally) generated by the elements from the statement.

On the other hand, one deduces an exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1' \cup L_1'')(-2) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_3 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}} 2I(L_1 \cup L_1' \cup L_1'')(-1) \xrightarrow{(x_2\, ,\, x_3)} I(W) \longrightarrow 0\, .$$

By Lemma B.1, $I(L_1' \cup L_1'')$ is generated by $x_0\ell_0$, $x_0\ell_1$, $x_1\ell_0$, $x_1\ell_1$ and admits the following graded free resolution :

$$0 \longrightarrow S(-4) \xrightarrow{d_2'} 4S(-3) \xrightarrow{d_1'} 4S(-2) \longrightarrow I(L_1' \cup L_1'') \longrightarrow 0$$

with d_1' and d_2' defined by the matrices :

$$\begin{pmatrix} -x_1 & 0 & -\ell_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_1 & \ell_0 & 0 \\ x_0 & 0 & 0 & -\ell_1 \\ 0 & x_0 & 0 & \ell_0 \end{pmatrix}\, , \quad \begin{pmatrix} -\ell_1 \\ \ell_0 \\ x_1 \\ -x_0 \end{pmatrix}\, .$$

Using the resolution of $I(L_1 \cup L_1' \cup L_1'')$ from Lemma B.33, the morphism :

$$I(L_1' \cup L_1'')(-2) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_3 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}} 2I(L_1 \cup L_1' \cup L_1'')(-1)$$

induces, in an obvious manner, a morphism between the resolutions of its source and of its target. The mapping cone of this morphism between resolutions is a resolution of $I(W)$. This resolution is not minimal, but using Remark A.9 one can get from it the minimal free resolution from the statement. □

Lemma B.35. *The graded S-submodule $S(L_1)_{\geq 2} := \bigoplus_{i \geq 2} S(L_1)_i$ of $S(L_1) := \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$ admits the following minimal graded free resolution* :

$$0 \to 2S(-5) \xrightarrow{d_3} 7S(-4) \xrightarrow{d_2} 8S(-3) \xrightarrow{d_1} 3S(-2) \xrightarrow{d_0} S(L_1)_{\geq 2} \to 0$$

with d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3 defined by the matrices :

$$(x_0^2\, ,\, x_0x_1\, ,\, x_1^2)\, , \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 & 0 & x_2 & x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_0 & -x_1 & 0 & 0 & x_2 & x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}\, ,$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_2 & x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_2 & x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_1 & 0 & 0 & x_2 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_0 & 0 & x_1 & 0 & 0 & -x_3 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_0 & 0 & x_1 & 0 & x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -x_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_0 & 0 & 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}\, , \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_3 & 0 \\ x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_3 \\ 0 & x_2 \\ -x_1 & 0 \\ x_0 & -x_1 \\ 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}\, .$$

Proof. Using the exact sequence :

$$0 \to 2S(L_1)(-3) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_1 & 0 \\ x_0 & -x_1 \\ 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}} 3S(L_1)(-2) \xrightarrow{(x_0^2\,,\ x_0x_1\,,\ x_1^2)} S(L_1)_{\geq 2} \to 0\,.$$

one sees that the tensor product of the complexes :

$$2S(-3) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_1 & 0 \\ x_0 & -x_1 \\ 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}} 3S(-2)\,,\ \ S(-2) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_3 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}} 2S(-1) \xrightarrow{(x_2\,,\ x_3)} S$$

is a minimal graded free resolution of $S(L_1)_{\geq 2}$ over S. □

Proposition B.36. *Let X be the double structure on the line L_1 considered at the beginning of Subsection B.1. Let $L_1'' \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the line of equations $\ell_0 = \ell_1 = 0$, where $\ell_0 = x_0 - x_2$ and $\ell_1 = x_1 - x_3$. L_1, L_1' and L_1'' are mutually disjoint and are contained in the quadric $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ of equation $x_0x_3 - x_1x_2 = 0$.*

(a) *If $l = -1$ then :*

$$I(X \cup L_1' \cup L_1'') = Sx_0\ell_0F_2 + Sx_1\ell_0F_2 + Sx_1\ell_1F_2 + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1' \cup L_1'')\,.$$

(b) *If $l = 0$ and $\{F_2 = 0\} \neq Q$ then :*

$$I(X \cup L_1' \cup L_1'') = S\ell_0F_2 + S\ell_1F_2 + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1' \cup L_1'')\,.$$

(c) *If $l = 0$ and $\{F_2 = 0\} = Q$ or if $l \geq 1$ then, writting $F_2 = x_0F_2' + x_1F_2''$, one has :*

$$I(X \cup L_1' \cup L_1'') = S(F_2 - x_2F_2' - x_3F_2'') + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1' \cup L_1'')\,.$$

Proof. Tensorizing by $\mathscr{I}_{L_1' \cup L_1''}$ the exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_X \overset{\eta}{\longrightarrow} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

with η mapping F_2 to $1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$ and x_2^2, x_2x_3, x_3^2 to 0, one gets an exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1' \cup L_1''} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X \cup L_1' \cup L_1''} \overset{\psi}{\longrightarrow} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Since, by Lemma B.34, $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1' \cup L_1''}(i)) = 0$ for $i \geq 3$ it follows that $\mathrm{H}^0(\psi(i))$ is surjective for $i \geq 3$. On the other hand :

$$\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X \cup L_1' \cup L_1''}(2)) \subseteq \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{L_1 \cup L_1' \cup L_1''}(2)) = k(x_0x_3 - x_1x_2)\,.$$

(a) In this case, by Lemma B.7, X is the divisor $2L_1$ in the plane H of equation $F_2 = 0$. Since $H \cap Q$ is the union of L_1 and of a different line intersecting it, it follows that X is not contained in Q hence $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X \cup L_1' \cup L_1''}(2)) = 0$. One deduces an exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1' \cup L_1'') \longrightarrow I(X \cup L_1' \cup L_1'') \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi)} S(L_1)_{\geq 2}(-1) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Since $x_0\ell_0F_2$, $x_1\ell_0F_2$, $x_1\ell_1F_2$ belong to $I(X \cup L_1' \cup L_1'')_3$ and are mapped by ψ to the elements x_0^2, x_0x_1, x_1^2 of $S(L_1)_2$, one gets the assertion from the statement.

Notice that by using the last exact sequence and Lemma B.35 one can get a non-minimal graded free resolution of $I(X \cup L_1' \cup L_1'')$. This resolution has, actually, excessive length 3 but using Remark A.9 one can get from it a minimal free resolution (of length 2).

(b) Since, by Prop. B.8, $I(X \cup L_1') = SF_2 + (x_0,\, x_1)(x_2,\, x_3)^2$, our hypothesis implies that one has $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X\cup L_1'\cup L_1''}(2)) = 0$. One deduces an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1' \cup L_1'') \longrightarrow I(X \cup L_1' \cup L_1'') \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi)} S(L_1)_+(-2) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

It remains to notice that ℓ_0F_2 and ℓ_1F_2 belong to $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X\cup L_1'\cup L_1''}(3))$ and that $\psi(\ell_iF_2) = \eta(\ell_iF_2) = x_i \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(1))$, $i = 0,\, 1$.

Notice, also, that using the last exact sequence and the resolution of $S(L_1)_+$ from the discussion following Prop. A.12 one can get a non-minimal graded free resolution of $I(X \cup L_1' \cup L_1'')$ of length 3. Using Remark A.9 one can get from it a minimal free resolution (of length 2).

(c) In this case one gets an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1' \cup L_1'') \longrightarrow I(X \cup L_1' \cup L_1'') \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi)} S(L_1)(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0$$

and it suffices to notice that $F_2 - x_2F_2' - x_3F_2'' = \ell_0F_2' + \ell_1F_2''$ belongs to $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X\cup L_1'\cup L_1''}(l+2))$ and that $\psi(F_2 - x_2F_2' - x_3F_2'') = \eta(F_2 - x_2F_2' - x_3F_2'') = 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$. Notice, also, that using the last exact sequence one can get a graded free resolution of $I(X \cup L_1' \cup L_1'')$. $\square$

B.4. **A double line union a conic.** Let X be the double structure on the line L_1 considered at the beginning of Subsection B.1 and let C be a (nonsingular) conic in $\mathbb{P}^3$. One has to consider the following four possibilities:

(i) $L_1 \cap C = \emptyset$;
(ii) $L_1 \cap C \neq \emptyset$ and L_1 is not contained in the plane of C;
(iii) L_1 tangent to C;
(iv) $L_1 \cap C$ consists of two points.

We recall the following elementary:

Lemma B.37. *Let* Q_0, Q_1, Q_2 *be the coordinate points* $(1:0:0)$, $(0:1:0)$, $(0:0:1)$ *of* $\mathbb{P}^2$. *Consider a (nonsingular) conic* $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$, *containing* Q_0 *and* Q_1 *and such that* $\mathrm{T}_{Q_0}C = \overline{Q_0Q_2} = \{x_1 = 0\}$ *and* $\mathrm{T}_{Q_1}C = \overline{Q_1Q_2} = \{x_0 = 0\}$. *Then the equation of* C *is of the form* $c_0x_0x_1 + c_1x_2^2 = 0$ *with* c_0, $c_1 \in k \setminus \{0\}$. $\square$

In case (i) one can assume, up to a linear change of coordinates in $\mathbb{P}^3$, that C is contained in the plane $\{x_1 = 0\}$ spanned by P_0, P_2, P_3, that P_2, $P_3 \in C$ and that $\mathrm{T}_{P_2}C = \overline{P_0P_2} = L_2$, $\mathrm{T}_{P_3}C = \overline{P_0P_3} = L_3$. Lemma B.37 implies that C is defined by equations of the form $x_1 = x_0^2 + cx_2x_3 = 0$ with $c \in k \setminus \{0\}$.

In case (ii) one can assume, up to a linear change of coordinates in $\mathbb{P}^3$, that C is contained in the plane $\{x_1 = 0\}$ spanned by P_0, P_2, P_3, that P_0, $P_3 \in C$ and that $\mathrm{T}_{P_0}C =$

$\overline{P_0P_2} = L_2$, $\mathrm{T}_{P_3}C = \overline{P_2P_3} = L_1'$. Lemma B.37 implies that C is defined by equations of the form $x_1 = x_0x_3 + cx_2^2 = 0$ with $c \in k \setminus \{0\}$. Notice that if one takes $c = 0$ then C becomes $L_2 \cup L_1'$.

In case (iii) one can assume, up to a linear change of coordinates in $\mathbb{P}^3$, that C is contained in the plane $\{x_3 = 0\}$ spanned by P_0, P_1, P_2, that P_0, $P_2 \in C$ and that $\mathrm{T}_{P_0}C = \overline{P_0P_1} = L_1$, $\mathrm{T}_{P_2}C = \overline{P_1P_2} = L_3'$. Lemma B.37 implies that C is defined by equations of the form $x_3 = x_1^2 + cx_0x_2 = 0$ with $c \in k \setminus \{0\}$.

In case (iv) one can assume, up to a linear change of coordinates in $\mathbb{P}^3$, that C is contained in the plane $\{x_3 = 0\}$ spanned by P_0, P_1, P_2, that P_0, $P_1 \in C$ and that $\mathrm{T}_{P_0}C = \overline{P_0P_2} = L_2$, $\mathrm{T}_{P_1}C = \overline{P_1P_2} = L_3'$. Lemma B.37 implies that C is defined by equations of the form $x_3 = x_0x_1 + cx_2^2 = 0$ with $c \in k \setminus \{0\}$.

The following lemma follows immediately from Lemma B.1.

Lemma B.38. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the conic of equations $x_1 = x_0^2 + cx_2x_3 = 0$, $c \in k \setminus \{0\}$. Put $q_1 := x_0^2 + cx_2x_3$. Then $I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C)$ is generated by $x_1x_2^2$, $x_1x_2x_3$, $x_1x_3^2$, $x_2^2q_1$, $x_2x_3q_1$, $x_3^2q_1$ and admits the following minimal graded free resolution:*

$$0 \longrightarrow 2S(-6) \xrightarrow{d_2} 2S(-4) \oplus 5S(-5) \xrightarrow{d_1} 3S(-3) \oplus 3S(-4) \xrightarrow{d_0} I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C) \longrightarrow 0$$

with d_1 and d_2 defined by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -q_1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_2 & -x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -q_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -q_1 \\ 0 & 0 & -x_3 & 0 & x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_2 & -x_3 & 0 & x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_2 & 0 & 0 & x_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} q_1 & 0 \\ 0 & q_1 \\ -x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_1 \\ -x_3 & 0 \\ x_2 & -x_3 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proposition B.39. *Let X be the double structure on the line L_1 considered at the beginning of Subsection B.1 and let C be the conic from Lemma B.38.*

(a) *If $l = -1$ then $I(X \cup C) = I(X)I(C)$.*

(b) *If $l = 0$ then, writting $F_2 = x_0F_2' + x_1F_2''$, one has $I(X \cup C) = S(q_1F_2' + x_0x_1F_2'') + Sx_1F_2 + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C)$.*

(c) *If $l \geq 1$ then, writting $F_2 = x_0^2F_2' + x_1F_2''$, one has $I(X \cup C) = S(q_1F_2' + x_1F_2'') + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C)$.*

Proof. Tensorizing by $\mathscr{I}_C$ the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_X \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0$$

one gets an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)} \cup C} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X \cup C} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

It follows from Lemma B.38 that $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)}\cup C}(i)) = 0$ for $i \geq 3$ hence $\mathrm{H}^0(\psi(i))$ is surjective for $i \geq 3$.

(a) In this case, by Lemma B.7, X is the divisor $2L_1$ on the plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ of equation $F_2 = 0$ and the result follows from Lemma B.1. By the same lemma, one can get a graded free resolution of $I(X \cup C)$.

(b) In this case $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X\cup C}(2)) = 0$. *Indeed*, assume that there exists a non-zero $f \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X\cup C}(2))$. Since $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_X(1)) = 0$, f cannot vanish identically on the plane $\{x_1 = 0\}$. On the other hand, f vanishes in $P_0 \in \{x_1 = 0\} \setminus C$ hence it cannot vanish on C which is a *contradiction*.

One deduces, now, an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C) \longrightarrow I(X \cup C) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi)} S(L_1)_+(-2) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

It remains to notice that $q_1F_2' + x_0x_1F_2'' = x_0F_2 + cx_2x_3F_2'$ and x_1F_2 belong to $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X\cup C}(3))$ and that they are mapped by ψ to $x_0 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(1))$ and $x_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(1))$, respectively. Notice, also, that using the above exact sequence and the resolution of $S(L_1)_+$ that can be found in the discussion following Prop. A.12, one can get a graded free resolution of $I(X \cup C)$.

(c) In this case one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C) \longrightarrow I(X \cup C) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi)} S(L_1)(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

It remains to notice that $q_1F_2' + x_1F_2'' = F_2 + cx_2x_3F_2'$ belongs to $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X\cup C}(l+2))$ and that it is mapped by ψ to $1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$. Notice, also, that using the above exact sequence one can get a graded free resolution of $I(X \cup C)$. $\square$

Lemma B.40. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the conic of equations $x_1 = x_0x_3 + cx_2^2 = 0$, $c \in k \setminus \{0\}$. Put $q_2 := x_0x_3 + cx_2^2$. Then $I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C)$ is generated by x_2q_2, x_3q_2, $x_1x_2^2$, $x_1x_2x_3$, $x_1x_3^2$ and admits the following minimal graded free resolution:*

$$0 \longrightarrow S(-5) \xrightarrow{d_2} 5S(-4) \xrightarrow{d_1} 5S(-3) \xrightarrow{d_0} I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C) \longrightarrow 0$$

where d_1 and d_2 are defined by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -x_3 & 0 & 0 & -x_1 & 0 \\ x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_1 \\ 0 & -x_3 & 0 & cx_2 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 & -x_3 & x_0 & cx_2 \\ 0 & 0 & x_2 & 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 \\ cx_2 \\ x_0 \\ x_3 \\ -x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. A homogeneous polynomial $f \in S$ belongs to $I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C)$ if and only if it belongs to $I(L_1^{(1)}) = (x_2, x_3)^2$ and vanishes on C. Consider the subalgebra $R = k[x_0, x_2, x_3]$ of S. For $f \in I(L_1^{(1)})$, one can write:

$$f = f_1 + g \text{ with } f_1 \in Rx_2^2 + Rx_2x_3 + Rx_3^2 \text{ and } g \in x_1I(L_1^{(1)})\,.$$

f_1 can be identified with the restriction of f to the plane $\{x_1 = 0\} \supset C$. f vanishes on C if and only if f_1 belongs to :

$$Rq_2 \cap (Rx_2^2 + Rx_2x_3 + Rx_3^2) = (Rx_2 + Rx_3)q_2\,.$$

One deduces that $I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C)$ is generated by the elements from the statement.

Now, let W_1 be the curve directly linked to $L_1^{(1)} \cup C$ by the complete intersection defined by x_3q_2 and $x_1x_2^2$. We assert that :

$$I(W_1) = (x_0x_3,\ x_0x_1x_2,\ x_1x_2^2,\ x_2^2x_3)\,.$$

Indeed, let J denote the ideal from the right hand side of the equality we want to prove. It is easy to check that :

$$J \cdot I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C) \subseteq (x_3q_2,\ x_1x_2^2)$$

hence $J \subseteq I(W_1)$. On the other hand, as we noticed in the last part of the proof of Lemma B.26, $J = I(W')$ where W' is the curve directly linked to $L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2 \cup L_1'$ by the complete intersection defined by $x_0x_3^2$ and $x_1x_2^2$. Since $\deg W_1 = 4 = \deg W'$ and since $W_1 \subseteq W'$ it follows that $W_1 = W'$ hence $I(W_1) = J$.

As we saw in the last part of the proof of Lemma B.26, W' (hence W_1) is directly linked to $L_1 \cup L_1'$ by the complete intersection defined by x_0x_3 and $x_1x_2^2$. Since $\mathscr{I}_{L_1 \cup L_1'}$ admits a resolution of the form :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-4) \longrightarrow 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-3) \longrightarrow 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1 \cup L_1'} \longrightarrow 0$$

one deduces, from Ferrand's result about liaison, that $\mathscr{I}_{W'}$ has a monad of the form :

$$0 \longrightarrow 3\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-3) \longrightarrow 5\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \longrightarrow 0$$

and, then, that $\mathscr{I}_W$ admits a resolution of the form :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-5) \longrightarrow 5\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-4) \longrightarrow 5\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_W \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

One can easily determine the differentials of this resolution. □

Proposition B.41. *Let X be the double structure on the line L_1 considered at the beginning of Subsection B.1 and let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the conic from Lemma B.40.*

(a) *If $x_1 \mid b$ and $l = -1$ then $I(X \cup C) = (x_0x_3 + cx_2^2,\ x_1x_3)$.*

(b) *If $x_1 \mid b$ and $l \geq 0$ then $I(X \cup C) = S\left(F_2 + c\frac{a(x_0,0)}{x_0}x_2^2\right) + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C)$.*

(c) *If $x_1 \nmid b$ then $I(X \cup C) = Sx_1F_2 + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C)$.*

Proof. Using Lemma B.5, one deduces from the exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_X \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0$$

an exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)} \cup C} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X \cup C} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$$

where ψ is the composite morphism :

$$\mathscr{I}_{X \cup C} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_X \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)\,.$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{Coker}\psi$ is an $\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cap C}=\mathscr{O}_{\{P_0\}}$-module hence $x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)\subseteq \operatorname{Im}\psi\subseteq\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$.

(a) If $l=-1$ then the condition $x_1\mid b$ means that $b=0$, hence $F_2=x_3$, hence $I(X)=(x_3,\,x_2^2)$. It follows that:

$$I(X\cup C)=(x_3,\,x_2^2)\cap(x_1,\,x_0x_3+cx_2^2)=$$
$$(x_3,\,x_0x_3+cx_2^2)\cap(x_1,\,x_0x_3+cx_2^2)=(x_0x_3+cx_2^2,\,x_1x_3)\,.$$

(b) If $x_1\mid b$ and $l\geq 0$ then $F_2+c\frac{a(x_0,0)}{x_0}x_2^2\in I(X\cup C)$ (because $a(x_0,0)x_3+c\frac{a(x_0,0)}{x_0}x_2^2=\frac{a(x_0,0)}{x_0}q_2$). Since $\psi\left(F_2+c\frac{a(x_0,0)}{x_0}x_2^2\right)=\eta\left(F_2+c\frac{a(x_0,0)}{x_0}x_2^2\right)=1\in\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$, one deduces that ψ is an epimorphism and that the sequence:

$$0\longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)}\cup C)\longrightarrow I(X\cup C)\xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi)} S(L_1)(-l-2)\longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Notice that using this exact sequence one can get a graded free resolution of $I(X\cup C)$.

(c) We show, firstly, that:

Claim. $\operatorname{Im}\psi=x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)$.

Indeed, assume that $\operatorname{Im}\psi=\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$. Then, for $m>>0$, there exists $f\in\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X\cup C}(l+2+m))$ such that $\psi(f)=x_0^m\in\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(m))$. Since $\psi(f)=\eta(f)$ it follows that:

$$f=x_0^mF_2+f_0x_2^2+f_1x_2x_3+f_2x_3^2$$

with $f_0,\,f_1,\,f_2\in S_{l+m}$. On the other hand, since $f\in\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_C(l+2+m))$ it follows that:

$$f=g_1x_1+g_2(x_0x_3+cx_2^2)$$

with $g_1\in S_{l+m+1}$ and $g_2\in S_{l+m}$. Restricting the two different formulae of f to the line L_2 of equations $x_1=x_3=0$ one gets:

$$-x_0^mb(x_0,0)x_2+(f_0\,|\,L_2)x_2^2=c(g_2\,|\,L_2)x_2^2\,.$$

Since $x_1\nmid b$ one has $b(x_0,0)\neq 0$ and this leads to a *contradiction.*

It remains that ψ factorizes as:

$$\mathscr{I}_{X\cup C}\xrightarrow{\psi'}\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)\xrightarrow{x_1}\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$$

with ψ' an epimorphism. Since $\psi'(x_1F_2)=1\in\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$ one deduces that the sequence:

$$0\longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)}\cup C)\longrightarrow I(X\cup C)\xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi')} S(L_1)(-l-3)\longrightarrow 0$$

is exact from which the descrition of $I(X\cup C)$ from the statement follows. Notice, also, that using this exact sequence one can get a graded free resolution of $I(X\cup C)$. □

Proposition B.42. *Under the hypothesis of Prop. B.41*:

(a) *If $x_1 \mid b$, i.e., if $b = x_1b_1$ then the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C})$ admits the following free resolution*:

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} 2S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-3) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{matrix} 3S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l-2) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{matrix} S \\ \oplus \\ S(l-1) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\delta_0 = (1\,,\, x_1e_1)$ and δ_1, δ_2 are defined by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_0x_3 + cx_2^2 & x_1x_2 & x_1x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_0b_1 & -a & -b & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ cx_2 & -x_3 & 0 \\ x_0 & x_2 & 0 \\ ca & b & -x_3 \\ 0 & -a & x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(b) *If $x_1 \nmid b$ then the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C})$ admits the following free resolution*:

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} 2S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-2) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{matrix} 3S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l-1) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{matrix} S \\ \oplus \\ S(l) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\delta_0 = (1\,,\, e_1)$ and δ_1, δ_2 are defined by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_0x_3 + cx_2^2 & x_1x_2 & x_1x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_0b & -x_1a & -x_1b & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ cx_2 & -x_3 & 0 \\ x_0 & x_2 & 0 \\ cx_1a & x_1b & -x_3 \\ 0 & -x_1a & x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. One can easily show, as at the beginning of the proof of Lemma B.40, that $I(L_1 \cup C) = (x_0x_3 + cx_2^2,\, x_1x_2,\, x_1x_3)$. $L_1 \cup C$ is directly linked by the complete intersection defined by $x_0x_3 + cx_2^2$ and x_1x_2 to the line whose homogeneous ideal is (x_0, x_2), i.e., to the line L_2'. Using Ferrand's result about liaison, one gets the following free resolution:

$$0 \longrightarrow 2S(-3) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_1 & 0 \\ cx_2 & -x_3 \\ x_0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}} 3S(-2) \xrightarrow{(x_0x_3 + cx_2^2\,,\, x_1x_2\,,\, x_1x_3)} I(L_1 \cup C) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

In particular, $L_1 \cup C$ is arithmetically CM hence the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup C})$ is generated by $1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup C})$.

Now, by Lemma B.5, one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Im}\phi \times \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X\cup C} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup C} \longrightarrow 0$$

where ϕ is the composite morphism:

$$\mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup C} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1}/\mathscr{I}_{L_1}^2 \simeq 2\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-1) \xrightarrow{(a\,,\, b)} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l)\,.$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{Coker}\phi$ is an $\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cap C} = \mathscr{O}_{\{P_0\}}$-module hence $x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l-1) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}\phi \subseteq \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l)$. Notice that :

$$\phi(x_0x_3 + cx_2^2) = x_0b\,,\ \phi(x_1x_2) = x_1a\,,\ \phi(x_1x_3) = x_1b\,.$$

(a) In this case $\operatorname{Im}\phi = x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l-1)$ and one has an exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L_1)(l-1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the left morphism maps $1 \in S(L_1)$ to the element of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C}(-l+1))$ whose image into $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_X(-l+1)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_C(-l+1))$ is $(x_1e_1,\, 0)$.

(b) In this case $\operatorname{Im}\phi = \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l)$ and one has an exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L_1)(l) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the left morphism maps $1 \in S(L_1)$ to the element of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C}(-l))$ whose image into $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_X(-l)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_C(-l))$ is $(e_1,\, 0)$. $\square$

Lemma B.43. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the conic of equations $x_3 = x_1^2 + cx_0x_2 = 0$, $c \in k\setminus\{0\}$. Put $q_3 := x_1^2 + cx_0x_2$. Then $I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C) = (x_2x_3,\, x_3^2,\, x_2^2q_3)$ and admits the following minimal graded free resolution*:

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(-5) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_3 & -x_2q_3 \\ x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix} 2S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ S(-4) \end{matrix} \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Proof. Let $f = f_0x_2^2 + f_1x_2x_3 + f_2x_3^2$ be a homogeneous element of $I(L_1^{(1)})$. Since x_3 vanishes on C it follows that if f vanishes on C then $f_0x_2^2$ vanishes on C hence f_0 vanishes on C hence $f_0 \in (x_3,\, q_3)$. One deduces that $I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C)$ is generated by the elements from the statement.

Now, if W is the curve directly linked to $L_1^{(1)} \cup C$ by the complete intersection defined by x_3^2 and $x_2^2q_3$ then $I(W) = (x_3,\, x_2q_3)$ hence $W = L_1 \cup C$. Using Ferrand's result about liaison one gets the graded free resolution from the statement. $\square$

Proposition B.44. *Let X be the double structure on the line L_1 considered at the beginning of Subsection B.1 and let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the conic from Lemma B.43.*

(a) *If $x_1^2 \mid b$, i.e., if $b = x_1^2b_2$ then*:

$$I(X \cup C) = S(F_2 - cx_0b_2x_2^2) + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C)\,.$$

(b) *If $x_1 \mid b$ but $x_1^2 \nmid b$, i.e., if $b = x_1b_1$ with $x_1 \nmid b_1$ then*:

$$I(X \cup C) = S(x_1F_2 - cx_0b_1x_2^2) + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C)\,.$$

(c) *If $x_1 \nmid b$ then $I(X \cup C) = Sq_3F_2 + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C)$.*

Proof. According to Lemma B.5 there is an exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)}\cup C} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X\cup C} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$$

where ψ is the composite morphism:

$$\mathscr{I}_{X\cup C} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_X \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)\,.$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{Coker}\psi$ is an $\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cap C}$-module, hence $x_1^2\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-4) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}\psi \subseteq \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$.

Claim 1. *If* $\operatorname{Im}\psi = \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$ *then* $x_1^2 \mid b$.

Indeed, since $\mathrm{H}^1_*(\mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)}\cup C}) = 0$ (by Lemma B.43) there exists $f \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X\cup C}(l+2))$ such that $\psi(f) = 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$. Since $\psi(f) = \eta(f)$ it follows that:

$$f = F_2 + f_0x_2^2 + f_1x_2x_3 + f_2x_3^2\,.$$

On the other hand, $f \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_C(l+2))$ hence:

$$f = g_1x_3 + g_2(x_1^2 + cx_0x_2)\,.$$

Restricting the two different expressions of f to the plane H of equation $x_3 = 0$ one gets:

$$-bx_2 + (f_0 \mid H)x_2^2 = (g_2 \mid H)(x_1^2 + cx_0x_2)\,.$$

One deduces that $g_2 \mid H = x_2g_2'$ with $g_2' \in k[x_0, x_1, x_2]$ hence:

$$-b + (f_0 \mid H)x_2 = g_2'(x_1^2 + cx_0x_2)\,.$$

Restricting this relation to the line L_1 of equations $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ one gets $-b = (g_2' \mid L_1)x_1^2$ whence the Claim.

Claim 2. *If* $x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}\psi$ *then* $x_1 \mid b$.

Indeed, since $\mathrm{H}^1_*(\mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)}\cup C}) = 0$ (by Lemma B.43) there exists $f \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X\cup C}(l+3))$ such that $\psi(f) = x_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(1))$. Since $\psi(f) = \eta(f)$ it follows that:

$$f = x_1F_2 + f_0x_2^2 + f_1x_2x_3 + f_2x_3^2\,.$$

On the other hand, $f \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_C(l+2))$ hence:

$$f = g_1x_3 + g_2(x_1^2 + cx_0x_2)\,.$$

Restricting the two different expressions of f to the plane H of equation $x_3 = 0$ one gets:

$$-bx_1x_2 + (f_0 \mid H)x_2^2 = (g_2 \mid H)(x_1^2 + cx_0x_2)\,.$$

One deduces that $g_2 \mid H = x_2g_2'$ with $g_2' \in k[x_0, x_1, x_2]$ hence:

$$-bx_1 + (f_0 \mid H)x_2 = g_2'(x_1^2 + cx_0x_2)\,.$$

Restricting this relation to the line L_1 of equations $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ one gets $-b = (g_2' \mid L_1)x_1$ whence the Claim.

(a) In this case, $F_2 - cx_0b_2x_2^2 = -q_3b_2x_2 + ax_3$ belongs to $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X\cup C}(l+2))$ and $\psi(F_2 - cx_0b_2x_2^2) = \eta(F_2 - cx_0b_2x_2^2) = 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$. It follows that ψ is an epimorphism and that one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C) \longrightarrow I(X \cup C) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi)} S(L_1)(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0$$

from which one gets the generators of $I(X \cup C)$ from the statement. Using this exact sequence one can also get a graded free resolution of $I(X \cup C)$.

(b) In this case, by Claim 1, $\mathrm{Im}\,\psi \subseteq x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)$. On the other hand, $x_1F_2 - cx_0b_1x_2^2 = -q_3b_1x_2 + ax_1x_3$ belongs to $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X\cup C}(l+3))$ and $\psi(x_1F_2 - cx_0b_1x_2^2) = \eta(x_1F_2 - cx_0b_1x_2^2) = x_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(1))$. It follows that ψ factorizes as:

$$\mathscr{I}_{X\cup C} \xrightarrow{\psi'} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3) \xrightarrow{x_1} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$$

with ψ' an epimorphism and that one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C) \longrightarrow I(X \cup C) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi')} S(L_1)(-l-3) \longrightarrow 0$$

from which one gets the generators of $I(X \cup C)$ from the statement. Using this exact sequence one can also get a graded free resolution of $I(X \cup C)$.

(c) In this case, by Claim 2, $\mathrm{Im}\,\psi = x_1^2\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-4)$. q_3F_2 belongs to $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X\cup C}(l+4))$ and $\psi(q_3F_2) = \eta(q_3F_2) = x_1^2 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(2))$. It follows that ψ factorizes as:

$$\mathscr{I}_{X\cup C} \xrightarrow{\psi''} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-4) \xrightarrow{x_1^2} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$$

with ψ'' an epimorphism and that one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C) \longrightarrow I(X \cup C) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi'')} S(L_1)(-l-4) \longrightarrow 0$$

from which one gets the generators of $I(X \cup C)$ from the statement. Using this exact sequence one can also get a graded free resolution of $I(X \cup C)$. $\square$

Proposition B.45. *Under the hypothesis of Prop. B.44:*

(a) *If $x_1^2 \mid b$, i.e., if $b = x_1^2b_2$ then the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C})$ admits the following free resolution:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} S(-4) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-4) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{matrix} S(-1) \\ \oplus \\ S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l-3) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{matrix} S \\ \oplus \\ S(l-2) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\delta_0 = (1\,,\, x_1^2e_1)$ and with δ_1 and δ_2 defined by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_3 & x_2q_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_2 & -a & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_2q_3 & 0 \\ x_3 & 0 \\ -q_3b_2 & -x_3 \\ a & x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(b) *If $x_1 \mid b$ but $x_1^2 \nmid b$, i.e., if $b = x_1b_1$ with $x_1 \nmid b_1$ then the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C})$ admits the following free resolution*:

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} S(-4) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-3) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{matrix} S(-1) \\ \oplus \\ S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l-2) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{matrix} S \\ \oplus \\ S(l-1) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\delta_0 = (1\,,\, x_1e_1)$ and with δ_1 and δ_2 defined by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_3 & x_2q_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_1 & -x_1a & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_2q_3 & 0 \\ x_3 & 0 \\ -q_3b_1 & -x_3 \\ x_1a & x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(c) *If $x_1 \nmid b$ then the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C})$ admits the following free resolution*:

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} S(-4) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-2) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{matrix} S(-1) \\ \oplus \\ S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l-1) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{matrix} S \\ \oplus \\ S(l) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\delta_0 = (1\,,\, e_1)$ and with δ_1 and δ_2 defined by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_3 & x_2q_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -b & -x_1^2a & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_2q_3 & 0 \\ x_3 & 0 \\ -q_3b & -x_3 \\ x_1^2a & x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. One has $I(L_1 \cup C) = (x_3,\, x_2q_3)$ whence an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(-4) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_2q_3 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix} S(-1) \\ \oplus \\ S(-3) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{(x_3\,,\, x_2q_3)} S \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup C}) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Now, by Lemma B.5, one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Im}\,\phi \times \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X\cup C} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup C} \longrightarrow 0$$

where ϕ is the composite morphism:

$$\mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup C} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1}/\mathscr{I}_{L_1}^2 \simeq 2\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-1) \xrightarrow{(a\,,\, b)} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l)\,.$$

Moreover, $\mathrm{Coker}\,\phi$ is an $\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cap C}$-module hence $x_1^2\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l-2) \subseteq \mathrm{Im}\,\phi \subseteq \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l)$. Notice that:

$$\phi(x_3) = b\,,\ \ \phi(x_2q_3) = x_1^2a\,.$$

(a) In this case $\mathrm{Im}\,\phi = x_1^2\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l-2)$ and one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L_1)(l-2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the left morphism maps $1 \in S(L_1)$ to the element of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C}(-l+2))$ whose image into $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_X(-l+2)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_C(-l+2))$ is $(x_1^2 e_1,\, 0)$.

(b) In this case $\mathrm{Im}\,\phi = x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l-1)$ and one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L_1)(l-1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the left morphism maps $1 \in S(L_1)$ to the element of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C}(-l+1))$ whose image into $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_X(-l+1)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_C(-l+1))$ is $(x_1 e_1,\, 0)$.

(c) In this case $\mathrm{Im}\,\phi = \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l)$ and one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L_1)(l) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the left morphism maps $1 \in S(L_1)$ to the element of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C}(-l))$ whose image into $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_X(-l)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_C(-l))$ is $(e_1,\, 0)$. □

Lemma B.46. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the conic of equations $x_3 = x_0x_1 + cx_2^2 = 0$, $c \in k\setminus\{0\}$. Put $q_4 := x_0x_1 + cx_2^2$. Then $I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C) = (x_2x_3,\, x_3^2,\, x_2^2q_4)$ and admits the following minimal graded free resolution:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(-5) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_3 & -x_2q_4 \\ x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix} 2S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ S(-4) \end{matrix} \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Proof. Let $f = f_0x_2^2 + f_1x_2x_3 + f_2x_3^2$ be a homogeneous element of $I(L_1^{(1)})$. Since x_3 vanishes on C it follows that if f vanishes on C then $f_0x_2^2$ vanishes on C hence f_0 vanishes on C hence $f_0 \in (x_3,\, q_4)$. One deduces that $I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C)$ is generated by the elements from the statement.

Now, if W is the curve directly linked to $L_1^{(1)} \cup C$ by the complete intersection defined by x_3^2 and $x_2^2q_4$ then $I(W) = (x_3,\, x_2q_4)$ hence $W = L_1 \cup C$. Using Ferrand's result about liaison one gets the graded free resolution from the statement. □

Proposition B.47. *Let X be the double structure on the line L_1 considered at the beginning of Subsection B.1 and let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the conic from Lemma B.46.*

(a) *If $x_0x_1 \mid b$, i.e., if $b = x_0x_1b_2$ then:*

$$I(X \cup C) = S(F_2 - cb_2x_2^3) + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C)\,.$$

(b) *If $x_0 \mid b$ but $x_1 \nmid b$, i.e., if $b = x_0b_0$ with $x_1 \nmid b_0$ then:*

$$I(X \cup C) = S(x_1F_2 - cb_0x_2^3) + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C)\,.$$

(c) *If $x_1 \mid b$ but $x_0 \nmid b$, i.e., if $b = x_1b_1$ with $x_0 \nmid b_1$ then:*

$$I(X \cup C) = S(x_0F_2 - cb_1x_2^3) + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C)\,.$$

(d) *If $x_0 \nmid b$ and $x_1 \nmid b$ then $I(X \cup C) = Sq_4F_2 + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C)$.*

Proof. According to Lemma B.5 there is an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)} \cup C} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X \cup C} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$$

where ψ is the composite morphism:

$$\mathscr{I}_{X \cup C} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_X \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)\,.$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{Coker}\psi$ is an $\mathscr{O}_{L_1 \cap C} = \mathscr{O}_{\{P_0,P_1\}}$-module, hence $x_0x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-4) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}\psi \subseteq \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$.

Claim 1. *If* $\operatorname{Im}\psi = \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$ *then* $x_0x_1 \mid b$.

Indeed, since $\mathrm{H}^1_*(\mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)} \cup C}) = 0$ (by Lemma B.46) there exists $f \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X \cup C}(l+2))$ such that $\psi(f) = 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$. Since $\psi(f) = \eta(f)$ it follows that:

$$f = F_2 + f_0x_2^2 + f_1x_2x_3 + f_2x_3^2\,.$$

On the other hand, $f \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_C(l+2))$ hence:

$$f = g_1x_3 + g_2(x_0x_1 + cx_2^2)\,.$$

Restricting the two different expressions of f to the plane H of equation $x_3 = 0$ one gets:

$$-bx_2 + (f_0 \mid H)x_2^2 = (g_2 \mid H)(x_0x_1 + cx_2^2)\,.$$

One deduces that $g_2 \mid H = x_2g_2'$ with $g_2' \in k[x_0,x_1,x_2]$ hence:

$$-b + (f_0 \mid H)x_2 = g_2'(x_0x_1 + cx_2^2)\,.$$

Restricting this relation to the line L_1 of equations $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ one gets $-b = (g_2' \mid L_1)x_0x_1$ whence the Claim.

Claim 2. *If* $x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}\psi$ *then* $x_0 \mid b$.

Indeed, since $\mathrm{H}^1_*(\mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)} \cup C}) = 0$ (by Lemma B.46) there exists $f \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X \cup C}(l+3))$ such that $\psi(f) = x_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(1))$. Since $\psi(f) = \eta(f)$ it follows that:

$$f = x_1F_2 + f_0x_2^2 + f_1x_2x_3 + f_2x_3^2\,.$$

On the other hand, $f \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_C(l+2))$ hence:

$$f = g_1x_3 + g_2(x_0x_1 + cx_2^2)\,.$$

Restricting the two different expressions of f to the plane H of equation $x_3 = 0$ one gets:

$$-bx_1x_2 + (f_0 \mid H)x_2^2 = (g_2 \mid H)(x_0x_1 + cx_2^2)\,.$$

One deduces that $g_2 \mid H = x_2g_2'$ with $g_2' \in k[x_0,x_1,x_2]$ hence:

$$-bx_1 + (f_0 \mid H)x_2 = g_2'(x_0x_1 + cx_2^2)\,.$$

Restricting this relation to the line L_1 of equations $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ one gets $-b = (g_2' \mid L_1)x_0$ whence the Claim. Similarly, one has:

Claim 3. *If* $x_0\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}\psi$ *then* $x_1 \mid b$.

(a) In this case, $F_2 - cb_2x_2^3 = -q_4b_2x_2 + ax_3$ belongs to $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X\cup C}(l+2))$ and $\psi(F_2 - cb_2x_2^3) = \eta(F_2 - cb_2x_2^3) = 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$. It follows that ψ is an epimorphism and that one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C) \longrightarrow I(X \cup C) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi)} S(L_1)(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0$$

from which one gets the generators of $I(X \cup C)$ from the statement. Using this exact sequence one can also get a graded free resolution of $I(X \cup C)$.

(b) In this case, by Claim 1, $\mathrm{Im}\,\psi \neq \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$. On the other hand, $x_1F_2 - cb_0x_2^3 = -q_4b_0x_2 + ax_1x_3$ belongs to $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X\cup C}(l+3))$ and $\psi(x_1F_2 - cb_0x_2^3) = \eta(x_1F_2 - cb_0x_2^3) = x_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(1))$. It follows that ψ factorizes as:

$$\mathscr{I}_{X\cup C} \xrightarrow{\psi_1'} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3) \xrightarrow{x_1} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$$

with ψ_1' an epimorphism and that one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C) \longrightarrow I(X \cup C) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi_1')} S(L_1)(-l-3) \longrightarrow 0$$

from which one gets the generators of $I(X \cup C)$ from the statement. Using this exact sequence one can also get a graded free resolution of $I(X \cup C)$.

(c) In this case, by Claim 1, $\mathrm{Im}\,\psi \neq \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$. On the other hand, $x_0F_2 - cb_1x_2^3 = -q_4b_1x_2 + ax_0x_3$ belongs to $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X\cup C}(l+3))$ and $\psi(x_0F_2 - cb_1x_2^3) = \eta(x_0F_2 - cb_1x_2^3) = x_0 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(1))$. It follows that ψ factorizes as:

$$\mathscr{I}_{X\cup C} \xrightarrow{\psi_0'} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3) \xrightarrow{x_0} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$$

with ψ_0' an epimorphism and that one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C) \longrightarrow I(X \cup C) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi_0')} S(L_1)(-l-3) \longrightarrow 0$$

from which one gets the generators of $I(X \cup C)$ from the statement. Using this exact sequence one can also get a graded free resolution of $I(X \cup C)$.

(d) In this case, by Claims 2 and 3, $\mathrm{Im}\,\psi = x_0x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-4)$. q_4F_2 belongs to $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X\cup C}(l+4))$ and $\psi(q_4F_2) = \eta(q_4F_2) = x_0x_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(2))$. It follows that ψ factorizes as:

$$\mathscr{I}_{X\cup C} \xrightarrow{\psi''} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-4) \xrightarrow{x_0x_1} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$$

with ψ'' an epimorphism and that one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup C) \longrightarrow I(X \cup C) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi'')} S(L_1)(-l-4) \longrightarrow 0$$

from which one gets the generators of $I(X \cup C)$ from the statement. Using this exact sequence one can also get a graded free resolution of $I(X \cup C)$. □

Proposition B.48. *Under the hypothesis of Prop. B.47*:

(a) *If* $x_0x_1 \mid b$, *i.e., if* $b = x_0x_1b_2$ *then the graded* S*-module* $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C})$ *admits the following free resolution*:

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} S(-4) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-4) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{matrix} S(-1) \\ \oplus \\ S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l-3) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{matrix} S \\ \oplus \\ S(l-2) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\delta_0 = (1\,,\, x_0x_1e_1)$ *and with* δ_1 *and* δ_2 *defined by the matrices*:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_3 & x_2q_4 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_2 & -a & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_2q_4 & 0 \\ x_3 & 0 \\ -q_4b_2 & -x_3 \\ a & x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(b) *If* $x_0 \mid b$ *but* $x_1 \nmid b$, *i.e., if* $b = x_0b_0$ *with* $x_1 \nmid b_0$ *then the graded* S*-module* $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C})$ *admits the following free resolution*:

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} S(-4) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-3) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{matrix} S(-1) \\ \oplus \\ S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l-2) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{matrix} S \\ \oplus \\ S(l-1) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\delta_0 = (1\,,\, x_0e_1)$ *and with* δ_1 *and* δ_2 *defined by the matrices*:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_3 & x_2q_4 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_0 & -x_1a & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_2q_4 & 0 \\ x_3 & 0 \\ -q_4b_0 & -x_3 \\ x_1a & x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(c) *If* $x_1 \mid b$ *but* $x_0 \nmid b$, *i.e., if* $b = x_1b_1$ *with* $x_0 \nmid b_1$ *then the graded* S*-module* $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C})$ *admits the following free resolution*:

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} S(-4) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-3) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{matrix} S(-1) \\ \oplus \\ S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l-2) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{matrix} S \\ \oplus \\ S(l-1) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\delta_0 = (1\,,\, x_1e_1)$ *and with* δ_1 *and* δ_2 *defined by the matrices*:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_3 & x_2q_4 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_1 & -x_0a & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_2q_4 & 0 \\ x_3 & 0 \\ -q_4b_1 & -x_3 \\ x_0a & x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(d) *If $x_0 \nmid b$ and $x_1 \nmid b$ then the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C})$ admits the following free resolution:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} S(-4) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-2) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{matrix} S(-1) \\ \oplus \\ S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l-1) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{matrix} S \\ \oplus \\ S(l) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\delta_0 = (1\,,\, e_1)$ and with δ_1 and δ_2 defined by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_3 & x_2q_4 & 0 & 0 \\ -b & -x_0x_1a & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_2q_4 & 0 \\ x_3 & 0 \\ -q_4b & -x_3 \\ x_0x_1a & x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. One has $I(L_1 \cup C) = (x_3,\, x_2q_4)$ whence an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(-4) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_2q_4 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix} S(-1) \\ \oplus \\ S(-3) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{(x_3\,,\, x_2q_4)} S \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup C}) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Now, by Lemma B.5, one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Im}\phi \times \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X\cup C} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup C} \longrightarrow 0$$

where ϕ is the composite morphism:

$$\mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup C} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1}/\mathscr{I}^2_{L_1} \simeq 2\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-1) \xrightarrow{(a\,,\, b)} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l)\,.$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{Coker}\phi$ is an $\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cap C} = \mathscr{O}_{\{P_0,P_1\}}$-module hence $x_0x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l-2) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}\phi \subseteq \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l)$. Notice that:

$$\phi(x_3) = b\,,\ \ \phi(x_2q_4) = x_0x_1a\,.$$

(a) In this case $\operatorname{Im}\phi = x_0x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l-2)$ and one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L_1)(l-2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the left morphism maps $1 \in S(L_1)$ to the element of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C}(-l+2))$ whose image into $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_X(-l+2)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_C(-l+2))$ is $(x_0x_1e_1,\, 0)$.

(b) In this case $\operatorname{Im}\phi = x_0\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l-1)$ and one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L_1)(l-1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the left morphism maps $1 \in S(L_1)$ to the element of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C}(-l+1))$ whose image into $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_X(-l+1)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_C(-l+1))$ is $(x_0e_1,\, 0)$.

(c) In this case $\operatorname{Im}\phi = x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l-1)$ and one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L_1)(l-1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the left morphism maps $1 \in S(L_1)$ to the element of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C}(-l+1))$ whose image into $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_X(-l+1)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_C(-l+1))$ is $(x_1e_1,\, 0)$.

(d) In this case $\operatorname{Im}\phi = \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l)$ and one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L_1)(l) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cup C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the left morphism maps $1 \in S(L_1)$ to the element of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup C}(-l))$ whose image into $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_X(-l)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_C(-l))$ is $(e_1,\, 0)$. $\square$

B.5. **Union of two double lines.** Let X, X' and X'' be double structures on the lines L_1, L_1' and L_2, respectively, defined by exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_X \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l) \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X'} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1'} \xrightarrow{\pi'} \mathscr{O}_{L_1'}(l') \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X''} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_2} \xrightarrow{\pi''} \mathscr{O}_{L_2}(l'') \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

where π, π', π'' are composite morphisms:

$$\mathscr{I}_{L_1} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1}/\mathscr{I}^2_{L_1} \simeq 2\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-1) \xrightarrow{(a\,,\,b)} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l)\,,$$

$$\mathscr{I}_{L_1'} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1'}/\mathscr{I}^2_{L_1'} \simeq 2\mathscr{O}_{L_1'}(-1) \xrightarrow{(a',\,b')} \mathscr{O}_{L_1'}(l')\,,$$

$$\mathscr{I}_{L_2} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_2}/\mathscr{I}^2_{L_2} \simeq 2\mathscr{O}_{L_2}(-1) \xrightarrow{(a'',\,b'')} \mathscr{O}_{L_2}(l'')\,.$$

Putting $F_2 := -b(x_0,x_1)x_2 + a(x_0,x_1)x_3$, $F_2' := -b'(x_2,x_3)x_0 + a'(x_2,x_3)x_1$ and $F_2'' := -b''(x_0,x_2)x_1 + a''(x_0,x_2)x_3$ one has:

$$I(X) = (F_2,\, x_2^2,\, x_2x_2,\, x_3^2)\,,\ I(X') = (F_2',\, x_0^2,\, x_0x_1,\, x_1^2)\,,$$
$$I(X'') = (F_2'',\, x_1^2,\, x_1x_3,\, x_3^2)\,.$$

Recall, also, from the beginning of Subsection B.1, the exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_X \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1'^{(1)}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X'} \xrightarrow{\eta'} \mathscr{O}_{L_1'}(-l'-2) \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_2^{(1)}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X''} \xrightarrow{\eta''} \mathscr{O}_{L_2}(-l''-2) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

The following result is an immediate consequence of Lemma B.1:

Lemma B.49. *$I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1'^{(1)}) = (x_0^2,\, x_0x_1,\, x_1^2)(x_2^2,\, x_2x_3,\, x_3^2)$ and the tensor product of the complexes:*

$$2S(-3) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_3 & 0 \\ x_2 & -x_3 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}} 3S(-2)\,,\ 2S(-3) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_1 & 0 \\ x_0 & -x_1 \\ 0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}} 3S(-2)$$

is a minimal graded free resolution of this ideal. $\square$

Lemma B.50. *With the notation introduced at the beginning of this subsection*:

(a) *If* $l = -1$ *then* $I(X \cup L_1'^{(1)}) = Sx_0^2F_2 + Sx_0x_1F_2 + Sx_1^2F_2 + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1'^{(1)})$.

(b) *If* $l = 0$ *then* $I(X \cup L_1'^{(1)}) = Sx_0F_2 + Sx_1F_2 + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1'^{(1)})$.

(c) *If* $l \geq 1$ *then* $I(X \cup L_1'^{(1)}) = SF_2 + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1'^{(1)})$.

Proof. Tensorizing by $\mathscr{I}_{L_1'^{(1)}}$ the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_X \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0$$

one gets an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1'^{(1)}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X \cup L_1'^{(1)}} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Lemma B.49 implies that $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1'^{(1)}}(i)) = 0$ for $i \geq 3$. On the other hand, one has $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{L_1 \cup L_1'^{(1)}}(2)) = 0$ (by Lemma B.1) hence $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X \cup L_1'^{(1)}}(2)) = 0$. One deduces that:

$$\mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi) = \textstyle\bigoplus_{i \geq 3} \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2+i))\,.$$

(a) In this case X is the divisor $2L_1$ on the plane $H \supset L_1$ of equation $F_2 = 0$ hence a complete intersection. The assertion from the statement follows, now, from Lemma B.1. Notice that, using the same lemma, one can get a minimal graded free resolution of $I(X \cup L_1'^{(1)})$.

(b) In this case one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1'^{(1)}) \longrightarrow I(X \cup L_1'^{(1)}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi)} S(L_1)_+(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

The assertion from the statement follows noticing that x_0F_2 and x_1F_2 belong to $I(X \cup L_1'^{(1)})$. Notice, also, that using the above exact sequence one can get a graded free resolution of $I(X \cup L_1'^{(1)})$ (a minimal free resolution of the graded S-module $S(L_1)_+$ can be found in the discussion following Prop. A.12).

(c) In this case one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1'^{(1)}) \longrightarrow I(X \cup L_1'^{(1)}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi)} S(L_1)(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

The assertion from the statement follows noticing that F_2 belongs to $I(X \cup L_1'^{(1)})$. Notice, also, that using the above exact sequence one can get a graded free resolution of $I(X \cup L_1'^{(1)})$. □

Proposition B.51. *With the notation from the beginning of this subsection, assume that* $l \geq l'$.

(a) *If* $l = -1$ (*hence* $l' = -1$) *then* $I(X \cup X') = Sx_0^2F_2 + Sx_0x_1F_2 + Sx_1^2F_2 + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup X')$.

(b) *If* $l = 0$ *and* $l' = -1$ *then* $I(X \cup X') = Sx_0F_2 + Sx_1F_2 + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup X')$.

(c) *If* $l = 0$, $l' = 0$ *and* $kF_2 \neq kF_2'$ *then* $I(X \cup X') = Sx_0F_2 + Sx_1F_2 + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup X')$.

(d) *If* $l = 0$, $l' = 0$ *and* $kF_2 = kF_2'$ *then* $I(X \cup X') = SF_2 + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1'^{(1)})$, *i.e.*, $X \cup X'$ *is the divisor* $2L_1 + 2L_1'$ *on the quadric surface* $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ *of equation* $F_2 = 0$.

(e) *If* $l \geq 1$ *then* $I(X \cup X') = SF_2 + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup X')$.

Notice that, by Lemma B.50,

$$I(L_1^{(1)} \cup X') = \begin{cases} Sx_2^2F_2' + Sx_2x_3F_2' + Sx_3^2F_2' + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1'^{(1)})\,, & \text{if } l' = -1\,; \\ Sx_2F_2' + Sx_3F_2' + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1'^{(1)})\,, & \text{if } l' = 0\,; \\ SF_2' + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1'^{(1)})\,, & \text{if } l' \geq 1\,. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Tensorizing by $\mathscr{I}_{X'}$ the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_X \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0$$

one gets an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)} \cup X'} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X \cup X'} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\psi}} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Recall, from the proof of Lemma B.50, that one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)} \cup L_1'^{(1)}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)} \cup X'} \xrightarrow{\psi'} \mathscr{O}_{L_1'}(-l'-2) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Using Lemma B.49 one deduces that $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)} \cup X'}(i)) = 0$ for $i \geq \max(3,\, l'+1)$.

(a) In this case X and X' are complete intersections hence, by Lemma B.1, $I(X \cup X') = I(X)I(X')$. From the same lemma one can get a minimal free resolution of $I(X \cup X')$.

(b) In this case one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)} \cup X'} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X \cup X'} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\psi}} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-2) \longrightarrow 0$$

and $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)} \cup X'}(i)) = 0$ for $i \geq 3$. We assert that $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X \cup X'}(2)) = 0$.

Indeed, all the quadric surfaces containing X are nonsingular. X' is the divisor $2L_1'$ on the plane $H \supset L_1'$ of equation $F_2' = 0$. The intersection of H with a nonsingular quadric containing L_1' is the union of L_1' and of another (different) line. It follows that no quadric surface containing X can contain X'.

One deduces, now, that one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup X') \longrightarrow I(X \cup X') \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\widetilde{\psi})} S(L_1)_+(-2) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

The assertion from the statement follows noticing that x_0F_2 and x_1F_2 belong to $I(X \cup X')$. Notice, also, that using the above exact sequence one can get a graded free resolution of $I(X \cup X')$ (a minimal free resolution of the graded S-module $S(L_1)_+$ can be found in the discussion following Prop. A.12).

(c) It follows, from Prop. B.8, that $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X \cup L_1'}(2)) = kF_2$ and that $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{L_1 \cup X'}(2)) = kF_2'$. One deduces, from the hypothesis, that $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X \cup X'}(2)) = 0$. One can use, now, the same argument as in case (b).

(d) The argument used in case (c) shows that $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X\cup X'}(2)) = kF_2 = kF_2'$. One deduces the existence of an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup X') \longrightarrow I(X \cup X') \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\widetilde{\psi})} S(L_1)(-2) \longrightarrow 0$$

from which the assertion from the statement follows. Notice, also, that using this exact sequence one can get a graded free resolution of $I(X \cup X')$.

(e) In this case one has $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)}\cup X'}(l+2)) = 0$ (one takes into account that $l \geq l'$). One deduces the existence of an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup X') \longrightarrow I(X \cup X') \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\widetilde{\psi})} S(L_1)(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0$$

from which the assertion from the statement follows. Notice, also, that using this exact sequence one can get a graded free resolution of $I(X \cup X')$. ☐

Lemma B.52. *$I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2^{(1)}) = (x_3,\, x_1x_2)^2 = (x_3^2,\, x_1x_2x_3,\, x_1^2x_2^2)$ and admits the following minimal graded free resolution:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} S(-4) \\ \oplus \\ S(-5) \end{array} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_1x_2 & 0 \\ x_3 & -x_1x_2 \\ 0 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{array}{c} S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(-4) \end{array} \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2^{(1)}) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Proof. $I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2^{(1)}) = (x_2^2,\, x_2x_2,\, x_3^2) \cap (x_1^2,\, x_1x_3,\, x_3^2) = (x_3^2,\, x_1x_2x_3,\, x_1^2x_2^2)$. $L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2^{(1)}$ is directly linked to $L_1 \cup L_2$ by the complete intersection defined by x_3^2 and $x_1^2x_2^2$. Applying Ferrand's result about liaison one gets the minimal free resolution from the statement. ☐

Lemma B.53. *With the notation introduced at the beginning of this subsection:*

(a) *If $x_1 \mid b$ then $I(X \cup L_2^{(1)}) = Sx_1F_2 + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2^{(1)})$.*

(b) *If $x_1 \nmid b$ then $I(X \cup L_2^{(1)}) = Sx_1^2F_2 + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2^{(1)})$.*

Proof. According to Lemma B.5, one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)}\cup L_2^{(1)}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X\cup L_2^{(1)}} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$$

where ψ is the composite morphism:

$$\mathscr{I}_{X\cup L_2^{(1)}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_X \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)\,.$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{Coker}\psi$ is an $\mathscr{O}_{L_1\cap L_2^{(1)}}$-module. Since $I(L_1 \cap L_2^{(1)}) = (x_1^2,\, x_2,\, x_3)$ it follows that $x_1^2\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-4) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}\psi \subseteq \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$.

Claim 1. $\operatorname{Im}\psi \subseteq x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)$.

Indeed, assume that $\operatorname{Im}\psi = \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$. Since, by Lemma B.52, $\mathrm{H}^1_*(\mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)}\cup L_2^{(1)}}) = 0$ there exists $f \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X\cup L_2^{(1)}}(l+2))$ such that $\psi(f) = 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$. Since $\psi(f) = \eta(f)$ it follows that:

$$f = F_2 + f_0x_2^2 + f_1x_2x_3 + f_2x_3^2\,.$$

On the other hand, since $f \in I(L_2^{(1)})$ one has:

$$f = g_0x_1^2 + g_1x_1x_3 + g_2x_3^2\,.$$

Comparing the two different expressions of f one deduces that each monomial appearing in $F_2 := -b(x_0,x_1)x_2 + a(x_0,x_1)x_3$ must be divisible by one of the monomials x_1^2, x_1x_3, x_2^2, x_2x_3, x_3^2. It follows that $x_1^2 \mid b$ and $x_1 \mid a$ which is *not possible* because a and b are coprime.

(a) In this case $b = x_1b_1$ hence $x_1F_2 = -b_1x_1^2x_2 + ax_1x_3$ belongs to $I(X\cup L_2^{(1)})$. Since $\psi(x_1F_2) = \eta(x_1F_2) = x_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(1))$ one deduces, taking into account Claim 1, that ψ factorizes as:

$$\mathscr{I}_{X\cup L_2^{(1)}} \xrightarrow{\psi'} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3) \xrightarrow{x_1} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$$

with ψ' and epimorphism and that one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)}\cup L_2^{(1)}) \longrightarrow I(X\cup L_2^{(1)}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi')} S(L_1)(-l-3) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Using this exact sequence one sees that $I(X\cup L_2^{(1)})$ is generated by the elements from the statement. One can also get, from this sequence, a graded free resolution of this ideal.

(b) Using the same kind of argument as in the proof of Claim 1 one shows that:

Claim 2. *If $x_1 \nmid b$ then $\operatorname{Im}\psi = x_1^2\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-4)$ (that is, it is not equal to $x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)$).*

Since $x_1^2F_2 \in I(X\cup L_2^{(1)})$ and $\psi(x_1^2F_2) = \eta(x_1^2F_2) = x_1^2 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(2))$ one deduces, taking into account Claim 2, that ψ factorizes as:

$$\mathscr{I}_{X\cup L_2^{(1)}} \xrightarrow{\psi''} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-4) \xrightarrow{x_1^2} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$$

with ψ'' and epimorphism and that one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)}\cup L_2^{(1)}) \longrightarrow I(X\cup L_2^{(1)}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\psi'')} S(L_1)(-l-4) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Using this exact sequence one sees that $I(X\cup L_2^{(1)})$ is generated by the elements from the statement. One can also get, from this sequence, a graded free resolution of this ideal. $\square$

Proposition B.54. *With the notation introduced at the beginning of this subsection, assume that $l \geq l''$.*

(a) *If $x_1 \mid b$ (i.e., $b = x_1b_1$), $x_2 \mid b''$ (i.e., $b'' = x_2b_1''$) and $\frac{b_1(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)} = \frac{b_1''(x_0,0)}{a''(x_0,0)}$ then:*

$$I(X\cup X'') = S\left(F_2 + b_1(x_0,0)x_1x_2 - a(x_0,0)x_3 + \tfrac{a(x_0,0)}{a''(x_0,0)}F_2''\right) + Sx_2F_2'' + I(L_1^{(1)}\cup L_2^{(1)})\,.$$

(b) *If* $x_1 \mid b$ (*i.e.*, $b = x_1b_1$), $x_2 \mid b''$ (*i.e.*, $b'' = x_2b_1''$) *and* $\frac{b_1(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)} \neq \frac{b_1''(x_0,0)}{a''(x_0,0)}$ *then*:

$$I(X \cup X'') = Sx_1F_2 + Sx_2F_2'' + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2^{(1)})\,.$$

(c) *If* $x_1 \mid b$ *and* $x_2 \nmid b''$ *then*:

$$I(X \cup X'') = Sx_1F_2 + Sx_2^2F_2'' + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2^{(1)})\,.$$

(d) *If* $x_1 \nmid b$ *and* $x_2 \mid b''$ *then*:

$$I(X \cup X'') = Sx_1^2F_2 + Sx_2F_2'' + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2^{(1)})\,.$$

(e) *If* $x_1 \nmid b$ *and* $x_2 \nmid b''$ *then*:

$$I(X \cup X'') = S\left(x_1F_2 + b(x_0,0)x_1x_2 + \tfrac{b(x_0,0)}{b''(x_0,0)}x_2F_2''\right) + Sx_2^2F_2'' + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2^{(1)})\,.$$

Proof. From Lemma B.5, one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1^{(1)} \cup X''} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X \cup X''} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\psi}} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$$

with $\widetilde{\psi}$ the composite morphism:

$$\mathscr{I}_{X \cup X''} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_X \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)\,.$$

By Lemma B.53:

$$I(L_1^{(1)} \cup X'') = \begin{cases} Sx_2F_2'' + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2^{(1)})\,, & \text{if } x_2 \mid b''\,; \\ Sx_2^2F_2'' + I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2^{(1)})\,, & \text{if } x_2 \nmid b''\,. \end{cases}$$

Now, $\widetilde{\psi}$ can be also written as a composite morphism:

$$\mathscr{I}_{X \cup X''} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X \cup L_2} \xrightarrow{\overline{\psi}} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$$

with $\overline{\psi}$ the composite morphism $\mathscr{I}_{X \cup L_2} \to \mathscr{I}_X \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$. By Lemma B.5 again, one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X \cup X''} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X \cup L_2} \xrightarrow{\phi''} \mathscr{O}_{L_2}(l'')$$

where ϕ'' is the composite morphism:

$$\mathscr{I}_{X \cup L_2} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_2} \xrightarrow{\pi''} \mathscr{O}_{L_2}(l'')\,.$$

By Prop. B.10 one has:

$$I(X \cup L_2) = \begin{cases} (F_2,\, x_2x_3,\, x_3^2,\, x_1x_2^2)\,, & \text{if } x_1 \mid b\,; \\ (x_1F_2,\, x_2x_3,\, x_3^2,\, x_1x_2^2)\,, & \text{if } x_1 \nmid b\,. \end{cases}$$

Recalling, from the beginning of this subsection, the defintion of π'' one gets:

$$\begin{gathered}\phi''(F_2) = -b_1(x_0,0)x_2a'' + a(x_0,0)b''\,, \quad \text{if } b = x_1b_1\,,\\ \phi''(x_1F_2) = -b(x_0,0)x_2a''\,, \quad \text{if } x_1 \nmid b\,,\\ \phi''(x_2x_3) = x_2b''\,,\ \phi''(x_3^2) = 0\,,\ \phi''(x_1x_2^2) = x_2^2a''\,.\end{gathered}$$

Claim 1. (i) *If* $x_1 \mid b$ *then* $x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}\widetilde{\psi} \subseteq \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$.
(ii) *If* $x_1 \nmid b$ *then* $x_1^2\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-4) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}\widetilde{\psi} \subseteq x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)$.

Indeed, in case (i) $b = x_1b_1$ hence x_1F_2 belongs to $I(X \cup L_2^{(1)}) \subseteq I(X \cup X'')$ and $\widetilde{\psi}(x_1F_2) = \eta(x_1F_2) = x_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(1))$.

In case (ii), it follows from the proof of Prop. B.10 that $\operatorname{Im}\overline{\psi} = x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)$ hence $\operatorname{Im}\widetilde{\psi} \subseteq x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)$. On the other hand, by Lemma B.5, $\operatorname{Coker}\widetilde{\psi}$ is an $\mathscr{O}_{L_1 \cap X''}$-module. Since $I(X'') = (F_2'',\, x_1^2,\, x_1x_3,\, x_3^2)$ one deduces that $x_1^2\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-4) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}\widetilde{\psi}$.

Claim 2. *If* $x_1 \mid b$ (*i.e., if* $b = x_1b_1$) *and* $\operatorname{Im}\widetilde{\psi} = \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$ *then* $x_2 \mid b''$ (*i.e.,* $b'' = x_2b_1''$) *and* $\frac{b_1(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)} = \frac{b_1''(x_0,0)}{a''(x_0,0)}$.

Indeed, if $\operatorname{Im}\widetilde{\psi} = \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$ then, for $m >> 0$, there exists $f \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X \cup X''}(l+2+m))$ such that $\widetilde{\psi}(f) = x_0^m \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(m))$. Since $f \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X \cup L_2}(l+2+m))$ and $\widetilde{\psi}(f) = \overline{\psi}(f)$ it follows that:

$$f = x_0^mF_2 + f_0x_2x_3 + f_1x_3^2 + f_2x_1x_2^2\,.$$

On the other hand, $\phi''(f) = 0$ hence:

$$-x_0^mb_1(x_0,0)x_2a'' + x_0^ma(x_0,0)b'' + (f_0 \mid L_2)x_2b'' + (f_2 \mid L_2)x_2^2a'' = 0\,.$$

Since $x_1 \mid b$ and a and b are coprime it follows that $x_1 \nmid a$ hence $a(x_0,0) \neq 0$. One deduces, now, from the last relation, that $x_2 \mid b''$, i.e., $b'' = x_2b_1''$ and then that:

$$(x_0^ma(x_0,0) + (f_0 \mid L_2)x_2)b_1'' = (x_0^mb_1(x_0,0) - (f_2 \mid L_2)x_2)a''\,.$$

Since a'' and b_1'' are coprime it follows that there exists $g \in k[x_0,x_2]$ such that:

$$\begin{gathered}x_0^ma(x_0,0) + (f_0 \mid L_2)x_2 = ga''\,,\\ x_0^mb_1(x_0,0) - (f_2 \mid L_2)x_2 = gb_1''\,.\end{gathered}$$

Restricting these two relations to the line L_1 of equations $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ and then dividing the second relation by the first one one gets $\frac{b_1(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)} = \frac{b_1''(x_0,0)}{a''(x_0,0)}$.

Claim 3. *If* $x_1 \nmid b$ *and* $\operatorname{Im}\widetilde{\psi} = x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)$ *then* $x_2 \nmid b''$.

Indeed, if $\operatorname{Im}\widetilde{\psi} = x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)$ then, for $m >> 0$, there exists $f \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X \cup X''}(l+3+m))$ such that $\widetilde{\psi}(f) = x_0^mx_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(m+1))$. Since $f \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_{X \cup L_2}(l+3+m))$ and $\widetilde{\psi}(f) = \overline{\psi}(f)$ it follows that:

$$f = x_0^mx_1F_2 + f_0x_2x_3 + f_1x_3^2 + f_2x_1x_2^2\,.$$

On the other hand, $\phi''(f) = 0$ hence :

$$-x_0^m b(x_0,0)x_2a'' + (f_0 \mid L_2)x_2b'' + (f_2 \mid L_2)x_2^2a'' = 0$$

from which one deduces that :

$$(f_0 \mid L_2)b'' = (x_0^m b(x_0,0) - (f_2 \mid L_2)x_2)a'' \, .$$

Restricting the last relation to the line L_1 of equations $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ one gets :

$$x_0^m b(x_0,0)a''(x_0,0) = f_0(x_0,0,0,0)b''(x_0,0) \, .$$

Since $x_1 \nmid b$ one has $b(x_0,0) \neq 0$. If one would have $x_2 \mid b''$, which is equivalent to $b''(x_0,0) = 0$, it would follow that $a''(x_0,0) = 0$, i.e., that $x_2 \mid a''$ which would *contradict* the fact that a'' and b'' are coprime. It thus remains that $x_2 \nmid b''$.

(a) Let us put :

$$\Phi := F_2 + b_1(x_0,0)x_1x_2 - a(x_0,0)x_3 + \tfrac{a(x_0,0)}{a''(x_0,0)}F_2'' \, .$$

One has :

$$F_2 + b_1(x_0,0)x_1x_2 - a(x_0,0)x_3 \in (x_1x_3,\, x_1^2x_2) \subset I(L_1 \cup L_2^{(1)}) \, ,$$

$$b_1(x_0,0)x_1x_2 - a(x_0,0)x_3 + \tfrac{a(x_0,0)}{a''(x_0,0)}F_2'' =$$

$$= \tfrac{a(x_0,0)}{a''(x_0,0)}(b_1''(x_0,0)x_1x_2 - a''(x_0,0)x_3 + F_2'') \in (x_2x_3,\, x_1x_2^2) \subset I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2) \, .$$

It follows that $\Phi \in I(X \cup X'')$ and that $\widetilde{\psi}(\Phi) = \eta(\Phi) = \eta(F_2) = 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$. One deduces the exactness of the sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup X'') \longrightarrow I(X \cup X'') \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\widetilde{\psi})} S(L_1)(-l-2) \longrightarrow 0 \, .$$

This implies that $I(X \cup X'')$ is generated by the elements from the statement. Using this exact sequence one can also get a graded free resolution of $I(X \cup X'')$.

Notice, also, that, as a consequence of the above relations :

$$x_1\left(b_1(x_0,0)x_1x_2 - a(x_0,0)x_3 + \tfrac{a(x_0,0)}{a''(x_0,0)}F_2''\right) \in (x_1x_2x_3,\, x_1^2x_2^2) \subset I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2^{(1)})$$

hence $x_1F_2 \equiv x_1\Phi \pmod{I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2^{(1)})}$.

(b) It follows, from Claim 1(i) and Claim 2, that $\operatorname{Im}\widetilde{\psi} = x_1\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3)$ hence $\widetilde{\psi}$ factorizes as :

$$\mathscr{I}_{X\cup X''} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\psi}'} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3) \xrightarrow{x_1} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$$

with $\widetilde{\psi}'$ an epimorphism. Since :

$$x_1F_2 = -b_1x_1^2x_2 + ax_1x_3 \in I(X \cup L_2^{(1)}) \subseteq I(X \cup X'')$$

and since $\widetilde{\psi}(x_1F_2) = \eta(x_1F_2) = x_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(1))$ it follows that $\widetilde{\psi}'(x_1F_2) = 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$ hence the sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup X'') \longrightarrow I(X \cup X'') \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\widetilde{\psi}')} S(L_1)(-l-3) \longrightarrow 0 \, .$$

is exact. One deduces that $I(X \cup X'')$ is generated by the elements from the statement. One can also get, using this exact sequence, a graded free resolution of $I(X \cup X'')$.

(c) The argument for case (b) works verbatim for case (c), too.

(d) It follows, from Claim 1(ii) and Claim 3, that $\mathrm{Im}\,\widetilde{\psi} = x_1^2\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-4)$ hence $\widetilde{\psi}$ factorizes as:

$$\mathscr{I}_{X\cup X''} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\psi}''} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-4) \xrightarrow{x_1^2} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$$

with $\widetilde{\psi}''$ an epimorphism. Since $x_1^2F_2 \in I(X)I(L_2^{(1)}) \subseteq I(X \cup X'')$ and since $\widetilde{\psi}(x_1^2F_2) = \eta(x_1^2F_2) = x_1^2 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(2))$ it follows that $\widetilde{\psi}''(x_1^2F_2) = 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1})$ hence the sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup X'') \longrightarrow I(X \cup X'') \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\widetilde{\psi}'')} S(L_1)(-l-4) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

is exact. One deduces that $I(X \cup X'')$ is generated by the elements from the statement. One can also get, using this exact sequence, a graded free resolution of $I(X \cup X'')$.

(e) Put $\Psi := x_1F_2 + b(x_0,0)x_1x_2 + \frac{b(x_0,0)}{b''(x_0,0)}x_2F_2''$. One has:

$$\begin{gathered} x_1F_2 + b(x_0,0)x_1x_2 \in (x_1x_3,\, x_1^2x_2) \subset I(L_1 \cup L_2^{(1)})\,, \\ b(x_0,0)x_1x_2 + \tfrac{b(x_0,0)}{b''(x_0,0)}x_2F_2'' = \\ = \tfrac{b(x_0,0)}{b''(x_0,0)}(b''(x_0,0)x_1x_2 + x_2F_2'') \in (x_2x_3,\, x_1x_2^2) \subset I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2)\,. \end{gathered}$$

It follows that $\Psi \in I(X \cup X'')$ and that $\widetilde{\psi}(\Psi) = \eta(\Psi) = \eta(x_1F_2) = x_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(1))$. Taking into account Claim 1(ii), one deduces that $\widetilde{\psi}$ factorizes as:

$$\mathscr{I}_{X\cup X''} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\psi}'} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-3) \xrightarrow{x_1} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(-l-2)$$

with $\widetilde{\psi}'$ an epimorphism and that the sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(L_1^{(1)} \cup X'') \longrightarrow I(X \cup X'') \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\widetilde{\psi}')} S(L_1)(-l-3) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

is exact. It follows that $I(X \cup X'')$ is generated by the elements from the statement. One can also get, using this exact sequence, a graded free resolution of $I(X \cup X'')$.

Notice, also, that, as a consequence of the above relations:

$$x_1\left(b(x_0,0)x_1x_2 + \tfrac{b(x_0,0)}{b''(x_0,0)}x_2F_2''\right) \in (x_1x_2x_3,\, x_1^2x_2^2) \subset I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2^{(1)})$$

hence $x_1^2F_2 \equiv x_1\Psi \pmod{I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2^{(1)})}$. □

Corollary B.55. *Under the hypothesis of Prop. B.54, $X \cup X''$ is locally complete intersection in the cases* (a) *and* (e) *and it is not locally complete intersection in the other cases.*

Proof. We cut $X \cup X''$ with the plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ of equation $x_1 - x_2 = 0$. $H \cap (X \cup X'')$ is a 0-dimensional subscheme of length 4 of H, concentrated at P_0. The composite map

$k[x_0, x_1, x_3] \hookrightarrow S \twoheadrightarrow S(H)$ is bijective and allows one to identify $S(H)$ with $k[x_0, x_1, x_3]$. Under this identification, the restrictions to H of the generators of $I(L_1^{(1)} \cup L_2^{(1)})$ are:

$$x_3^2 \mid H = x_3^2\, ,\ x_1x_2x_3 \mid H = x_1^2x_3\, ,\ x_1^2x_2^2 \mid H = x_1^4\, .$$

(a) Using the notation from the proof of Prop. B.54(a), one has $\Phi \mid H \equiv F_2 \mid H \equiv a(x_0, 0)x_3 \pmod{(x_1,\, x_3)^2}$. It follows that the 1-dimensional scheme $H \cap \{\Phi = 0\}$ is nonsingular at P_0. Since $H \cap (X \cup X'')$ is a subscheme of $H \cap \{\Phi = 0\}$ one deduces that it is locally complete intersection in H hence $X \cup X''$ is locally complete intersection at P_0.

(b) One has $x_1F_2 \mid H \equiv a(x_0, 0)x_1x_3 \pmod{(x_1,\, x_3)^3}$ and $x_2F_2'' \mid H \equiv a''(x_0, 0)x_1x_3 \pmod{(x_1,\, x_3)^3}$. Moreover, as we saw above, $x_3^2 \mid H = x_3^2$ and $x_1x_2x_3 \mid H$ and $x_1^2x_2^2 \mid H$ belong to $(x_1,\, x_3)^3$. Using [17, Chap. I, Ex. 5.4(a)] (in that exercise one has equality if and only if Y and Z have no common tangent direction at P) one deduces that $H \cap (X \cup X'')$ is not locally complete intersection in H.

(c) $x_1F_2 \mid H \equiv a(x_0, 0)x_1x_3 \pmod{(x_1,\, x_3)^3}$ and $x_2^2F_2'' \mid H \in (x_1,\, x_3)^3$.

(d) $x_1^2F_2 \in (x_1,\, x_3)^3$ and $x_2F_2'' \mid H \equiv a''(x_0, 0)x_1x_3 \pmod{(x_1,\, x_3)^3}$.

(e) Using the notation from the proof of Prop. B.54(e), one has:

$$\Psi \mid H \equiv -b(x_0, 0)\left(x_1^2 - \left(\tfrac{a(x_0,0)}{b(x_0,0)} + \tfrac{a''(x_0,0)}{b''(x_0,0)}\right)x_1x_3\right) \pmod{(x_1,\, x_3)^3}\, .$$

If $Y \subset H$ (resp., $Z \subset H$) is the effective divisor of equation $(\Psi \mid H) = 0$ (resp., $x_3^2 = 0$) it follows, from the above mentioned exercise from Hartshorne's book, that the intersection multiplicity of Y and Z at P_0 is 4. Since $H \cap (X \cup X'')$ is a subscheme of $Y \cap Z$ of degree 4 concentrated at P_0 one deduces that $H \cap (X \cup X'')$ is locally complete intersection in H hence $X \cup X''$ is locally complete intersection at P_0. □

Remark B.56. Under the hypothesis of Prop. B.54, recall the exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X\cup L_2} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L_2} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l)\, ,\quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup X''} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L_2} \xrightarrow{\phi''} \mathscr{O}_{L_2}(l'')\, ,$$

where ϕ and ϕ'' are the composite morphisms:

$$\mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L_2} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l)\, ,\ \mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L_2} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_2} \xrightarrow{\pi''} \mathscr{O}_{L_2}(l'')\, .$$

One deduces an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X\cup X''} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L_2} \xrightarrow{(\phi\, ,\, \phi'')} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L_2}(l'')\, .$$

Moreover, since $I(L_1 \cup L_2) = (x_3,\, x_1x_2)$ and since:

$$\phi(x_3) = b\, ,\ \phi(x_1x_2) = x_1a\, ,\ \phi''(x_3) = b''\, ,\ \phi''(x_1x_2) = x_2a''\, ,$$

it follows that if $x_1 \mid b$ (resp., $x_2 \mid b''$) then ϕ (resp., ϕ'') factorizes as:

$$\mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L_2} \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l-1) \xrightarrow{x_1} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l)\ \ (\text{resp., } \mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L_2} \xrightarrow{\phi_1''} \mathscr{O}_{L_2}(l''-1) \xrightarrow{x_2} \mathscr{O}_{L_2}(l'')\, .$$

(a) If $x_1 \mid b$ (i.e., $b = x_1b_1$), $x_2 \mid b''$ (i.e., $b'' = x_2b''_1$) and $\frac{b_1(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)} = \frac{b''_1(x_0,0)}{a''(x_0,0)}$ then one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathscr{I}_{X\cup X''} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L_2} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix}\phi_1\\ \phi''_1\end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix}\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l-1)\\ \oplus\\ \mathscr{O}_{L_2}(l''-1)\end{matrix} \xrightarrow{(a''(x_0,0)\,,\,-a(x_0,0))} \mathscr{O}_{\{P_0\}}(l+l'') \to 0\,.$$

(b) If $x_1 \mid b$ (i.e., $b = x_1b_1$), $x_2 \mid b''$ (i.e., $b'' = x_2b''_1$) and $\frac{b_1(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)} \neq \frac{b''_1(x_0,0)}{a''(x_0,0)}$ then one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X\cup X''} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L_2} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix}\phi_1\\ \phi''_1\end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix}\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l-1)\\ \oplus\\ \mathscr{O}_{L_2}(l''-1)\end{matrix} \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

(c) If $x_1 \mid b$ and $x_2 \nmid b''$ then one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X\cup X''} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L_2} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix}\phi_1\\ \phi''\end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix}\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l-1)\\ \oplus\\ \mathscr{O}_{L_2}(l'')\end{matrix} \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

(d) If $x_1 \nmid b$ and $x_2 \mid b''$ then one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X\cup X''} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L_2} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix}\phi\\ \phi''_1\end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix}\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l)\\ \oplus\\ \mathscr{O}_{L_2}(l''-1)\end{matrix} \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

(e) If $x_1 \nmid b$ and $x_2 \nmid b''$ then one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathscr{I}_{X\cup X''} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_1\cup L_2} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix}\phi\\ \phi''\end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix}\mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l)\\ \oplus\\ \mathscr{O}_{L_2}(l'')\end{matrix} \xrightarrow{(b''(x_0,0)\,,\,-b(x_0,0))} \mathscr{O}_{\{P_0\}}(l+l''+1) \to 0\,.$$

Indeed, in case (a) let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the plane of equation $x_3 = 0$. Coker $(\phi_1\,,\,\phi''_1)$ is concentrated in P_0 and coincides with the cokernel of the morphism:

$$\mathscr{O}_H(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_H(-2) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix}b_1 & a\\ b''_1 & a''\end{pmatrix}} \mathscr{O}_{L_1}(l-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L_2}(l''-1)$$

hence with the cokernel of the morphism:

$$\mathscr{O}_H(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_H(-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_H(l-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_H(l''-2) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix}b_1 & a & x_2 & 0\\ b''_1 & a'' & 0 & x_1\end{pmatrix}} \mathscr{O}_H(l-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_H(l''-1)$$

The cokernel of the last morphism is annihilated by x_1b_1, x_1a, x_2b_1'', x_2a''. Since b_1 and a (resp., b_1'' and a'') are coprime one deduces that $\operatorname{Coker}(\phi_1\, ,\, \phi_1'')$ is annihilated by x_1 and x_2 hence it is an $\mathscr{O}_{\{P_0\}}$-module. It follows that the sequence:

$$\begin{matrix}\mathscr{O}_{\{P_0\}}(-1)\\ \oplus\\ \mathscr{O}_{\{P_0\}}(-2)\end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} b_1(x_0,0) & a(x_0,0)\\ b_1''(x_0,0) & a''(x_0,0)\end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix}\mathscr{O}_{\{P_0\}}(l-1)\\ \oplus\\ \mathscr{O}_{\{P_0\}}(l''-1)\end{matrix} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Coker}(\phi_1\, ,\, \phi_1'') \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact and assertion (a) follows.

The assertions (b)-(e) can be proven similarly.

Recall, now, that the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_X)$ (resp., $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X''})$) is generated by 1 and by an element $e_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_X(-l))$ (resp., $e_1'' \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X''}(-l''))$).

Proposition B.57. *Using the notation introduced at the beginning of this subsection, assume that $l \leq l''$. Define a_1, b_1, b_2, a_1'', b_1'' and b_2'' by the relations:*

$$\begin{gathered} a = a(x_0,0) + a_1x_1\, ,\ \ b = b(x_0,0) + b_1x_1\, ,\ \ b_1 = b_1(x_0,0) + b_2x_1\, ,\\ a'' = a''(x_0,0) + a_1''x_2\, ,\ \ b'' = b''(x_0,0) + b_1''x_2\, ,\ \ b_1'' = b_1''(x_0,0) + b_2''x_2\, . \end{gathered}$$

(a) *If $x_1 \mid b$, $x_2 \mid b''$ and $\frac{b_1(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)} = \frac{b_1''(x_0,0)}{a''(x_0,0)}$ then the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''})$ admits a free resolution of the form:*

$$0 \to \begin{matrix} S(-3)\\ \oplus\\ S(l-3)\\ \oplus\\ S(l''-4)\end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{matrix} S(-1)\\ \oplus\\ S(-2)\\ \oplus\\ 2S(l-2)\\ \oplus\\ 2S(l''-3)\end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{matrix} S\\ \oplus\\ S(l-1)\\ \oplus\\ S(l''-2)\end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''}) \to 0$$

with $\delta_0 = (1\, ,\, x_1e_1 + \frac{a''(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)}x_2e_1''\, ,\, x_2^2e_1'')$ and with δ_1 and δ_2 defined by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_3 & x_1x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ -b_1 & -a & x_2 & x_3 & 0 & 0\\ -b_2'' & -a_1'' & -\frac{a''(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)} & 0 & x_1 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}\, ,\quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_1x_2 & 0 & 0\\ x_3 & 0 & 0\\ -x_1b_1 & -x_3 & 0\\ a & x_2 & 0\\ -x_2b_2'' - \frac{a''(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)}b_1 & 0 & -x_3\\ a_1'' & -\frac{a''(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)} & x_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(b) *If* $x_1 \mid b$, $x_2 \mid b''$ *and* $\frac{b_1(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)} \neq \frac{b_1''(x_0,0)}{a''(x_0,0)}$ *then the graded* S*-module* $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''})$ *admits a free resolution of the form*:

$$0 \to \begin{matrix} S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(l''-3) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{matrix} S(-1) \\ \oplus \\ S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l-2) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l''-2) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{matrix} S \\ \oplus \\ S(l-1) \\ \oplus \\ S(l''-1) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''}) \to 0$$

with $\delta_0 = (1\,,\, x_1e_1\,,\, x_2e_1'')$ *and with* δ_1 *and* δ_2 *defined by the matrices*:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_3 & x_1x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_1 & -a & x_2 & x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_1'' & -a'' & 0 & 0 & x_1 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_1x_2 & 0 & 0 \\ x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_1b_1 & -x_3 & 0 \\ a & x_2 & 0 \\ -x_2b_1'' & 0 & -x_3 \\ a'' & 0 & x_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(c) *If* $x_1 \mid b$ *and* $x_2 \nmid b''$ *then the graded* S*-module* $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''})$ *admits a free resolution of the form*:

$$0 \to \begin{matrix} S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(l''-2) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{matrix} S(-1) \\ \oplus \\ S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l-2) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l''-1) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{matrix} S \\ \oplus \\ S(l-1) \\ \oplus \\ S(l'') \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''}) \to 0$$

with $\delta_0 = (1\,,\, x_1e_1\,,\, e_1'')$ *and with* δ_1 *and* δ_2 *defined by the matrices*:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_3 & x_1x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_1 & -a & x_2 & x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -b'' & -x_2a'' & 0 & 0 & x_1 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_1x_2 & 0 & 0 \\ x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_1b_1 & -x_3 & 0 \\ a & x_2 & 0 \\ -x_2b'' & 0 & -x_3 \\ x_2a'' & 0 & x_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(d) *If $x_1 \nmid b$ then the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''})$ admits a free resolution of the form:*

$$0 \to \begin{matrix} S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-2) \\ \oplus \\ S(l''-3) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{matrix} S(-1) \\ \oplus \\ S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l-1) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l''-2) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{matrix} S \\ \oplus \\ S(l) \\ \oplus \\ S(l''-1) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''}) \to 0$$

with $\delta_0 = (1\,,\, e_1 + \frac{b''(x_0,0)}{b(x_0,0)}e_1''\,,\, x_2e_1'')$ *and with δ_1 and δ_2 defined by the matrices*:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_3 & x_1x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -b & -x_1a & x_2 & x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_1'' & -a'' & -\frac{b''(x_0,0)}{b(x_0,0)} & 0 & x_1 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_1x_2 & 0 & 0 \\ x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_1b & -x_3 & 0 \\ x_1a & x_2 & 0 \\ -x_2b_1'' - \frac{b''(x_0,0)}{b(x_0,0)}b & 0 & -x_3 \\ a'' & -\frac{b''(x_0,0)}{b(x_0,0)} & x_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. By Lemma B.5 one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \{0\} \times \mathrm{Im}\,\phi'' \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2} \longrightarrow 0$$

where ϕ'' is the composite morphism:

$$\mathscr{I}_{X\cup L_2} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_2} \xrightarrow{\pi''} \mathscr{O}_{L_2}(l'')\,.$$

According to Prop. B.10 one has:

$$I(X \cup L_2) = \begin{cases} (F_2,\, x_2x_3,\, x_3^2,\, x_1x_2^2)\,, & \text{if } x_1 \mid b\,; \\ (x_1F_2,\, x_2x_3,\, x_3^2,\, x_1x_2^2)\,, & \text{if } x_1 \nmid b\,. \end{cases}$$

Taking into account the definition of π'' one gets:

$$\begin{gathered} \phi''(F_2) = -b_1(x_0,0)x_2a'' + a(x_0,0)b'' = \\ = a(x_0,0)b''(x_0,0) + (-b_1(x_0,0)a''(x_0,0) + a(x_0,0)b_1''(x_0,0))\,x_2 + \\ + (-b_1(x_0,0)a_1'' + a(x_0,0)b_2'')\,x_2^2\,,\ \text{if } x_1 \mid b\,, \\ \phi''(x_1F_2) = -b(x_0,0)x_2a'' = -b(x_0,0)a''(x_0,0)x_2 - b(x_0,0)a_1''x_2^2\,,\ \text{if } x_1 \nmid b\,, \\ \phi''(x_2x_3) = x_2b''\,,\ \phi''(x_3^2) = 0\,,\ \phi''(x_1x_2^2) = x_2^2a''\,. \end{gathered}$$

We shall identify the element e_1 of $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_X)$ (resp., e_1'' of $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X''})$) to the element $(e_1, 0)$ (resp., $(0, e_1'')$) of $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_X) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X''})$. Using the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_X) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X''}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cap X''})$$

and taking into account that $X \cap X''$ is concentrated at P_0 one deduces that if an element ξ of $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_X) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X''})$ has the property that $x_0^m\xi$ belongs to $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''})$ for some $m \geq 0$ then ξ itself belongs to $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''})$.

Finally, let us recall that Prop. B.11 provides a graded free resolution for $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2})$.

(a) In this case $\mathrm{Im}\,\phi'' = x_2^2\mathscr{O}_{L_2}(l''-2)$. By Prop. B.11(a), the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2})$ is generated by 1 and by $x_1e_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2}(-l+1))$. Since $l \leq l''$ it follows that $\mathrm{H}^1((\mathrm{Im}\,\phi'')(-l+1)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{O}_{L_2}(l''-l-1)) = 0$. One deduces an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L_2)(l''-2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2}) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{B.3}$$

where the left morphism maps $1 \in S(L_2)$ to $x_2^2e_1'' \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''}(-l''+2))$ (identified, as we assumed above, to the element $(0, x_2^2e_1'')$ of $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_X) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X''})$).

Claim 1. *$x_1^2e_1$ belongs to $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''})$.*

Indeed, since x_1e_1 belongs to $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2})$, there exists an element of $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''})$ of the form $x_1e_1 + fe_1''$. Since x_1 annihilates e_1'' the claim follows.

Claim 2. *$x_1e_1 + \frac{a''(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)}x_2e_1''$ belongs to $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''})$.*

Indeed, one has in $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_X) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X''})$:

$$x_1x_2 \cdot 1 = ax_1e_1 + a''x_2e_1'' = a(x_0,0)x_1e_1 + a_1x_1^2e_1 + a''(x_0,0)x_2e_1'' + a_1''x_2^2e_1''\,.$$

Since $x_1^2e_1$ and $x_2^2e_1''$ belong to $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''})$ it follows that $a(x_0,0)x_1e_1 + a''(x_0,0)x_2e_1''$ belongs to $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''})$ hence:

$$a(x_0,0)\left(x_1e_1 + \tfrac{a''(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)}x_2e_1''\right) \in \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''})\,.$$

The claim follows, now, using an observation from the beginning of the proof.

The assertion from case (a) of the statement is, now, a consequence of the exact sequence (B.3), of Prop. B.11(a) and of the following relations in $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''}) \subset \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_X) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X''})$:

$$x_3 \cdot 1 = be_1 + b''e_1'' = b_1x_1e_1 + b_1''x_1e_1'' = b_1\left(x_1e_1 + \tfrac{a''(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)}x_2e_1''\right) - b_1\tfrac{a''(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)}x_2e_1'' + b_1''x_2e_1''$$

$$= b_1\left(x_1e_1 + \tfrac{a''(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)}x_2e_1''\right) - b_1(x_0,0)\tfrac{a''(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)}x_2e_1'' + b_1''x_2e_1'' =$$

$$b_1\left(x_1e_1 + \tfrac{a''(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)}x_2e_1''\right) - b_1''(x_0,0)x_2e_1'' + b_1''x_2e_1'' = b_1\left(x_1e_1 + \tfrac{a''(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)}x_2e_1''\right) + b_2''x_2^2e_1''\,;$$

$$x_1x_2 \cdot 1 = ax_1e_1 + a''x_2e_1'' = a\left(x_1e_1 + \tfrac{a''(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)}x_2e_1''\right) - a\tfrac{a''(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)}x_2e_1'' + a''x_2e_1'' =$$

$$a\left(x_1e_1 + \tfrac{a''(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)}x_2e_1''\right) - a(x_0,0)\tfrac{a''(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)}x_2e_1'' + a''x_2e_1'' = a\left(x_1e_1 + \tfrac{a''(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)}x_2e_1''\right) + a_1''x_2^2e_1''\,;$$

$$x_2 \cdot \left(x_1e_1 + \tfrac{a''(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)}x_2e_1''\right) = \tfrac{a''(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)}x_2^2e_1''\,;\quad x_3 \cdot \left(x_1e_1 + \tfrac{a''(x_0,0)}{a(x_0,0)}x_2e_1''\right) = 0\,.$$

(b) In this case $\mathrm{Im}\,\phi'' = x_2\mathscr{O}_{L_2}(l''-1)$. By Prop. B.11(a) the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2})$ is generated by $1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2})$ and by $x_1e_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2}(-l+1))$. One deduces, as in case (a), the existence of an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L_2)(l''-1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2}) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{B.4}$$

where the left morphism maps $1 \in S(L_2)$ to $x_2e_1'' \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''}(-l''+1))$. One shows, as in Claim 1, that $x_1^2e_1$ belongs to $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''})$ and then, as in Claim 2, that x_1e_1 belongs to

$\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''})$. The assertion from case (b) of the statement is, now, a consequence of the exact sequence (B.4) and of Prop. B.11(a).

(c) In this case $\operatorname{Im}\phi'' = \mathscr{O}_{L_2}(l'')$. One deduces, as in the previous cases, the existence of an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L_2)(l'') \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2}) \longrightarrow 0\,, \tag{B.5}$$

where the left morphism maps $1 \in S(L_2)$ to $e''_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''}(-l''))$, and the fact that x_1e_1 belongs to $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''})$. The assertion from case (c) of the statement is, now, a consequence of the exact sequence (B.5) and of Prop. B.11(a).

(d) In this case $\operatorname{Im}\phi'' = x_2\mathscr{O}_{L_2}(l''-1)$. By Prop. B.11(b) the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2})$ is generated by $1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2})$ and by $e_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2}(-l))$. One deduces, as in case (a), the existence of an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(L_2)(l''-1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup L_2}) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{B.6}$$

where the left morphism maps $1 \in S(L_2)$ to $x_2e''_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''}(-l''+1))$. One shows, as in Claim 1, that x_1e_1 belongs to $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''})$.

Claim 3. *$e_1 + \frac{b''(x_0,0)}{b(x_0,0)}e''_1$ belongs to $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''})$.*

Indeed, one has in $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_X)\oplus\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X''})$:

$$x_3\cdot 1 = be_1 + b''e''_1 = b(x_0,0)e_1 + b_1x_1e_1 + b''(x_0,0)e''_1 + b''_1x_2e''_1\,.$$

Since x_1e_1 and $x_2e''_1$ belong to $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''})$ it follows that $b(x_0,0)e_1 + b''(x_0,0)e''_1$ belongs to $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''})$ hence:

$$b(x_0,0)\left(e_1 + \tfrac{b''(x_0,0)}{b(x_0,0)}e''_1\right) \in \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''})\,.$$

The claim follows, now, using an observation from the beginning of the proof.

The assertion from case (d) of the statement is, now, a consequence of the exact sequence (B.6), of Prop. B.11(b) and of the following relations in $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X\cup X''}) \subset \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_X)\oplus\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_{X''})$:

$$x_3\cdot 1 = be_1 + b''e''_1 = b\left(e_1 + \tfrac{b''(x_0,0)}{b(x_0,0)}e''_1\right) - b\tfrac{b''(x_0,0)}{b(x_0,0)}e''_1 + b''e''_1 =$$

$$b\left(e_1 + \tfrac{b''(x_0,0)}{b(x_0,0)}e''_1\right) - b(x_0,0)\tfrac{b''(x_0,0)}{b(x_0,0)}e''_1 + b''e''_1 = b\left(e_1 + \tfrac{b''(x_0,0)}{b(x_0,0)}e''_1\right) + b''_1x_2e''_1\,;$$

$$x_1x_2\cdot 1 = x_1ae_1 + a''x_2e''_1 = x_1a\left(e_1 + \tfrac{b''(x_0,0)}{b(x_0,0)}e''_1\right) + a''x_2e''_1\,;$$

$$x_2\cdot\left(e_1 + \tfrac{b''(x_0,0)}{b(x_0,0)}e''_1\right) = \tfrac{b''(x_0,0)}{b(x_0,0)}x_2e''_1\,;\quad x_3\cdot\left(e_1 + \tfrac{b''(x_0,0)}{b(x_0,0)}e''_1\right) = 0\,.$$

□

APPENDIX C. DOUBLE STRUCTURES ON A CONIC

Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the conic of equations $x_3 = x_0x_2 - x_1^2 = 0$. Put $q := x_0x_2 - x_1^2$. Let D be a double structure on C, i.e., a locally CM subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^3$ of degree 4 with $D_{\mathrm{red}} = C$. According to Ferrand [15] there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_D \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_C \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathscr{L} \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\mathscr{L}$ is a line bundle on C and where π is a composite morphism:

$$\mathscr{I}_C \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_C/\mathscr{I}_C^2 \simeq \mathscr{O}_C(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_C(-2) \xrightarrow{(\alpha\,,\,\beta)} \mathscr{L}$$

with $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{L}(1))$ and $\beta \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{L}(2))$. Using the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{L}^{-1}(-3) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -\beta \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}} \mathscr{O}_C(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_C(-2) \xrightarrow{(\alpha\,,\,\beta)} \mathscr{L} \longrightarrow 0$$

one deduces an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{C^{(1)}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_D \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathscr{L}^{-1}(-3) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Now, C is the image of the embedding $\nu : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^3$, $\nu(t_0 : t_1) = (t_0^2 : t_0t_1 : t_1^2 : 0)$. One has $\mathscr{O}_C(1) \simeq \nu_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$ and if t_0, t_1 is the canonical basis of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))$ then:

$$x_0 \,|\, C = t_0^2\,,\ x_1 \,|\, C = t_0t_1\,,\ x_2 \,|\, C = t_1^2\,,\ x_3 \,|\, C = 0\,.$$

One has to consider two cases:

(I) $\mathscr{L} \simeq \mathscr{O}_C(l)$ with $l \geq -2$;
(II) $\mathscr{L} \simeq \mathscr{O}_C(l) \otimes \nu_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ with $l \geq -1$.

In case (I) one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_C(l) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_D \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_C \longrightarrow 0$$

and one denotes by $e \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_D(-l))$ the image of $1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_C)$, while in case (II) one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_C(l) \otimes \nu_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_D \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_C \longrightarrow 0$$

and one denotes by $e_i \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_D(-l))$ the image of $t_i \in \mathrm{H}^0(\nu_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)))$, $i = 0$, 1.

Lemma C.1. *$I(C^{(1)})$ admits the following minimal graded free resolution:*

$$0 \to \begin{matrix} S(-4) \\ \oplus \\ S(-5) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -q & 0 \\ x_3 & -q \\ 0 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix} S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(-4) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{(x_3^2\,,\,x_3q\,,\,q^2)} I(C^{(1)}) \to 0\,.$$

□

Lemma C.2. *$\nu_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ admits the following resolution:*

$$0 \to 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_3 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_3 \\ -x_2 & -x_1 \\ x_1 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}} 4\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_2 & -x_1 & x_3 & 0 \\ x_1 & x_0 & 0 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}} 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \xrightarrow{(t_0, t_1)} \nu_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \to 0$$

Proof. Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the plane of equation $x_3 = 0$. One has an exact sequence:

$$0 \to 2\mathscr{O}_H(-1) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_2 & -x_1 \\ x_1 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}} 2\mathscr{O}_H \xrightarrow{(t_0\,,\,t_1)} \nu_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \to 0\,.$$

One deduces that the tensor product of the complexes:

$$2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_2 & -x_1 \\ x_1 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}} 2\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}\,, \quad \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \xrightarrow{x_3} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$$

is a resolution of $\nu_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$. $\square$

We treat, firstly, case (I). In this case, there exist $a \in k[x_0, x_1, x_2]_{l+1}$, $b \in k[x_0, x_1, x_2]_{l+2}$ such that $\alpha = a \,|\, C$ and $\beta = b \,|\, C$.

Lemma C.3. *The morphism $\pi : \mathscr{I}_C \to \mathscr{O}_C(l)$ defined by $\alpha = a \,|\, C$ and $\beta = b \,|\, C$ is an epimorphism if and only if a and b have no common zero on C.* $\square$

Proposition C.4. *Keeping the previously introduced notation, the homogeneous ideal $I(D)$ of the closed subscheme D of $\mathbb{P}^3$ defined by the kernel of an epimorphism π as in Lemma C.3 is generated by:*

$$F := \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ x_3 & q \end{vmatrix}\,,\; x_3^2\,,\; x_3 q\,,\; q^2$$

and admits the following graded free resolution:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(-l-6) \xrightarrow{d_2} \begin{matrix} S(-l-4) \\ \oplus \\ S(-l-5) \\ \oplus \\ S(-4) \\ \oplus \\ S(-5) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{d_1} \begin{matrix} S(-l-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(-4) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{d_0} I(D) \longrightarrow 0$$

with d_1 and d_2 defined by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_3 & q & 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 & -q & 0 \\ -a & b & x_3 & -q \\ 0 & -a & 0 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}\,, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -q \\ x_3 \\ -b \\ a \end{pmatrix}.$$

This resolution is minimal for $l \geq 0$ but not for $l = -2$ where $I(D) = (x_3,\, q^2)$ and for $l = -1$ where $I(D) = (q - bx_3,\, x_3^2)$.

Proof. The image of $F \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_C(l+3))$ by the map:

$$\mathscr{I}_C(l+3) \longrightarrow (\mathscr{I}_C/\mathscr{I}_C^2)(l+3) \simeq \mathscr{O}_C(l+2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_C(l+1)$$

is $(-\beta,\, \alpha)$. It follows that $F \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_D(l+3))$ and that $\eta(F) = 1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_C)$. One deduces the exactness of the sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(C^{(1)}) \longrightarrow I(D) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\eta)} S(C)(-l-3) \longrightarrow 0$$

and then one uses Lemma C.1. □

Proposition C.5. *Keeping the previously introduced notation, let D be the closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^3$ defined by the kernel of an epimorphism π as in Lemma C.3. Then the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_D)$ admits the following free resolution:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-3) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{matrix} S(-1) \\ \oplus \\ S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-1) \\ \oplus \\ S(l-2) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{matrix} S \\ \oplus \\ S(l) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_D) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\delta_0 = (1\,,\, e)$ and with δ_1 and δ_2 defined by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_3 & q & 0 & 0 \\ -a & -b & x_3 & q \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -q & 0 \\ x_3 & 0 \\ b & -q \\ -a & x_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This resolution is minimal for $l \geq 0$ but not for the special cases $l = -2$ and $l = -1$ where D is a complete intersection.

Proof. Since the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_C)$ is generated by $1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{O}_C)$ one deduces the exactness of the sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(C)(l) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_D) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_C) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

It suffices, now, to use the following relations in $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_D)$:

$$x_3 \cdot 1 = \pi(x_3) = \alpha = ae\,,\ q \cdot 1 = \pi(q) = \beta = be\,.$$ □

We treat, finally, case (II). In this case there exist $a_0,\, a_1 \in k[x_0, x_1, x_2]_{l+1},\ b_0,\, b_1 \in k[x_0, x_1, x_2]_{l+2}$ such that:

$$\alpha = (a_0 \,|\, C)t_0 + (a_1 \,|\, C)t_1\,,\ \beta = (b_0 \,|\, C)t_0 + (b_1 \,|\, C)t_1\,.$$

Lemma C.6. *The morphism $\pi : \mathscr{I}_C \to \mathscr{O}_C(l) \otimes \nu_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ defined by $\alpha = (a_0 \,|\, C)t_0 + (a_1 \,|\, C)t_1$ and $\beta = (b_0 \,|\, C)t_0 + (b_1 \,|\, C)t_1$ is an epimorphism if and only if*

$$a_0x_0 + a_1x_1\,,\ a_0x_1 + a_1x_2\,,\ b_0x_0 + b_1x_1\,,\ b_0x_1 + b_1x_2$$

have no common zero on C.

Proof. One uses the fact that α and β have no common zero on C is and only if αt_0, αt_1, βt_0, βt_1 have no common zero on C. □

Proposition C.7. *Keeping the previously introduced notation, the homogeneous ideal $I(D)$ of the closed subscheme D of $\mathbb{P}^3$ defined by the kernel of an epimorphism π as in Lemma C.6 is generated by:*

$$F_0 := \begin{vmatrix} a_0x_0 + a_1x_1 & b_0x_0 + b_1x_1 \\ x_3 & q \end{vmatrix}\ ,\ F_1 := \begin{vmatrix} a_0x_1 + a_1x_2 & b_0x_1 + b_1x_2 \\ x_3 & q \end{vmatrix}\ ,\ x_3^2\,,\ x_3q\,,\ q^2$$

and admits the following graded free resolution:

$$0 \longrightarrow 2S(-l-6) \xrightarrow{d_2} \begin{array}{c} 4S(-l-5) \\ \oplus \\ S(-4) \\ \oplus \\ S(-5) \end{array} \xrightarrow{d_1} \begin{array}{c} 2S(-l-4) \\ \oplus \\ S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ S(-4) \end{array} \xrightarrow{d_0} I(D) \longrightarrow 0$$

with d_1 and d_2 defined by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -x_2 & -x_1 & x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & x_0 & 0 & x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_0x_0 + b_1x_1 & b_0x_1 + b_1x_2 & -q & 0 \\ -b_0 & b_1 & -a_0x_0 - a_1x_1 & -a_0x_1 - a_1x_2 & x_3 & -q \\ a_0 & -a_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}\ ,\ \begin{pmatrix} -x_3 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_3 \\ -x_2 & -x_1 \\ x_1 & x_0 \\ -b_0 & b_1 \\ a_0 & -a_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This resolution is minimal for $l \geq 0$ but not for $l = -1$ where the minimal resolution has the form $0 \to S(-5) \longrightarrow 4S(-4) \longrightarrow S(-2) \oplus 3S(-3) \longrightarrow I(D) \to 0$.

Proof. Recall the exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathscr{O}_C(-l-4) \otimes \nu_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -\beta \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}} \mathscr{O}_C(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_C(-2) \xrightarrow{(\alpha\,,\,\beta)} \mathscr{O}_C(l) \otimes \nu_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \to 0\,.$$

The image of $F_i \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_C(l+4))$ by the map:

$$\mathscr{I}_C(l+4) \longrightarrow (\mathscr{I}_C/\mathscr{I}_C^2)(l+4) \simeq \mathscr{O}_C(l+3) \oplus \mathscr{O}_C(l+2)$$

is $(-\beta t_i,\, \alpha t_i)$, $i = 0, 1$. It follows that $F_i \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{I}_D(l+4))$ and that $\eta(F_i) = t_i \in \mathrm{H}^0(\nu_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))$, $i = 0, 1$. One deduces the exactness of the sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I(C^{(1)}) \longrightarrow I(D) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^0_*(\eta)} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\nu_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))(-l-4) \longrightarrow 0$$

and one uses Lemma C.1, Lemma C.2 and the following relations:

$$-x_2F_0 + x_1F_1 = b_0x_3q - a_0q^2\,,\ -x_1F_0 + x_0F_1 = -b_1x_3q + a_1q^2\,,$$
$$x_3F_0 = -(b_0x_0 + b_1x_1)x_3^2 + (a_0x_0 + a_1x_1)x_3q\,,$$
$$x_3F_1 = -(b_0x_1 + b_1x_2)x_3^2 + (a_0x_1 + a_1x_2)x_3q\,.$$

□

Proposition C.8. *Keeping the previously introduced notation, let D be the closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^3$ defined by the kernel of an epimorphism π as in Lemma C.6. Then the graded S-module $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_D)$ admits the following free resolution:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} S(-3) \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l-2) \end{array} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \begin{array}{c} S(-1) \\ \oplus \\ S(-2) \\ \oplus \\ 4S(l-1) \end{array} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \begin{array}{c} S \\ \oplus \\ 2S(l) \end{array} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_D) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\delta_0 = (1\,,\, e_0\,,\, e_1)$ and with δ_1 and δ_2 defined by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_3 & q & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -a_0 & -b_0 & -x_2 & -x_1 & x_3 & 0 \\ -a_1 & -b_1 & x_1 & x_0 & 0 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -q & 0 & 0 \\ x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ a_0x_0 + a_1x_1 & -x_3 & 0 \\ -a_0x_1 - a_1x_2 & 0 & -x_3 \\ b_0 & -x_2 & -x_1 \\ b_1 & x_1 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This resolution is minimal for $l \geq 0$ but not for $l = -1$ where the minimal free resolution has the form $0 \to 3S(-3) \longrightarrow 5S(-2) \longrightarrow S \oplus S(-1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_D) \to 0$.

Proof. One uses the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\nu_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))(l) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_D) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_C) \longrightarrow 0$$

and Lemma C.2. In order to determine the remaining entries of the matrix of δ_1 one uses the following relations in $\mathrm{H}^0_*(\mathscr{O}_D)$:

$$x_3 \cdot 1 = \pi(x_3) = \alpha = a_0e_0 + a_1e_1\,,\ q \cdot 1 = \pi(q) = \beta = b_0e_0 + b_1e_1\,,$$

and in order to determine the remaining entries of δ_2 one uses the matrix relations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -x_2 & -x_1 \\ x_1 & x_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -x_0 & -x_1 \\ x_1 & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} qa_0 \\ qa_1 \end{pmatrix}. \qquad \square$$

References

[1] H. Abo, W. Decker, N. Sasakura, *An elliptic conic bundle in $\mathbb{P}^4$ arising from a stable rank-3 vector bundle*, Math. Z. **229** (1998), 725–741.

[2] C. Anghel, N. Manolache, *Globally generated vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^n$ with $c_1 = 3$*, Math. Nachr. **286** (2013), No. 14–15, 1407–1423.

[3] C. Anghel, I. Coandă, N. Manolache, *Globally generated vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^n$ with $c_1 = 4$*, `arXiv:1305.3464 [math.AG]` (2013).

[4] E. Ballico, L. Chiantini, *On smooth subcanonical varieties of codimension 2 in $\mathbb{P}^n$, $n \geq 4$*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., IV Ser. **135** (1983), 99–117.

[5] C. Bănică, O. Forster, *Sur les structures multiples*, manuscript (1981).

[6] C. Bănică, O. Forster, *Multiplicity structures on space curves*, in: Algebraic Geometry, Proc. Lefschetz Centen. Conf., Mexico City/Mex. 1984, Part I, Contemp. Math. **58** (1986), 47–64.

[7] W. Barth, *Some properties of stable rank-2 vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}_n$*, Math. Ann. **226** (1977), 125–150.

[8] W. Barth, G. Elencwajg, *Concernant la cohomologie des fibrés algébriques stables sur* $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, in: Variétés analytiques compactes, Colloque Nice 1977, Lect Notes Math. **683** (1978), Springer Verlag, 1–24.

[9] M.-C. Chang, *Stable rank* 2 *reflexive sheaves on* $\mathbb{P}^3$ *with small* c_2 *and applications*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **284** (1984), 57–89.

[10] L. Chiodera, Ph. Ellia, *Rank two globally generated vector bundles with* $c_1 \leq 5$, Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste **44** (2012), 1–10, also `arXiv:1111.5725 [math.AG]`.

[11] L. Ein, *Generalized null correlation bundles*, Nagoya Math. J. **111** (1988), 13–24.

[12] D. Eisenbud, Commutative Algebra with a view toward Algebraic Geometry, Graduate Texts in Math. **150** (1995), Springer Verlag.

[13] Ph. Ellia, *Chern classes of rank two globally generated vector bundles on* $\mathbb{P}^2$, Atti. Acad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl. **24** (2013), No. 2, 147–163, also `arXiv:1111.5718 [math.AG]`.

[14] M.L. Fania, E. Mezzetti, *Vector spaces of skew-symmetric matrices of constant rank*, Linear Algebra Appl. **434** (2011), 2383–2403.

[15] D. Ferrand, *Courbes gauches et fibrés de rang* 2, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **281** (1977), 345–347.

[16] L. Gruson, M. Skiti, *3-Instantons et réseaux de quadriques*, Math. Ann. **298** (1994), 253–273.

[17] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic Geometry, Graduate Texts in Math. **52** (1977), Springer Verlag.

[18] R. Hartshorne, *Stable reflexive sheaves*, Math. Ann. **254** (1980), 121–176.

[19] R. Hartshorne, I. Sols, *Stable rank* 2 *vector bundles on* $\mathbb{P}^3$ *with* $c_1 = -1$, $c_2 = 2$, J. reine angew. Math. **325** (1981), 145–152.

[20] S. Huh, *On triple Veronese embeddings of* $\mathbb{P}^n$ *in the Grassmannians*, Math. Nachr. **284** (2011), 1453–1461.

[21] N. Mohan Kumar, C. Peterson, A.P. Rao, *Monads on projective spaces*, Manuscripta Math. **112** (2003), 183–189.

[22] L. Manivel, E. Mezzetti, *On linear spaces of skew-symmetric matrices of constant rank*, Manuscripta Math. **117** (2005), 319–331.

[23] R. Lazarsfeld, P. Rao, *Linkage of general curves of large degree*, in: Algebraic Geometry - Open Problems, Proc. Conf., Ravello/Italy 1982, Lect. Notes Math. **997** (1983), 267–289.

[24] N. Manolache, *Rank* 2 *stable vector bundles on* $\mathbb{P}^3$ *with Chern classes* $c_1 = -1$, $c_2 = 2$, Revue Roum. Math. Pures Appl. **26** (1981), 1203–1209.

[25] N. Manolache, *Cohen-Macaulay nilpotent structures*, Revue Roum. Math. Pures Appl. **31** (1986), 563–575.

[26] N. Manolache, *Codimension* 2 *linear varieties with nilpotent structures*, Math. Z. **210** (1992), 573–579.

[27] S. Nollet, *The Hilbert schemes of degree three curves*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **30** (1997), 367–384.

[28] S. Nollet, E. Schlesinger, *Hilbert schemes of degree four curves*, Compos. Math. **139** (2003), 169–196.

[29] C. Peskine, L. Szpiro, *Liaison des variétés algèbriques I*, Invent. Math. **26** (1974), 271–302.

[30] P. Schwartau, Ph. D. thesis, Brandeis University (1982).

[31] J.C. Sierra, *A degree bound for globally generated vector bundles*, Math. Z. **262** (2009), 517–525.

[32] J.C. Sierra, L. Ugaglia, *On double Veronese embeddings in the Grassmannian* $\mathbb{G}(1, N)$, Math. Nachr. **279** (2006), 798–804.

[33] J.C. Sierra, L. Ugaglia, *On globally generated vector bundles on projective spaces*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **213** (2009), 2141–2146.

[34] J.C. Sierra, L. Ugaglia, *On globally generated vector bundles on projective spaces II*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **218** (2014), 174–180.

Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, P.O. Box 1–764, RO–014700, Bucharest, Romania

E-mail address: `Iustin.Coanda@imar.ro` `Cristian.Anghel@imar.ro` `Nicolae.Manolache@imar.ro`